LIGHT AND ELECTRICITY:

NOTES

OF

*TWO COURSES OF LECTURES BEFORE THE ROYAL
INSTITUTION OF GREAT BRITAIN.*

BY

JOHN TYNDALL, LL. D., F. R. S.,

AUTHOR OF "HEAT AS A MODE OF MOTION," "LECTURES ON SOUND," "FRAGMENTS OF
SCIENCE FOR UNSCIENTIFIC PEOPLE," "HOURS OF EXERCISE IN THE ALPS,"
ETC., ETC ; PROFESSOR OF NATURAL PHILOSOPHY IN THE
ROYAL INSTITUTION OF GREAT BRITAIN.

NEW YORK:
D. APPLETON AND COMPANY,
549 & 551 BROADWAY.
1871.

PREFACE TO THE AMERICAN EDITION.

For the benefit of those who attended his lectures on Light and Electricity at the Royal Institution, Prof. Tyndall prepared with much care a series of Notes, summing up briefly and clearly the leading facts and principles of these sciences. The Notes proved so serviceable to those for whom they were designed, that they were widely sought by students and teachers, and Prof. Tyndall accordingly had them reprinted in two small books. Under the conviction that they will be equally appreciated by instructors and learners in this country, they are here combined and republished in a single volume.

No intelligent teacher or earnest student needs to be reminded of the importance of repetition and recapitulation to give permanence to mental impressions: But it is neither possible nor desirable to retain in the memory the copious details which may be necessary to the first comprehension of a subject. Hence, after listening to a course of lectures, or going through an extended work in which facts, experimental proofs, and speculations, have

been elaborately presented, it is invaluable to retraverse
the field, concentrating attention upon the prominent
and established principles of the subject. This is an in-
dispensable condition of all solid acquisition; and, in thus
clearly and sharply stating the fundamental principles of
Electrical and Optical Science, Prof. Tyndall has earned
the cordial thanks of all interested in education.

NEW YORK, *April,* 1871.

CONTENTS.

LIGHT.

ELECTRICITY.

NOTES

OF A COURSE OF NINE LECTURES ON

L I G H T

NOTES ON LIGHT.

General Considerations. Rectilinear Propagation of Light.

1. THE ancients supposed light to be produced and vision excited by something emitted from the eye. The moderns hold vision to be excited by something that strikes the eye from without. What that something is we shall consider more closely subsequently.

2. *Luminous* bodies are independent sources of light. They generate it and emit it, and do not receive their light from other bodies. The sun, a star, a candle-flame, are examples.

3. *Illuminated* bodies are such as receive the light by which they are seen from luminous bodies. A house, a tree, a man, are examples. Such bodies scatter in all directions the light which they receive; this light reaches the eye, and through its action the illuminated bodies are rendered visible.

4. All illuminated bodies scatter or reflect light, and they are distinguished from each other by the excess or *defect* of light which they send to the eye. A white cloud in a dark-blue firmament is distinguished by its excess of light; a dark pine-tree projected against the same cloud is distinguished through its defect of light.

5. Look at any point of a visible object. The light comes from that point in straight lines to the eye. The

lines of light, or *rays* as they are called, that reach the
pupil form a *cone*, with the pupil for a base, and with the
point for an apex. The point is always seen at the place
where the rays which form the surface of this cone inter-
sect each other, or, as we shall learn immediately, where
they *seem* to intersect each other.

6. Light, it has just been said, moves in straight lines;
you see a luminous object by means of the rays which it
sends to the eye, but you cannot see round a corner. A
small obstacle that intercepts the view of a visible point
is always in the straight line between the eye and the
point. In a dark room let a small hole be made in a win-
dow-shutter, and let the sun shine through the hole. A
narrow luminous beam will mark its course on the dust
of the room, and the track of the beam will be perfectly
straight.

7. Imagine the aperture to diminish in size until the
beam passing through it and marking itself upon the dust
of the room shall dwindle to a mere line in thickness. In
this condition the beam is what we call a *ray* of light.

Formation of Images through Small Apertures.

8. Instead of permitting the *direct sunlight* to enter
the room by the small aperture, let the light from some
body illuminated by the sun—a tree, a house, a man, for
example—be permitted to enter. Let this light be re-
ceived upon a white screen placed in the dark room.
Every visible point of the object sends a straight ray of
light through the aperture. The ray carries with it the
color of the point from which it issues, and imprints the
color upon the screen. The sum total of the rays falling
thus upon the screen produces an *inverted* image of that
object. The image is inverted because the rays *cross* each
other at the aperture.

9. *Experimental Illustration.*—Place a lighted candle in a small camera with a small orifice in one of its sides, or a large one covered by tin-foil. Prick the tin-foil with a needle; the inverted image of the flame will immediately appear upon a screen placed to receive it. By approaching the camera to the screen, or the screen to the camera, the size of the image is diminished; by augmenting the distance between them, the size of the image is increased.

10. The boundary of the image is formed by drawing from every point of the outline of the object straight lines through the aperture, and producing these lines until they cut the screen. This could not be the case if the straight lines and the light rays were not coincident.

11. Some bodies have the power of permitting light to pass freely through them; they are *transparent* bodies. Others have the power of rapidly quenching the light that enters them; they are *opaque* bodies. There is no such thing as perfect transparency. or perfect opacity. The purest glass and crystal quench some rays; the most opaque metal, if thin enough, permits some rays to pass through it. The redness of the London sun in smoky weather is due to the partial transparency of soot for the red light. Pure water at great depths is blue; it quenches more or less the red rays. Ice when seen in large masses in the glaciers of the Alps is blue also.

Shadows.

12. As a consequence of the rectilinear motion of light, opaque bodies cast shadows. If the source of light be a *point*, the shadow is sharply defined; if the source be a luminous *surface*, the perfect shadow is fringed by an imperfect shadow called a *penumbra*.

13. When light emanates from a point, the shadow of

a sphere placed in the light is a *divergent* cone sharply defined.

14. When light emanates from a luminous globe, the perfect shadow of a sphere equal to the globe in size will be a *cylinder;* it will be bordered by a penumbra.

15. If the luminous sphere be the larger of the two, the perfect shadow will be a *convergent cone;* it will be surrounded by a penumbra. This is the character of the shadows cast by the earth and moon in space; for the sun is a sphere larger than either the earth or the moon.

16. To an eye placed in the true conical shadow of the moon, the sun is totally eclipsed; to an eye in the penumbra, the sun appears horned; while to an eye placed beyond the apex of the conical shadow and within the space enclosed by the surface of the cone produced, the eclipse is *annular*. All these eclipses are actually seen from time to time from the earth's surface.

17. The influence of magnitude may be experimentally illustrated by means of a bat's-wing or fish-tail flame; or by a flat oil or paraffine flame. Holding an opaque rod between the flame and a white screen, the shadow is sharp when the *edge* of the flame is turned toward the rod. When the broad surface of the flame is pointed to the rod, the real shadow is fringed by a penumbra.

18. As the distance from the screen increases, the penumbra encroaches more and more upon the perfect shadow, and finally obliterates it.

19. It is the angular magnitude of the sun that destroys the sharpness of solar shadows. In sunlight, for example, the shadow of a hair is sensibly washed away at a few inches distance from the surface on which it falls. The electric light, on the contrary, emanating as it does from small carbon points, casts a defined shadow, of a hair upon a screen many feet distant.

Enfeeblement of Light by Distance; Law of Inverse Squares.

20. Light diminishes in intensity as we recede from the source of light. If the luminous source be a *point*, the intensity diminishes *as the square of the distance increases.* Calling the quantity of light falling upon a given surface at the distance of a foot or a yard—1, the quantity falling on it at a distance of 2 feet or 2 yards is $\frac{1}{4}$, at a distance of 3 feet or 3 yards it is $\frac{1}{9}$, at a distance of 10 feet or 10 yards it would be $\frac{1}{100}$, and so on. This is the meaning of the law of inverse squares as applied to light.

21. *Experimental Illustrations.*—Place your source of light, which may be a candle-flame—though the law is in strictness true only for *points*—at a distance say of 9 feet from a white screen. Hold a square of pasteboard, or some other suitable material, at a distance of $2\frac{1}{4}$ feet from the flame, or $\frac{1}{4}$th of the distance of the screen. The square casts a shadow upon the screen.

22. Assure yourself that the area of this shadow is sixteen times that of the square which casts it; a student of Euclid will see in a moment that this must be the case, and those who are not geometers can readily satisfy themselves by actual measurement. Dividing, for example, each side of a square sheet of paper into four equal parts, and folding the sheet at the opposite points of division, a small square is obtained $\frac{1}{16}$th of the area of the large one. Let this small square, or one equal to it, be your shadow-casting body. Held at $2\frac{1}{4}$ feet from the flame, its shadow upon the screen 9 feet distant will be exactly covered by the entire sheet of paper. When, therefore, the small square is removed, the light that fell upon it is diffused over sixteen times the area on the screen; it is therefore diluted to $\frac{1}{16}$th of its former intensity. That is to say, by

augmenting the distance fourfold we diminish the light sixteenfold.

23. Make the same experiment by placing a square at a distance of 3 feet from the source of light and 6 from the screen. The shadow now cast by the square will have nine times the area of the square itself; hence the light falling on the square is diffused over nine times the surface upon the screen. It is, therefore, reduced to $\frac{1}{9}$th of its intensity. That is to say, by trebling the distance from the source of light we diminish the light ninefold.

24. Make the same experiment at a distance of $4\frac{1}{2}$ feet from the source. The shadow here will be four times the area of the shadow-casting square, and the light diffused over the greater square will be reduced to $\frac{1}{4}$th of its former intensity. Thus, by doubling the distance from the source of light we reduce the intensity of the light fourfold.

25. Instead of beginning with a distance of $2\frac{1}{4}$ feet from the source, we might have begun with a distance of 1 foot. The area of the shadow in this case would be eighty-one times that of the square which casts it; proving that at 9 feet distance the intensity of the light is $\frac{1}{81}$ of what it is at 1 foot distance.

26. Thus when the distances are

$$1, \quad 2, \quad 3, \quad 4, \quad 5, \quad 6, \quad 7, \quad 8, \quad 9, \quad \text{etc.},$$

the relative intensities are

$$1, \quad \tfrac{1}{4}, \quad \tfrac{1}{9}, \quad \tfrac{1}{16}, \quad \tfrac{1}{25}, \quad \tfrac{1}{36}, \quad \tfrac{1}{49}, \quad \tfrac{1}{64}, \quad \tfrac{1}{81}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

This is the numerical expression of the law of inverse squares.

Photometry, or the Measurement of Light.

27. The law just established enables us to compare one light with another, and to express by numbers their relative illuminating powers.

28. The more intense a light, the darker is the shadow which it casts; in other words, the greater is the contrast between the illuminated and unilluminated surface.

29. Place an upright rod in front of a white screen and a candle-flame at some distance behind the rod, the rod casts a shadow upon the screen.

30. Place a second flame by the side of the first, a second shadow is cast, and it is easy to arrange matters so that the shadows shall be close to each other, thus offering themselves for easy comparison to the eye. If when the lights are at the same distance from the screen the two shadows are equally dark, then the two lights have the same illuminating power.

31. But if one of the shadows be darker than the other, it is because its corresponding light is brighter than the other. Remove the brighter light farther from the screen, the shadows gradually approximate in depth, and at length the eye can perceive no difference between them. The shadow corresponding to each light is now illuminated by the other light, and if the shadows are equal it is because the quantities of light cast by both upon the screen are equal.

32. Measure the distances of the two lights from the screen, and square these distances. The two squares will express the relative illuminating powers of the two lights. Supposing one distance to be 3 feet and the other 5, the relative illuminating powers are as 9 to 25.

Brightness.

33. But if light diminishes so rapidly with the distance —if, for example, the light of a candle at the distance of a yard is 100 times more intense than at the distance of 10 yards—how is it that on looking at lights in churches or theatres, or in large rooms, or at our street-lamps, a light

10 yards off appears almost, if not quite, as bright as one
close at hand?

34. To answer this question I must anticipate matters
so far as to say that at the back of the eye is a screen,
woven of nerve-filaments, named the retina; and that
when we see a light distinctly, its image is formed upon
this screen. This point will be fully developed when we
come to treat of the eye. Now the sense of external
brightness depends upon the brightness of this internal
retinal image, and not upon its size. As we retreat from
a light, its image upon the retina becomes smaller, and it
is easy to prove that the diminution follows the law of
inverse squares; that at a double distance the area of
the retinal image is reduced to one-fourth, at a treble dis-
tance to one-ninth, and so on. The concentration of light
accompanying this decrease of magnitude exactly atones
for the diminution due to distance; hence, if the air be
clear, the light, within wide variations of distance, appears
equally bright to the observer.

35. If an eye could be placed behind the retina, the
augmentation or diminution of the image, with the de-
crease or increase of distance, might be actually observed.
An exceedingly simple apparatus enables us to illustrate
this point. Take a pasteboard or tin tube, three or four
inches wide and three or four inches long, and cover
one end of it with a sheet of tin-foil, and the other with
tracing-paper, or ordinary letter-paper wetted with oil
or turpentine. Prick the tin-foil with a needle, and
turn the aperture toward a candle-flame. An inverted
image of the flame will be seen on the translucent paper
screen by the eye behind it. As you approach the flame
the image becomes larger, as you recede from the flame the
image becomes smaller; but the *brightness* remains through-
out the same. It is so with the image upon the retina.

36. If a sunbeam be permitted to enter a room through a small aperture, the spot of light formed on a distant screen will be *round*, whatever be the shape of the aperture; this curious effect is due to the angular magnitude of the sun. Were the sun a *point*, the light spot would be accurately of the same shape as the aperture. Supposing, then, the aperture to be square, every point of light round the sun's periphery sends a small square to the screen. These small squares are ranged round a circle corresponding to the periphery of the sun; through their blending and overlapping they produce a rounded outline. The spots of light which fall through the apertures of a tree's foliage on the ground are rounded for the same reason.

Light requires Time to pass through Space.

37. This was proved in 1675 and 1676 by an eminent Dane, named Olaf Rœmer, who was then engaged with Cassini in Paris in observing the eclipses of Jupiter's moons. The planet, whose distance from the sun is 475,-693,000 miles, has four satellites. We are now only concerned with the one nearest to the planet. Rœmer watched this moon, saw it move round in front of the planet, pass to the other side of it, and then plunge into Jupiter's shadow, behaving like a lamp suddenly extinguished: at the other edge of the shadow he saw it reappear like a lamp suddenly lighted. The moon thus acted the part of a signal-light to the astronomer, which enabled him to tell exactly its time of revolution. The period between two successive lightings up of the lunar lamp gave this time. It was found to be 42 hours, 28 minutes, and 35 seconds.

38. This observation was so accurate, that having determined the moment when the moon emerged from the shadow, the moment of its hundredth appearance could

also be determined. In fact, it would be 100 times 42 hours, 28 minutes, 35 seconds, from the first observation.

39. Rœmer's first observation was made when the earth was in the part of its orbit nearest Jupiter. About six months afterward, when the little moon ought to make its appearance for the hundredth time, it was found unpunctual, being fully 15 minutes behind its calculated time. Its appearance, moreover, had been growing gradually later, as the earth retreated toward the part of its orbit most distant from Jupiter.

40. Rœmer reasoned thus: "Had I been able to remain at the other side of the earth's orbit, the moon might have appeared always at the proper instant; an observer placed there would probably have seen the moon 15 minutes ago, the retardation in my case being due to the fact that the light requires 15 minutes to travel from the place where my first observation was made to my present position."

41. This flash of genius was immediately succeeded by another. "If this surmise be correct," Rœmer reasoned, "then as I approach Jupiter along the other side of the earth's orbit, the retardation ought to become gradually less, and when I reach the place of my first observation there ought to be no retardation at all." He found this to be the case, and thus proved not only that light required time to pass through space, but also determined its rate of propagation.

42. The velocity of light as determined by Rœmer is 192,500 miles in a second.

The Aberration of Light.

The astounding velocity assigned to light by the observations of Rœmer received the most striking confirma-

tion from the English astronomer Bradley in the year 1723. In Kew Gardens to the present hour there is a sundial to mark the spot where Bradley discovered the aberration of light.

43. If we move quickly through a rain-shower which falls vertically downward, the drops will no longer seem to fall vertically, but will appear to meet us. A similar deflection of the stellar rays by the motion of the earth in its orbit is called the *aberration of light*.

44. Knowing the speed at which we move through a vertical rain-shower, and knowing the angle at which the rain-drops appear to descend, we can readily calculate the velocity of the falling drops of rain. So, likewise, knowing the velocity of the earth in its orbit, and the deflection of the rays of light produced by the earth's motion, we can immediately calculate the velocity of light.

45. The velocity of light, as determined by Bradley, is 191,515 miles per second—a most striking agreement with the result of Rœmer.

46. This velocity has also been determined by experiments over terrestrial distances. M. Fizeau found it thus to be 194,677 miles a second, while the later experiments of M. Foucault made it 185,177 miles a second.

47. "A cannon-ball," says Sir John Herschel, "would require seventeen years to reach the sun, yet light travels over the same space in eight minutes. The swiftest bird, at its utmost speed, would require nearly three weeks to make the tour of the earth. Light performs the same distance in much less time than is necessary for a single stroke of its wing; yet its rapidity is but commensurate with the distance it has to travel. It is demonstrable that light cannot reach our system from the nearest of the fixed stars in less than five years, and telescopes disclose to us objects probably many times more remote."

The Reflection of Light (Catoptrics)—Plane Mirrors.

48. When light passes from one optical medium to another, a portion of it is always turned back or reflected.

49. Light is *regularly* reflected by a polished surface; but if the surface be not polished, the light is *irregularly* reflected or scattered.

50. Thus a piece of ordinary drawing-paper will scatter a beam of light that falls upon it so as to illuminate a room. A plane mirror receiving the sunbeam will reflect it in a definite direction, and illuminate intensely a small portion of the room.

51. If the polish of the mirror were perfect it would be invisible, we should simply see in it the images of other objects; if the room were without dust-particles, the beam passing through the air would also be invisible. It is the light scattered by the mirror and by the particles suspended in the air which renders them visible.

52. A ray of light striking as a perpendicular against a reflecting surface is reflected back along the perpendicular; it simply retraces its own course. If it strike the surface obliquely, it is reflected obliquely.

53. Draw a perpendicular to the surface at the point where the ray strikes it; the angle enclosed between the *direct* ray and this perpendicular is called the angle of incidence. The angle enclosed by the *reflected* ray and the perpendicular is called the angle of reflection.

54. It is a fundamental law of optics that *the angle of incidence is equal to the angle of reflection.*

Verification of the Law of Reflection.

55. Fill a basin with water to the brim, the water being blackened by a little ink. Let a small plummet—a small lead bullet, for example—suspended by a thread,

hang into the water. The water is to be our horizontal
mirror, and the plumb-line our perpendicular. Let the
plummet hang from the centre of a horizontal scale, with
inches marked upon it right and left from the point of
suspension, which is to be the zero of the scale. A lighted
candle is to be placed on one side of the plumb-line, the
observer's eye being at the other.

56. The question to be solved is this: How is the ray
which strikes the liquid surface at the foot of the plumb-
line reflected? Moving the candle along the scale, so that
the tip of its flame shall stand opposite different numbers,
it is found that, to see the reflected tip of the flame *in the
direction of the foot of the plumb-line*, the line of vision
must cut the scale as far on the one side of that line as the
candle is on the other. In other words, the ray reflect-
ed from the foot of the perpendicular cuts the scale
accurately at the candle's distance on the other side
of the perpendicular. From this it immediately follows
that the angle of incidence is equal to the angle of reflec-
tion.

57. With an artificial horizon of this kind, and employ-
ing a theodolite to take the necessary angles, the law has
been established with the most rigid accuracy. The angle
of elevation to a star being taken by the instrument, the
telescope is then pointed downward to the image of the
star reflected from the artificial horizon. It is always
found that the direct and reflected rays enclose equal
angles with the horizontal axis of the telescope, the reflected
ray being as far below the horizontal axis as the direct ray
is above it. On account of the star's distance the ray
which strikes the reflecting surface is parallel with the ray
which reaches the telescope directly, and from this follows,
by a brief but rigid demonstration, the law above enun-
ciated.

58. The path described by the direct and reflected rays is the shortest possible.

59. When the reflecting surface is roughened, rays from different points, more or less distant from each other, reach the eye. Thus, a breeze crisping the surface of the Thames or Serpentine sends to the eye, instead of single images of the lamps upon their margin, pillars of light. Blowing upon our basin of water, we also convert the reflected light of our candle into a luminous column.

60. Light is reflected with different energy by different substances. At a perpendicular incidence, only 18 rays out of every 1,000 are reflected by water, 25 rays per 1,000 by glass, while 666 per 1,000 are reflected by mercury.

61. When the rays strike obliquely, a greater amount of light than that stated in 60, is reflected by water and glass. Thus, at an incidence of 40°, water reflects 22 rays; at 60°, 65 rays; at 80°, 333 rays; and at $89\frac{1}{4}$° (almost grazing the surface), it reflects 721 rays out of every 1,000. This is as much as mercury reflects at the same incidence.

62. The augmentation of the light reflected as the obliquity of incidence is increased may be illustrated by our basin of water. Hold the ·candle so that its rays enclose a large angle with the liquid surface, and notice the brightness of its image. Lower both the candle and the eye until the direct and reflected rays as nearly as possible graze the liquid surface; the image of the flame is now much brighter than before.

Reflection from Looking-glasses.—Various instructive experiments with a looking-glass may be here performed and understood.

63. Note first when a candle is placed between the glass and the eye, so that a line from the eye through the candle is perpendicular to the glass, that *one* well-defined image of the candle only is seen.

64. Let the eye now be moved so as to receive an ob-
lique reflection; the image is no longer single, a series of
images at first partially overlapping each other being seen.
By rendering the incidence sufficiently oblique these
images, if the glass be sufficiently thick, may be completely
separated from each other.

65. The first image of the series arises from the reflection
of the light from the anterior surface of the glass.

66. The second image, which is usually much the bright-
est, arises from reflection at the silvered surface of the glass.
At large incidences, as we have just learned, metallic re-
flection far transcends that from glass.

67. The other images of the series are produced by the
reverberation of the light from surface to surface of the
glass. At every return from the silvered surface a portion
of the light quits the glass and reaches the eye, forming
an image; a portion is also sent back to the silvered sur-
face, where it is again reflected. Part of this reflected
beam also reaches the eye and yields another image. This
process continues : the quantity of light reaching the eye
growing gradually less, and, as a consequence, the succes-
sive images growing dimmer, until finally they become too
dim to be visible.

68. A very instructive experiment illustrative of the
augmentation of the reflection from glass, through aug-
mented obliquity, may here be made.   Causing the candle
and the eye to approach the looking-glass, the first image
becomes gradually brighter; and you end by rendering
the image reflected from the glass brighter, more lumi-
nous, than that reflected from the metal. Irregularities in
the reflection from looking-glasses often show themselves;
but with a good glass—and there are few glasses so de-
fective as not to possess, at all events, some good portions
—the succession of images is that here indicated.

2

69. *Position and Character of Images in Plane Mirrors.*—The image in a plane mirror appears as far behind the mirror as the object is in front of it. This follows immediately from the law which announces the equality of the angles of incidence and reflection. Draw a line representing the section of a plane mirror ; place a point in front of it. Rays issue from that point, are reflected from the mirror, and strike the pupil of the eye. The pupil is the base of a cone of such rays. Produce the rays backward; they will intersect behind the mirror, and the point will be seen *as if* it existed at the place of intersection. The place of intersection is easily proved to be as far behind the mirror as the point is in front of it.

70. Exercises in determining the positions of images in a plane mirror, the positions of the objects being given, are here desirable. The image is always found by simply letting fall a perpendicular from each point of the object, and producing it behind the mirror, so as to make the part behind equal to the part in front. We thus learn that the image is of the same size and shape as the object, agreeing with it in all respects save one—the image is a *lateral inversion* of the object.

71. This inversion enables us, by means of a mirror, to read writing written backward, as if it were written in the usual way. Compositors arrange their type in this backward fashion, the type being reversed by the process of printing. A looking-glass enables us to read the type as the printed page.

72. Lateral inversion comes into play when we look at our own faces in a glass. The right cheek of the object, for example, is the left cheek of the image; the right hand of the object the left hand of the image, etc. The hair parted on the left in the object is seen parted to the right of the image, etc.

73. A plane mirror half the height of an object gives an image which embraces the whole height. This is readily deduced from what has gone before.

74. If a plane mirror be caused to move parallel with itself, the motion of an image in the mirror moves with twice its rapidity.

75. The same is true of a *rotating* mirror: when a plane mirror is caused to rotate, the angle described by the image is twice that described by the mirror.

76. In a mirror inclined at an angle of 45 degrees to the horizon, the image of an erect object appears horizontal, while the image of a horizontal object appears erect.

77. An object placed between two mirrors enclosing an angle yields a number of images depending upon the angle enclosed by the mirrors. The smaller the angle, the greater is the number of images. To find the number of images, divide 360° by the number of degrees in the angle enclosed by the two mirrors, the quotient, if a whole number, will be the number of images, plus one, or it will include the images and the object. The construction of the kaleidoscope depends on this.

78. When the angle becomes 0—in other words, when the mirrors are parallel—the number of images is infinite. Practically, however, we see between parallel mirrors a long succession of images, which become gradually feebler, and finally cease to be sensible to the eye.

Reflection from Curved Surfaces: Concave Mirrors.

79. It has been already stated and illustrated that light moves in straight lines, which receive the name of rays. Such rays may be either divergent, parallel, or convergent.

80. Rays issuing from terrestrial points are necessarily divergent. Rays from the sun or stars are, in consequence

of the immense distances of these objects, sensibly par-
allel.

81. By suitably reflecting them, we can render the
rays from terrestrial sources either parallel or convergent.
This is done by means of *concave* mirrors.

82. In its reflection from such mirrors, light obeys the
law already enunciated for plane mirrors. The angle of
incidence is equal to the angle of reflection.

FIG. 1.

83. Let M N be a very small portion of the circum-
ference of a circle with its centre at O. Let the line *a x*,
passing through the centre, cut the arc M N into two equal
parts at *a*. Then imagine the curve M N twirled round
a x as a fixed axis; the curve would describe part of a
spherical surface. Suppose the surface turned toward *x* to
be silvered over, we should then have a concave spherical
reflector; and we have now to understand the action of
this reflector upon light.

84. The line *a x* is the principal axis of the mirror.

85. All rays from a point placed at the centre O strike
the surface of the mirror as perpendiculars, and after re-
flection return to O.

86. A luminous point placed on the axis beyond O, say

at x, throws a divergent cone of rays upon the mirror. These rays are rendered convergent on reflection, and they intersect each other at some point on the axis between the centre O and the mirror. In every case the direct and the reflected rays ($x\,m$ and $m\,x'$ for example) enclose equal angles with the radius (O m) drawn to the point of incidence.

87. Supposing x to be exceedingly distant, say as far away as the sun from the small mirror—or, more correctly, supposing it to be *infinitely* distant—then the rays falling upon the mirror will be *parallel*. After reflection such rays intersect each other, *at a point midway between the mirror and its centre*.

88. This point, which is marked F in the figure, is the *principal focus* of the mirror ; that is to say, the principal focus is the focus of *parallel rays*.

89. The distance between the surface of the mirror and its principal focus is called the *focal distance*.

90. In optics, the position of an object and of its image are always exchangeable. If a luminous point be placed in the principal focus, the rays from it will, after reflection, be parallel. If the point be placed anywhere between the principal focus and the centre O, the rays after reflection will cut the axis at some point beyond the centre.

91. If the point be placed between the principal focus F and the mirror, the rays after reflection will be *divergent* —they will not intersect at all—there will be no *real* focus.

92. But if these divergent rays be produced backward, they will intersect *behind* the mirror, and form there what is called a *virtual*, or imaginary focus.

Before proceeding further, it is necessary that these simple details should be thoroughly mastered. Given the position of a point in the axis of a concave mirror, no dif-

ficulty must be experienced in finding the position of the image of that point, nor in determining whether the focus is *virtual* or *real.*

93. It will thus become evident that, while a point moves from an infinite distance to the centre of a spherical mirror, the image of that point moves only over the distance between the principal focus and the centre. Conversely, it will be seen that during the passage of a luminous point from the centre to the principal focus, the image of the point moves from the centre to an infinite distance.

94. The point and its image occupy what are called *conjugate foci.* If the last note be understood, it will be seen that the conjugate foci move in opposite directions, and that they coincide at the centre of the mirror.

95. If instead of a point an object of sensible dimensions be placed beyond the centre of the mirror, an *inverted* image of the object *diminished* in size will be formed between the centre and the principal focus.

96. If the object be placed between the centre and the principal focus, an inverted and *magnified* image of the object will be formed beyond the centre. The positions of the image and its object are, it will be remembered, convertible.

97. In the two cases mentioned in 95 and 96 the image is formed in the air in *front* of the mirror. It is a *real* image. But if the object be placed between the principal focus and the mirror, an *erect* and magnified image of the object is seen behind the mirror. The image is here *virtual.* The rays enter the eye *as if* they came from an object behind the mirror.

98. It is plain that the images seen in a common looking-glass are all virtual images.

99. It is now to be noted that what has been here

stated regarding the gathering of rays to a *single focus*
by a spherical mirror is only true when the mirror forms
a small fraction of the spherical surface. Even then it is
only practically, not strictly and theoretically, true.

Caustics by Reflection (Catacaustics).

100. When a large fraction of the spherical surface is
employed as a mirror, the rays are not all collected to a
point; their intersections, on the contrary, form a lumi-
nous *surface*, which in optics is called a *caustic* (German,
Brennfläche).

101. The interior surface of a common drinking-glass
is a curved reflector. Let the glass be nearly filled with
milk, and a lighted candle placed beside it; a caustic
curve will be drawn upon the surface of the milk. A
carefully-bent hoop, silvered within, also shows the caustic
very beautifully. The focus of a spherical mirror is the
cusp of its caustic.

102. *Aberration.*—The deviation of any ray from this
cusp is called the *aberration* of the ray. The inability of
a spherical mirror to collect all the rays falling upon it
to a single point is called the *spherical aberration* of the
mirror.

103. Real images, as already stated, are formed in the
air in front of a concave mirror, and they may be seen
in the air by an eye placed among the divergent rays be-
yond the image. If an opaque screen, say of thick paper,
intersect the image, it is projected on the screen and is
seen *in all positions* by an eye placed in front of the screen.
If the screen be semi-transparent, say of ground glass or
tracing-paper, the image is seen by an eye placed either in
front of the screen or behind it. The images in phantas-
magoria are thus formed.

Concave spherical surfaces are usually employed as

burning-mirrors. By condensing the sunbeams with a
mirror 3 feet in diameter and of 2 feet focal distance, very
powerful effects may be obtained. At the focus, water is
rapidly boiled, and combustible bodies are immediately
set on fire. Thick paper bursts into flame with explosive
violence, and a plank is pierced as with a hot iron.

Convex Mirrors.

104. In the case of a *convex* spherical mirror the posi-
tions of its foci and of its images are found as in the case
of a concave mirror. But all the foci and all the images
of a convex mirror are virtual.

105. Thus to find the principal focus you draw parallel
rays which, on reflection, enclose angles with the radii
equal to those enclosed by the direct rays. The reflected
rays are here *divergent;* but on being produced back-
ward, they intersect at the principal focus *behind the
mirror.*

106. The drawing of *two* lines suffices to fix the posi-
tion of the image of any point of an object either in con-
cave or convex spherical mirrors. A ray drawn from the
point through the centre of the mirror will be reflected
through the centre; a ray drawn parallel to the axis of
the mirror will, after reflection, pass, or its production
will pass, through the principal focus. The intersection
of these two reflected rays determines the position of the
image of the point. Applying this construction to objects
of sensible magnitude, it follows that the image of an
object in a convex mirror is always *erect* and *diminished.*

107. If the mirror be *parabolic* instead of spherical, all
parallel rays falling upon the mirror are collected to a
point at its focus; conversely, a luminous point placed at
the focus sends forth parallel rays: there is no aberration.
If the mirror be *elliptical,* all rays emitted from one of the

foci of the ellipsoid are collected together at the other. Parabolic reflectors are employed in light-houses, where it is an object to send a powerful beam, consisting of rays as nearly as possible parallel, far out to sea. In this case the centre of the flame is placed in the focus of the mirror; but, inasmuch as the flame is of sensible magnitude, and not a mere point, the rays of the reflected beam are not accurately parallel.

The Refraction of Light (Dioptrics).

108. We have hitherto confined our attention to the portion of a beam of light which rebounds from the reflecting surface. But, in general, a portion of the beam also *enters* the reflecting substance, being rapidly quenched when the substance is opaque (see note 11), and freely *transmitted* when the substance is transparent.

109. Thus in the case of water, mentioned in note 60, when the incidence is perpendicular all the rays are transmitted, save the 18 referred to as being reflected. That is to say, 982 out of every 1,000 rays enter the water and pass through it.

110. So likewise in the case of mercury, mentioned in the same note; 334 out of every 1,000 rays falling on the mercury at a perpendicular incidence, enter the metal and are quenched at a minute depth beneath its surface.

We have now to consider that portion of the luminous beam which enters the reflecting substance; taking, as an illustrative case, the passage from air into water.

111. If the beam fall upon the water as a perpendicular, it pursues a straight course through the water: if the incidence be oblique, the direction of the beam is changed at the point where it enters the water.

112. This bending of the beam is called *refraction.* Its amount is different in different substances.

Fig. 2.

113. The refraction of light obeys a perfectly rigid law which must be clearly understood. Let A B C D, Fig. 2, be the section of a cylindrical vessel which is half filled with water, its surface being A C. E is the centre of the circular section of the cylinder, and B D is a perpendicular to the surface at E. Let the cylindrical envelope of the vessel be opaque, say of brass or tin, and let an aperture be imagined in it at B, through which a narrow light-beam passes to the point E. The beam will pursue a straight course to D without turning to the right or to the left.

114. Let the aperture be imagined at m, the beam striking the surface of the water at E *obliquely.* Its course on entering the liquid will be changed; it will pursue the track E n.

115. Draw the line m o perpendicular to B D, and also the line n p perpendicular to the same B D. It is always found that m o divided by n p is *a constant quantity,* no matter what may be the angle at which the ray enters the water.

116. The angle marked x above the surface is called the angle of incidence; the angle at y below the surface is called the angle of refraction; and if we regard the radius of the circle A B C D as unity or 1, the line $m\ o$ will be the *sine* of the angle of incidence; while the line $n\ p$ will be the *sine* of the angle of refraction.

117. Hence the ill-important optical law—*The sine of the angle of incidence divided by the sine of the angle of refraction is a constant quantity.* However these angles may vary in size, this bond of relationship is never severed. If one of them be lessened or augmented, the other must diminish or increase so as to obey this law. Thus if the incidence be along the dotted line m' E, the refraction will be along the line E n', but the ratio of $m'\ o'$ to $n'\ p'$ will be precisely the same as that of $m\ o$ to $n\ p$.

118. The constant quantity here referred to is called *the index of refraction.*

119. One word more is necessary to the full comprehension of the term *sine*, and of the experimental demonstration of the law of refraction. When one number is divided by another the quotient is called the *ratio* of the one number to the other. Thus 1 divided by 2 is $\frac{1}{2}$, and this is the ratio of 1 to 2. Thus also 2 divided by 1 is 2, and this is the ratio of 2 to 1. In like manner 12 divided by 3 is 4, and this is the ratio of 12 to 3. Conversely, 3 divided by 12 is $\frac{1}{4}$, and this is the ratio of 3 to 12.

120. In a right-angled triangle the ratio of any side to the hypothenuse is found by dividing that side by the hypothenuse. *This ratio is the sine of the angle opposite to the side,* however large or small the triangle may be. Thus in Fig. 2 the sine of the angle x in the right-angled triangle E $o\ m$, is really the ratio of the line $o\ m$ to the hypothenuse E m; it would be expressed in a fractional form thus, $\frac{o\ m}{E\ m}$. In like manner the sine of y is the ratio

of the line $n\,p$ to the hypothenuse E n, and would be ex-
pressed in a fractional form thus, $\dfrac{n\,p}{\mathrm{E}\,n}$. These fractions are
the sines of the respective angles, whatever be the length
of the line E m or E n. In the particular case above re-
ferred to, where these lines are considered as units, the
fractions $\dfrac{m\,o}{1}$ and $\dfrac{n\,p}{1}$, or in other words $m\,o$ and $n\,p$, be-
come, as stated, the sines of the respective angles. We
are now prepared to understand a simple but rigid dem-
onstration of the law of refraction.

FIG. 3.

121. M L J K is a cell with parallel glass sides and one
opaque end M L. The light of a candle placed at A falls
into the vessel, the end M L casting a shadow which
reaches to the point E. Fill the vessel with water—the
shadow retreats to H through the refraction of the light
at the point where it enters the water.

122. The angle enclosed between M E and M L is equal
to the angle of incidence x, and, in accordance with the
definition given in 120, $\dfrac{\mathrm{L\,E}}{\mathrm{M\,E}}$ is its sine; while $\dfrac{\mathrm{L\,H}}{\mathrm{M\,H}}$ is the
sine of the angle of refraction y. All these lines can be

either measured or calculated. If they be thus determined, and if the division be actually made, it will always be found that the two quotients $\dfrac{L\,E}{M\,E}$ and $\dfrac{L\,H}{M\,H}$ stand in a constant ratio to each other, whatever the angle may be at which the light from A strikes the surface of the liquid. This ratio in the case of water is $\dfrac{4}{3}$, or, expressed in decimals, 1.333.*

123. When the light passes from air into water, the refracted ray is bent *toward* the perpendicular. This is generally, but not always, the case when the light passes from a rarer to a denser medium.

124. The principle of reversibility which runs through the whole of optics finds illustration here. When the ray passes from water to air it is bent *from* the perpendicular: it accurately reverses its course.

125. If instead of water we employed vinegar the ratio would be 1.344; with brandy it would be 1.360; with rectified spirit of wine 1.372; with oil of almonds or with olive oil 1.470; with spirit of turpentine 1.605; with oil of aniseseed 1.538; with oil of bitter almonds 1.471; with bisulphide of carbon 1.678; with phosphorus 2.24.

126. These numbers express the indices of refraction of the various substances mentioned; all of them refract the light more powerfully than water, and it is worthy of remark that, all of them, except vinegar, are *combustible* substances.

127. It was the observation on the part of Newton, that, having regard to their density, "unctuous substances" as a general rule refracted light powerfully, coupled with the fact that the index of refraction of the diamond reached, according to his measurements, so high

* More accurately, 1.336.

a figure as 2.439, that caused him to foresee the possible
combustible nature of the diamond. The bold prophecy
of Newton[*] has been fulfilled, the combustion of a dia-
mond being one of the commonest experiments of modern
chemistry.

128. It is here worth noting that the refraction by
spirit of turpentine is greater than that by water, though
the density of the spirit is to that of the water as 874 is to
1,000. A ray passing obliquely from the spirit of turpen-
tine into water is bent *from* the perpendicular, though it
passes from a rarer to a denser medium; while a ray pass-
ing from water into the spirit of turpentine is bent *toward*
the perpendicular, though it passes from a denser to a
rarer medium. Hence the necessity for the words "not
always" employed in 123.

129. If a ray of light pass through a refracting plate
with parallel surfaces, or through any number of plates
with parallel surfaces, on regaining the medium from
which it started, its original direction is restored. This
follows from the principle of reversibility already re-
ferred to.

130. In passing through a refracting body, or through
any number of refracting bodies, the light accomplishes its
transit in the *minimum of time*. That is to say, given the
velocity of light in the various media, the path chosen by
the ray, or, in other words, the path which its refraction
imposes upon the ray, enables it to perform its journey in
the most rapid manner possible.

131. Refraction always causes water to appear shal-
lower, or a transparent plate of any kind thinner, than it

* " Car ce grand homme, qui mettait la plus grande sévérité dans ses
expériences, et la plus grande réserve dans ses conjectures, n'hésitait
jamais à suivre les conséquences d'une vérité aussi loin qu'elle pouvait
le conduire."—BIOT.

really is. The lifting up of the lower surface of a glass cube, through this cause, is very remarkable.

132. To understand why the water appears shallower, fix your attention on a point at its bottom, and suppose the line of vision from that point to the eye to be perpendicular to the surface of the water. Of all rays issuing from the point, the perpendicular one alone reaches the eye without refraction. Those close to the perpendicular, on emerging from the water, have their divergence augmented by refraction. Producing these divergent rays backward, they intersect at a point above the real bottom, and at this point the bottom will be seen.

133. The apparent shallowness is augmented by looking obliquely into the water.

134. In consequence of this apparent rise of the bottom, a straight stick thrust into the water is bent at the surface *from* the perpendicular.

Note the difference between the deportment of the stick and of a luminous beam. The beam on entering the water is bent *toward* the perpendicular.

135. This apparent lifting of the bottom when water is poured into a basin brings into sight an object at the bottom of the basin which is unseen when the basin is empty.

Opacity of Transparent Mixtures.

136. Reflection always accompanies refraction; and if one of these disappear, the other will disappear also. A solid body immersed in a liquid having the same refractive index as the solid, vanishes; it is no more seen than a portion of the liquid itself of the same size would be seen.

137. But in the passage from one medium to another of a different refractive index, light is always reflected; and this reflection may be so often repeated as to render the mixture of two transparent substances practically im-

pervious to light. It is the frequency of the reflections at
the limiting surfaces of air and water that renders *foam*
opaque. The blackest clouds owe their gloom to this re-
peated reflection, which diminishes their *transmitted* light.
Hence also their whiteness by *reflected* light. To a similar
cause is due the whiteness and imperviousness of common
salt, and of transparent bodies generally when crushed to
powder. The individual particles transmit light freely;
but the reflections at their surfaces are so numerous that
the light is wasted in echoes before it can reach to any
depth in the powder.

138. The whiteness and opacity of writing-paper are
due mainly to the same cause. It is a web of transparent
fibres, not in optical contact, which intercept the light by
repeatedly reflecting it.

139. But if the interstices of the fibres be filled by a
body of the same refractive index as the fibres themselves,
the reflection at their limiting surfaces is destroyed, and
the paper is rendered transparent. This is the philosophy
of the tracing-paper used by engineers. It is saturated
with some kind of oil, the lines of maps and drawings
being easily copied *through it* afterward. Water aug-
ments the transparency of paper, as it darkens a white
towel; but its refractive index is too low to confer on
either any high degree of transparency. It, however,
renders certain minerals, which are opaque when dry,
translucent.

140. The higher the refractive index the more copious
is the reflection. The refractive index of water, for ex-
ample, is 1.336; that of glass is 1.5. Hence the different
quantities of light reflected by water and glass at a per-
pendicular incidence, as mentioned in note 60. It is its
enormous refractive strength that confers such brilliancy
upon the diamond.

Total Reflection.

Read notes 123 and 124; then continue here.

141. When the angle of incidence from air into water is nearly 90°, that is to say, when the ray before entering the water just grazes its surface, the angle of refraction is 48½°. Conversely, when a ray passing from water into air strikes the surface at an angle of 48¼°, it will, on its emergence, just graze the surface of the water.

142. If the angle which the ray in water encloses with the perpendicular to the surface be greater than 48¼°, the ray will not quit the water at all: it will be *totally reflected* at the surface.

143. The angle which marks the limit where total reflection begins is called the *limiting angle* of the medium. For water this angle is 48° 27', for flint glass it is 38° 41', while for diamond it is 23° 42'.

144. Realize clearly that a bundle of light rays filling an angular space of 90° before they enter the water, are squeezed into an angular space of 48° 27' within the water, and that in the case of diamond the condensation is from 90° to 23° 42'.

145. To an eye in still water its margin must appear *lifted up*. A fish, for example, sees objects, as it were, through a circular aperture of about 97° (twice 47° 27') in diameter overhead. All objects down to the horizon will be visible in this space, and those near the horizon will be much distorted and contracted in dimensions, especially in height. Beyond the limits of this circle will be seen the bottom of the water totally reflected, and therefore depicted as vividly as if seen by direct vision.[*]

146. A similar effect, exerted by the atmosphere (when

[*] Sir John Herschel.

no clouds cross the orbs), gives the sun and moon at rising and setting a slightly flattened appearance.

147. *Experimental Illustrations.*—Place a shilling in a drinking-glass; cover it with water to about the depth of an inch, and tilt the glass so as to obtain the necessary obliquity of incidence at the surface. Looking upward toward the surface, the image of the shilling will be seen shining there, and, as the reflection is total, the image will be as bright as the shilling itself. A spoon suitably dipped into the glass also yields an image due to total reflection.

148. Thrust the closed end of an empty test-tube into a glass of water. Incline the tube, until the horizontal light falling upon it shall be totally reflected upward. When looked down upon, the tube appears shining like burnished silver. Pour a little water into the tube: as the liquid rises, it abolishes total reflection, and with it the lustre, leaving a gradually diminishing lustrous zone, which disappears wholly when the level of the water within rises to, or above, that of the water without. A tube of any kind stopped water-tight will answer for this experiment, which is both beautiful and instructive.

149. If a ray of light fall as a perpendicular on the side of a right-angled isosceles glass prism, it will enter the glass and strike the hypothenuse at an angle of 45°. This exceeds the limiting angle of glass; the ray will therefore be totally reflected; and, in accordance with the law mentioned in note 54, the direct and reflected rays will be at right angles to each other. When such a change of direction is required in optical instruments, a right-angled isosceles prism is usually employed.

150. When the ray enters the prism parallel to the hypothenuse, it will be refracted, and will strike the hy- pothenuse at an angle greater than the limiting angle. It

will, therefore, be totally reflected. If the object, instead of being a point, be of sensible magnitude, the rays from its extremities will *cross each other* within the prism, and hence the object will appear *inverted* when looked at through the prism. Dove has applied the "reversion prism" to render erect the inverted images of the astronomical telescope.

151. The mirage of the desert and various other phantasmal appearances in the atmosphere are, in part, due to total reflection. When the sun heats an expanse of sand, the layer of air in contact with the sand becomes lighter than the superincumbent air. The rays from a distant object, a tree for example, striking very obliquely upon the upper surface of this layer, may be totally reflected, thus showing images similar to those produced by a surface of water. The thirsty soldiers of the French army were tantalized by such appearances in Egypt.

152. Gases, like liquids and solids, can refract and reflect light; but, in consequence of the lowness of their refractive indices, both reflection and refraction are feeble. Still, atmospheric refraction has to be taken into account by the astronomer, and by those engaged in trigonometrical surveys. The refraction of the atmosphere causes the sun to be seen before it actually rises, and after it actually sets.

153. The quivering of objects seen through air rising over a heated surface is due to irregular refraction, which incessantly shifts the directions of the rays of light. In the air this shifting of the rays is never entirely absent, and it is often a source of grievous annoyance to the astronomer who needs a homogeneous atmosphere.

154. The flame of a candle or of a gas-lamp, and the column of heated air above the flame; the air rising from

a red-hot iron; the pouring of a heavy gas, such as car-
bonic acid, downward into air; and the issue of a lighter
one, such as hydrogen, upward — may all be made to
reveal themselves by their action upon a sufficiently in-
tense light. The transparent gases interposed between
such a light and a white screen are seen to rise like smoke
upon the screen through the effects of refraction.

Lenses.

155. A lens in optics is a portion of a refracting sub-
stance, such as glass, which is bounded by curved sur-
faces. If the surface be spherical, the lens is called a
spherical lens.

156. Lenses divide themselves into two classes, one of
which renders parallel rays convergent, the other of which
renders such rays divergent. Each class comprises three
kinds of lenses, which are named as follows:

Converging Lenses.

1. Double convex, with both surfaces convex.
2. Plano-convex, with one surface plane and the other
convex.
3. Concavo-convex (Meniscus), with a concave and
a convex surface, · the convex surface being the most
strongly curved.

Diverging Lenses.

1. Double concave, with both surfaces concave.
2. Plano-concave, with one surface plane and the
other concave.
3. Convexo-concave, with a convex and a concave
surface, the concave surface being the most strongly
curved.

157. A straight line drawn through the centre of the lens, and perpendicular to its two convex surfaces, is the principal axis of the lens.

158. A luminous beam falling on a convex lens parallel to the axis, has its constituent rays brought to intersection at a point in the axis behind the lens. This point is the principal focus of the lens. As before, the principal focus is the focus of parallel rays.

159. The rays from a luminous point placed beyond the focus intersect at the opposite side of the lens, an image of the point being formed at the place of intersection. As the point approaches the principal focus its image retreats from it, and when the point actually reaches the principal focus, its image is at an infinite distance.

160. If the principal focus be passed, and the point come between that focus and the lens, the rays after passing through the lens will be still divergent. Producing them backward; they will intersect on that side of the lens on which stands the luminous point. The focus here is *virtual.* A body of sensible magnitude placed between the focus and the lens would have a virtual image.

161. When an object of sensible dimensions is placed anywhere beyond the principal focus, a real image of the object will be formed in the air behind the lens. The image may be either greater or less than the object in size, but the image will always be *inverted.*

162. The positions of the image and the object are, as before, convertible.

163. In the case of concave lenses the images are always virtual.

164. A spherical lens is incompetent to bring all the rays that fall upon it to the same focus. The rays which pass through the lens near its circumference are more

refracted than those which pass through the central por-
tions, and they intersect earlier. Where perfect definition
is required it is therefore usual, though at the expense of
illumination, to make use of the central rays only.

165. This difference of focal distance between the cen-
tral and circumferential rays is called the *spherical aberra-
tion* of the lens. A lens so curved as to bring all rays to
the same focus is called *aplanatic ;* a spherical lens cannot
be rendered aplanatic.

166. As in the case of spherical mirrors, spherical lenses
have their caustic curves and surfaces (diacaustics) formed
by the intersection of the refracted rays.

Vision and the Eye.

167. The human eye is a compound lens, consisting
of three principal parts: the *aqueous humor*, the *crystal-
line lens*, and the *vitreous humor*.

168. The aqueous humor is held in front of the eye by
the *cornea*, a transparent, horny capsule, resembling a
watch-glass in shape. Behind the aqueous humor, and
immediately in front of the crystalline lens, is the *iris*,
which surrounds the *pupil*. Then follow the lens and
the vitreous humor, which last constitutes the main body
of the eye. The average diameter of the human eye is
10.9 lines.*

169. When the optic nerve enters the eye from behind,
it divides into a series of filaments, which are woven to-
gether to form the *retina*, a delicate net-work spread as a
screen at the back of the eye. The retina rests upon a
black pigment, which reduces to a minimum all internal
reflection.

170. By means of the iris the size of the pupil may be
caused to vary within certain limits. When the light is

* A line is $\frac{1}{12}$th of an inch.

feeble the pupil expands, when it is intense the pupil contracts; thus the quantity of light admitted into the eye is, to some extent, regulated. The pupil also diminishes when the eye is fixed upon a near object, and expands when it is fixed upon a distant one.

171. The pupil appears black; partly because of the internal black coating, but mainly for another reason. Could we illuminate the retina, and see at the same time the illuminated spot, the pupil would appear bright. But the principle of reversibility, so often spoken of, comes into play here. The light of the illuminated spot in returning outward retraces its steps, and finally falls upon the source of illumination. Hence, to receive the returning rays, the observer's eye ought to be placed between the source and the retina. But in this position it would cut off the illumination. If the light be thrown into the eye by a mirror pierced with a small orifice, or with a small portion of the silvering removed, then the eye of the observer placed behind the mirror, and looking through the orifice, may see the illuminated retina. The pupil under these circumstances glows like a live coal. This is the principle of the *Ophthalmoscope* (Augenspiegel, Helmholtz), an instrument by which the interior of the eye may be scanned, and its condition in health or disease noted.

172. In the case of albinos, or of white rabbits, the black pigment is absent, and the pupil is seen red by light which passes through the *sclerotica*, or white of the eye. When this light is cut off, the pupil of an albino appears black. In some animals the black pigment is displaced by a reflecting membrane, the *tapetum*. It is the light reflected from the tapetum which causes a cat's eye to shine in partial darkness. The light in this case is not internal, for when the darkness is *total* the cat's eyes do not shine.

173. In the camera obscura of the photographer the images of external objects formed by a convex lens are received upon a plate of ground glass, the lens being pushed in or out until the image upon the glass is sharply defined.

174. The eye is a camera obscura, with its refracting lenses, the retina playing the part of the plate of ground glass in the ordinary camera. For perfectly distinct vision it is necessary that the image upon the retina should be perfectly defined; in other words, that the rays from every point of the object looked at should be converged to a *point* upon the retina.

175. The image upon the retina is *inverted.*

Adjustment of the Eye: Use of Spectacles.

176. If the letters of a book held at some distance from the eye be looked at through a gauze veil placed nearer the eye, it will be found that when the letters are seen distinctly, the veil is seen indistinctly; conversely, if the veil be seen distinctly, the letters will be seen indistinctly. This demonstrates that the images of objects at different distances from the eye cannot be defined *at the same time* upon the retina.

177. Were the eye a rigid mass, like a glass lens, incapable of change of form, distinct vision would only be possible at one particular distance. We know, however, that the eye possesses a power of adjustment for different distances. This adjustment is effected, not by pushing the front of the eye backward or forward, but by changing the curvature of the crystalline lens.

178. The image of a candle reflected from the forward or backward surface of the lens is seen *to diminish when the eye changes from distant to near vision, thus proving

the curvature of the lens to be greater for near than for distant vision.

179. The principal refraction endured by rays of light in crossing the eye occurs at the surface of the cornea, where the passage is from air to a much denser medium. The refraction at the cornea alone would cause the rays to intersect at a point nearly half an inch behind the retina. The convergence is augmented by the crystalline lens, which brings the point of intersection forward to the retina itself.

180. A line drawn through the centre of the cornea and the centre of the whole eye to the retina is called the axis of the eye. The length of the axis, even in youth, is sometimes too small; in other words, the retina is sometimes too near the cornea; so that the refracting part of the organ is unable tó converge the rays from a luminous point so as to bring them to a point upon the retina. In old age also the refracting surfaces of the eye are slightly flattened, and thus rendered incompetent to refract the rays sufficiently. In both these cases the image would be formed *behind* the retina, instead of *upon* it, and hence the vision is indistinct.

181. The defect is remedied by holding the object at a distance from the eye, so as to lessen the divergence of its rays, or by placing in front of the eye a convex lens, which helps the eye to produce the necessary convergence. This is the use of spectacles.

182. The eye is also sometimes too long in the direction of the axis, or the curvature of the refracting surfaces may be too great. In either case the rays entering the pupil are converged so as to intersect before reaching the retina. This defect is remedied either by holding the object very close to the eye, so as to augment the divergence of its rays, thus throwing back the point of intersection; or

3

by placing in front of the eye a concave lens, which pro-
duces the necessary divergence.

183. The eye is not adjusted at the same time for
equally-distant horizontal and vertical objects. The dis-
tance of distinct vision is greater for horizontal lines than
for vertical ones. Draw with ink two lines at right angles
to each other, the one vertical, the other horizontal: see
one of them distinctly black and sharp; the other appears
indistinct, as if drawn in lighter ink. Adjust the eye for
this latter line, the former will then appear indistinct.
This difference in the curvature of the eye in two direc-
tions may sometimes become so great as to render the
application of cylindrical lenses necessary for its correc-
tion.

The Punctum Cæcum.

184. The spot where the optic nerve enters the eye, and
from which it ramifies to form the net-work of the retina,
is insensible to the action of light. An object whose image
falls upon that spot is not seen. The image of a clock-
face, of a human head, of the moon, may be caused to fall
upon this " blind spot," and when this is the case the object
is not visible.

185. To illustrate this point, proceed thus: Lay two
white wafers on black paper, or two black ones on white
paper, with an interval of 3 inches between them. Bring
the right eye at a height of 10 or 11 inches exactly over
the left-hand wafer, so that the line joining the two eyes
shall be parallel to the line joining the two wafers. Closing
the left eye, and looking steadily with the right at the
left-hand wafer, the right-hand one ceases to be visible.
In this position the image falls upon the " blind spot" of
the right eye. If the eye be turned in the least degree to
the right or left, or if the distance between it and the paper

be augmented or diminished, the wafer is immediately
seen. Preserving these proportions as to size and distance,
objects of far greater dimensions than the wafer may have
their images thrown upon the blind spot, and be obliter-
ated.

Persistence of Impressions.

186. An impression of light once made upon the retina
does not subside instantaneously. An electric spark is
sensibly instantaneous; but the impression it makes upon
the eye remains for some time after the spark has passed
away. This interval of persistence varies with different
persons, and amounts to a sensible fraction of a second.

187. If, therefore, a succession of sparks follow each
other at intervals less than the time which the impression
endures, the separate impressions will unite to form a con-
tinuous light. If a luminous point be caused to describe
a circle in less than this interval, the circle will appear as
a continuous closed curve. From this cause, also, the
spokes of a rapidly-rotating wheel blend together to a
shadowy surface. Wheatstone's Photometer is based on
this persistence. It also explains the action of those instru-
ments in which a series of objects in different positions
being brought in rapid succession before the eye, the im-
pression of motion is produced.

188. A jet of water descending from an orifice in the
bottom of a vessel exhibits two distinct parts : a tranquil
pellucid portion near the orifice, and a turbid or untranquil
portion lower down. Both parts of the jet appear equally
continuous. But when the jet in a dark room is illumi-
nated by an electric spark, all the turbid portion reveals
itself as a string of separate drops standing perfectly still.
It is their quick succession that produces the impression
of continuity. The most rapid cannon-ball, illuminated by

a flash of lightning, would be seen for the fraction of a
second perfectly motionless in the air.

189. The eye is by no means a perfect optical instru-
ment. It suffers from spherical aberration; a scattered
luminosity, more or less strong, always surrounding the
defined images of luminous objects upon the retina. By
this luminosity the image of the object is sensibly increased
in size; but with ordinary illumination the scattered light
is too feeble to be noticed. When, however, bodies are
intensely illuminated, more especially when the bodies are
small, so that a slight extension of their images upon the
retina becomes noticeable, such bodies appear larger than
they really are. Thus, a platinum-wire raised to whiteness
by a voltaic current has its apparent diameter enormously
increased. Thus also the crescent moon seems to belong
to a larger sphere than the dimmer mass of the satellite
which it partially clasps. Thus also, at considerable dis-
tances, the parallel flashes sent from a number of separate
lamps and reflectors in a light-house encroach upon each
other, and blend together to a single flash. The white-
hot particles of carbon in a flame describe *lines* of light,
because of their rapid upward motion. These lines are
widened to the eye; and thus a far greater apparent *so-
lidity* is imparted to the flame than in reality belongs to it.

189a. This augmentation of the true size of the optical
image is called *Irradiation.*

Bodies seen within the Eye.

190. Almost every eye contains bodies more or less
opaque distributed through its humors. The so-called
muscæ volitantes are of this character; so are the black
dots, snake-like lines, beads, and rings, which are strikingly
visible in many eyes. Were the area of the pupil con
tracted to a point, such bodies might produce considerable

annoyance; but because of the width of the pupil the shadows which these small bodies would otherwise cast upon the retina are practically obliterated, except when they are very close to the back of the eye.* It is only necessary to look at the firmament through a pinhole to give these shadows greater definition upon the retina.

191. The veins and arteries of the retina itself also cast their shadows upon its posterior surface; but the shaded spaces soon become so sensitive to light as to compensate for the defect of light falling upon them. Hence under ordinary circumstances the shadows are not seen. But if the shadows be transported to a less sensitive portion of the retina, the image of the vessels becomes distinctly visible.

192. The best mode of obtaining the transference of the shadow is to concentrate in a dark room, by means of a pocket lens of short focus, a small image of the sun or of the electric light upon the *white* of the eye. Care must be taken not to send the beam through the pupil. When the small lens is caused to move to and fro, the shadows are caused to travel over different portions of the retina, and a perfectly defined image of the veins and arteries is seen projected in the darkness in front of the eye.

193. Looking into a dark space, and moving a candle at the same time to and fro beside the eye, so that the rays enter the pupil very obliquely, the shadow of the retinal vessels is also obtained. In some eyes the suddenness and vigor with which the spectral image displays itself are extraordinary; others find it difficult to obtain the effect.

194. Finally, a delicate image of the vessels may be obtained by looking through a pinhole at the bright sky, and moving the aperture to and fro.

The Stereoscope.

195. Look with one eye at the edge of the hand, so that the finger nearest the eye shall cover all the others. Then open the second eye; by it the other fingers will be seen foreshortened. *The images of the hand therefore within the two eyes are different.*

196. These two images are projected on the two retinæ; if by any means we could combine two drawings, executed on a flat surface, so as to produce within the two eyes two pictures similar to the two images of the solid hand, we should obtain the impression of *solidity.* This is done by the stereoscope.

197. The first form of this instrument was invented by Sir Charles Wheatstone. He took drawings of solid objects as seen by the two eyes, and looked at the images of these drawings in two plane mirrors. Each eye looked at the image which belonged to it, and the mirrors were so arranged that the images overlapped, thus appearing to come from the same object. By this combination of its two plane projections, the object sketched was caused to start forth as a solid.

198. In looking at and combining two such drawings, the eyes receive the same impression, and go through the same process as when they look at the real object. We see only one point of an object distinctly at a time. If the different points of an object be at different distances from the eyes, to see the near points distinctly requires a greater convergence of the axes of the eyes than to see the distant ones. Now, besides the identity of the retinal images of the stereoscopic drawings with those of the real object, the eyes, in order to cause the corresponding pairs of points of the two drawings to coalesce, have to go through the same

variations of convergence that are necessary to see distinctly the various points of the actual object. Hence the impression of solidity produced by the combination of such drawings.

199. Measure the distance between *two pairs* of points, which when combined by the stereoscope present two *single points* at different distances from the eye. The distance between the one pair will be greater than that between the other pair. Different degrees of convergence are therefore necessary on the part of the eye to combine the two pairs of points. It is to be noted that the coalescence produced in the stereoscope at any particular moment is only *partial*. If one pair of corresponding points be seen singly, the others must appear double. This is also the case when an actual solid is looked at with both eyes; of those points of it which are at different distances from the eyes one only is seen singly at a time.

200. The impression of solidity may be produced in an exceedingly striking manner without any stereoscope at all. Most easily, thus: Take two drawings—projections, as they are called—of the frustum of a cone; the one as it is seen by the right eye, the other as it is seen by the left. Holding them at some distance from the eyes, let the left-hand drawing be looked at by the right eye, and the right-hand drawing by the left. The lines of vision of the two eyes here cross each other; and it is easy, after a few trials with a pencil-point placed in front of the eyes, to make two corresponding points of the drawings coincide. The moment they coincide, the combined drawings start forth as a single solid, suspended in the air at the place of intersection of the lines of vision. It depends upon the character of the drawings whether the inside of the frustum is seen, or the outside, whether its base or its top seems nearest to the eye. For this experiment the drawings are best made

in simple outline, and they may be immensely larger than
ordinary stereoscopic drawings.

Take notice that here also the different pairs of the
corresponding points are at different distances apart.
Two corresponding points, for example, of the top of the
frustum will not be the same distance asunder as two
points of the base.

201. Wheatstone's first instrument is called the Re-
flecting Stereoscope; but the methods of causing draw-
ings to coalesce so as to produce stereoscope effects are
almost numberless. The instrument most used by the
public is the Lenticular Stereoscope of Sir David Brews-
ter. In it the two projections are combined by means of
two half lenses with their edges turned inward. The len-
ticular stereoscope also magnifies.*

202. It has been stated in note 198 that for the dis-
tinct vision of a near point a greater convergence of the
lines of vision of the two eyes is necessary than that of a
distant one. By an instrument in which two rectangular
prisms are employed,† the rays from two points may be
caused to *cross* before they enter the eyes, the convergence
being thus rendered greater for the distant point than
for the near one. The consequence of this is, that the
near point appears distant, and the distant point near.
This is the principle of Wheatstone's *pseudoscope*. By
this instrument convex surfaces are rendered concave, and
concave surfaces convex. The inside of a hat or teacup
may be thus converted into its outside, while a globe may
be seen as a concave spherical surface.

* Fuller and clearer information regarding the stereoscope will be
found in the *Journal of the Photographic Society*, vol. iii. pp. 96, 116,
and 167.

† See Note 150.

Nature of Light; Physical Theory of Reflection and Refraction.

It is now time to redeem to some extent the promise of our first note, that the "something" which excites the sensation of light should be considered more closely subsequently.

203. Every sensation corresponds to a motion excited in our nerves. In the sense of touch, the nerves are moved by the contact of the body felt; in the sense of smell, they are stirred by the infinitesimal particles of the odorous body; in the sense of hearing, they are shaken by the vibrations of the air.

Theory of Emission.

204. Newton supposed light to consist of small particles shot out with inconceivable rapidity by luminous bodies, and fine enough to pass through the pores of transparent media. Crossing the humors of the eye, and striking the optic nerve behind the eye, these particles were supposed to excite vision.

205. This is the *Emission Theory* or *Corpuscular Theory* of Light.

206. Considering the enormous velocity of light, the particles, if they exist, must be inconceivably small; for if of any conceivable weight, they would infallibly destroy so delicate an organ as the eye. A bit of ordinary matter, one grain in weight, and moving with the velocity of light, would possess the momentum of a cannon-ball 150 lbs. weight, moving with a velocity of 1,000 feet a second.

207. Millions of these light particles, supposing them to exist, concentrated by lenses and mirrors, have been shot against a balance suspended by a single spider's thread; this thread, though twisted 18,000 times, showed

no tendency to untwist itself; it was therefore devoid of torsion. But no motion due to the impact of the particles was even in this case observed.*

208. If light consists of minute particles, they must be shot out with the same velocity by all celestial bodies. This seems exceedingly unlikely, when the different gravitating forces of such different masses are taken into account. By the attractions of such diverse masses, the particles would in all probability be pulled back with different degrees of force.

209. If, for example, a fixed star of the sun's density possessed 250 times the sun's diameter, its attraction, supposing light to be acted on like ordinary matter, would be sufficient to finally stop the particles of light issuing from it. Smaller masses would exert corresponding degrees of retardation; and hence the light emitted by different bodies would move with different velocities. That such is not the case—that light moves with the same velocity whatever be its source—renders it probable that it does *not* consist of particles thus darted forth.

But a more definite and formidable objection to the Emission Theory may be stated after we have made ourselves acquainted with the account it rendered of the phenomena of reflection and refraction.

210. In direct reflection, according to the emission theory, the light particles are first of all stopped in their course by a repellent force exerted by the reflecting body, and then driven in the contrary direction by the same force.

211. This repulsion is, however, *selective*. The reflecting substance singles out one portion of the group of particles composing a luminous beam and drives them back;

* Bennett, *Phil. Trans.*, 1792.

but it attracts the remaining particles of the group and transmits them.

212. When a light particle approaches a refractive surface obliquely, if the particle be an attracted one, it is drawn toward the surface, as an ordinary projectile is drawn toward the earth. Refraction is thus accounted for. Like the projectile, too, the velocity of the light particle is *augmented* during its deflection; it enters the refracting medium with this increased velocity, and once within the medium, the attractions before and behind the particle neutralizing each other, the increased velocity is maintained.

213. Thus, it is an unavoidable consequence of the theory of Newton, that the bending of a ray of light toward the perpendicular is accompanied by an augmentation of velocity—that light in water moves more rapidly than in air, in glass more rapidly than in water, in diamond more rapidly than in glass. In short, that the higher the refractive index, the greater the velocity of the light.

214. A decisive test of the emission theory was thus suggested, and under that test the theory has broken down. For it has been demonstrated, by the most rigid experiments, that the velocity of light *diminishes* as the index of refraction increases. The theory, however, had yielded to the assaults made upon it long before this particular experiment was made.

Theory of Undulation.

215. The Emission Theory was first opposed by the celebrated astronomer Huyghens, and the no less celebrated mathematician Euler, both of whom held that light, like sound, was a product of *wave-motion*. Laplace, Malus, Biot, and Brewster, supported Newton, and the emission theory maintained its ground until it was finally over-

thrown by the labors of Thomas Young[*] and Augustin
Fresnel.

216. These two eminent philosophers, while adducing
whole classes of facts inexplicable by the emission theory,
succeeded in establishing the most complete parallelism
between optical phenomena and those of wave-motion.
The justification of a theory consists in its exclusive com-
petence to account for phenomena. On such a basis the
Wave Theory, or the *Undulatory Theory* of light, now
rests, and every day's experience only makes its founda-
tions more secure. This theory must for the future
occupy much of our attention.

217. In the case of sound, the velocity depends upon
the relation of elasticity to density in the body which
transmits the sound. The greater the elasticity the greater
is the velocity, and the less the density the greater is the
velocity. To account for the enormous velocity of propa-
gation in the case of light, the substance which transmits
it is assumed to be of both extreme elasticity and of ex-

---

[*] Dr. Young was appointed Professor of Natural Philosophy in the
Royal Institution, August 3, 1801. From a marble slab in the village
church of Farnborough, near Bromley, Kent, I copied, on the 11th of
April, the following inscription :

"Near this place are deposited the remains of THOMAS YOUNG, M. D.,
Fellow and Foreign Secretary of the Royal Society, Member of the Na-
tional Institute of France. A man alike eminent in almost every depart-
ment of human learning, whose many discoveries enlarged the bounds of
Natural Science, and who first penetrated the obscurity which had veiled
for ages the Hieroglyphics of Egypt.

"Endeared to his friends by his domestic virtues, Honored by the
world for his unrivalled acquirements, He died in the hope of the resur-
rection of the just.

          "Born at Milverton, in Somersetshire, June 13, 1773.
               "Died in Park Square, London, May 29, 1829,
                    "In the 56th year of his age."

treme tenuity. This substance is called the *Luminiferous
ether*.

218. It fills space; it surrounds the atoms of bodies;
it extends, without solution of continuity, through the
humors of the eye. The molecules of luminous bodies are
in a state of vibration. The vibrations are taken up by
the ether, and transmitted through it in waves. These
waves impinging on the retina excite the sensation of
light.

219. In the case of sound, the air-particles oscillate to
and fro in the direction *in* which the sound is transmitted;
in the case of light, the ether particles oscillate to and fro
across the direction in which the light is propagated. In
scientific language the vibrations of sound are *longitudi-
nal*, while the vibrations of light are *transversal*. In fact,
the mechanical properties of the ether are rather those of
a solid than of an air.

220. The *intensity* of the light depends on the distance
to which the ether particles move to and fro. This dis-
tance is called the *amplitude* of the vibration. The in-
tensity of light is proportional to the *square* of the ampli-
tude; it is also proportional to the square of the maximum
velocity of the vibrating particle.

221. The amplitude of the vibrations diminishes simply
as the distance increases; consequently the intensity,
which is expressed by the square of the amplitude, must
diminish inversely as the square of the distance. This,
in the language of the wave theory, is the law of inverse
squares.

222. The reflection of ether waves obeys the law es-
tablished in the case of light. The angle of incidence is
demonstrably equal to the angle of reflection.

223. To account for refraction, let us for the sake of
simplicity take a portion of a circular wave emitted by the

sun or some other distant body. A short portion of such
a wave would be *straight*. Suppose it to impinge from
air upon a plate of glass, the wave being in the first in-
stance *parallel* to the surface of the glass. Such a wave
would go through the glass without change of direction.

224. But as the velocity in glass is less than the veloci-
ty in air, the wave would be *retarded* on passing into the
denser medium.

225. But suppose the wave, before impact, to be *ob-
lique* to the surface of the glass; that end of the wave
which first reaches the glass will be first retarded, the
other portions being held back in succession. This retar-
dation of one end of the wave causes it to swing round;
so that when the wave has fully entered the glass its course
is oblique to its first direction. It is *refracted*.

226. If the glass into which the wave enters be a plate
with parallel surfaces, the portion of the wave which
reached the upper surface *first*, and was first retarded,
will also reach its under surface first, and escape earliest
from the retarding medium. This produces a second
swinging round of the wave, by which its original direc-
tion is restored. In this simple way the Wave Theory
accounts for Refraction.

227. The convergence or divergence of beams of light
by lenses is immediately deduced from the fact that the
different points of the ether wave reach the lens, and are
retarded by the lens in succession.

228. The density of the ether is greater in liquids and
solids than in gases, and greater in gases than in vacuo.
A compressing force seems to be exerted on the ether by
the molecules of these bodies. Now if the elasticity of the
ether increased in the same proportion as its density, the
one would neutralize the other, and we should have no
retardation of the velocity of light. The diminished ve-

-locity in highly-refracting bodies is accounted for by assuming that in such bodies the elasticity *in relation to the density* is less than in vacuo. The observed phenomena immediately flow from this assumption.

229. The case is precisely similar to that of sound in a gas or vapor which does not obey the law of Mariotte. The elasticity of such a gas or vapor, when compressed, increases less rapidly than the density; hence the diminished velocity of the sound.

230. But we are able to give a more distinct statement as to the influence which a refracting body has upon the velocity of light. Regard the lines *o m* and *n p* in Fig. 2, Note 113. These two lines *represent the velocities of light* in the two media there considered; or, expressed more generally, the sine of the angle of incidence represents the velocity of light in the first medium, while the sine of the angle of refraction represents the velocity in the second. *The index of refraction then is nothing else than the ratio of the two velocities.* Thus in the case of water, where the index of refraction is $\frac{4}{3}$, the velocity in air is to its velocity in water as 4 is to 3. In glass also, where the index of refraction is $\frac{3}{2}$, the velocity in air is to the velocity in glass as 3 is to 2. In other words the velocity of light in air is $1\frac{1}{3}$ times its velocity in water, and $1\frac{1}{2}$ times its velocity in glass. The velocity of light in air is about $2\frac{1}{4}$ times its velocity in diamond, and nearly three times its velocity in chromate of lead, the most powerfully refracting substance hitherto discovered. Strictly speaking, the index of refraction refers to the passage of a ray of light, not from *air*, but from a vacuum,* into the refracting body. Dividing the velocity of light in vacuo by its velocity in the refracting substance, the quotient is the index of refraction of that substance.

---

* That is to say, a vacuum save as regards the ether itself

231. In the wave theory, the rays of light are per-pendiculars to the waves of ether. Unlike the *wave*, the *ray* has no material existence; it is merely a direction.

Prisms.

232. It has been stated, in Note 129, that in the case of a plate of glass *with parallel surfaces*, the direction possessed by an oblique ray, prior to its meeting the glass, is restored when it quits the glass. This is not the case if the two surfaces at which the ray enters and emerges be not parallel.

233. When the ray passes through a wedge-shaped transparent substance, in a direction perpendicular to the edge of the wedge, it is *permanently* refracted. A body of this shape is called a *prism* in optics, and the angle en-closed by the two oblique sides of the wedge is called the *refracting angle.*

234. The larger the refracting angle the greater is the deflection of the ray from its original direction. But with the self-same prism the amount of the refraction varies with the direction pursued by the ray through the prism.

235. When that direction is such that the portion of the ray within the prism makes equal angles with the two sides of the prism, or, what is the same, with the ray be-fore it reaches the prism and after it has quitted it, then the total refraction is a *minimum.* This is capable both of mathematical and experimental proof; and on this result is based a method of determining the index of refraction.

236. The final direction of a refracted ray being un-altered by its passage through glass plates with parallel surfaces, we may employ hollow vessels composed of such plates and filled with liquids, thus obtaining liquid prisms.

Prismatic Analysis of Light ; Dispersion.

237. Newton first unravelled the solar light, proving it to be composed of an infinite number of rays of different degrees of refrangibility; when such light is sent through a prism, its constituent rays are drawn asunder. This act of drawing apart is called *dispersion.*

238. The waves of ether generated by luminous bodies are not all of the same length; some are longer than others. In refracting substances the short waves are *more retarded* than the longer ones; hence the short waves are more *refracted* than the long ones. This is the cause of dispersion.

239. The luminous image formed when a beam of white light is thus decomposed by a prism is called a *spectrum.* If the light employed be that of the sun, the image is called the solar spectrum.

240. The solar spectrum consists of a series of vivid colors, which, when reblended, produce the original white light. Commencing with that which is least refracted, we have the following order of colors in the solar spectrum : Red, Orange, Yellow, Green, Blue, Indigo, Violet.

241. *The Color of Light is determined solely by its Wave-length.*—The ether waves gradually diminish in length from the red to the violet. The length of a wave of red light is about $\frac{1}{39000}$th of an inch; that of the wave of violet light is about $\frac{1}{57500}$th of an inch. The waves which produce the other colors of the spectrum lie between these extremes.

242. The velocity of light being 192,000 miles in a second, if we multiply this number by 39,000 we obtain the number of waves of red light in 192,000 miles; the product is 474,439,680,000,000. *All these waves enter the eye in a second.* In the same interval 699,000,000,000,000

waves of violet light enter the eye. At this prodigious
rate is the retina hit by the waves of light.

243. Color, in fact, is to light what *pitch* is to sound.
The pitch of a note depends solely on the number of
aerial waves which strike the ear in a second. The color
of light depends on the number of ethereal waves which
strike the eye in a second. Thus the sensation of red is
produced by imparting to the optic nerve four hundred
and seventy-four millions of millions of impulses per
second, while the sensation of violet is produced by im-
parting to the nerve six hundred and ninety-nine millions
of millions of impulses per second. In the Emission
Theory numbers not less immense occur, "nor is there
any mode of conceiving the subject which does not call
upon us to admit the exertion of mechanical forces which
may well be termed infinite." [*]

Invisible Rays ; Calorescence and Fluorescence.

244. The spectrum extends in both directions beyond
its visible limits. Beyond the visible red we have rays
which possess a high heating power, though incompetent
to excite vision; beyond the violet we have a vast body
of rays which, though feeble as regards heat, and power-
less as regards light, are of the highest importance be-
cause of their capacity to produce chemical action.

245. In the case of the electric light, the energy of the
non-luminous calorific rays emitted by the carbon points
is about eight times that of all the other rays taken to-
gether. The dark calorific rays of the sun also probably
exceed many times in power the luminous solar rays. It
is possible to sift the solar or the electric beam so as to
intercept the luminous rays, while the non-luminous rays
are allowed free transmission.

[*] Sir John Herschel.

246. In this way perfectly dark foci may be obtained where combustible bodies may be burned, non-refractory metals fused, and refractory ones raised to the temperature of whiteness. The non-luminous calorific rays may be thus transformed into luminous ones, which yield all the colors of the spectrum. This passage, by the intervention of a refractory body, from the non-luminous to the luminous state, is called *Calorescence.*

247. So also as regards the ultra-violet rays; when they are permitted to fall upon certain substances—the disulphate of quinine for example—they render the substance luminous; *invisible rays are thereby rendered visible.* The change here receives the name of *Fluorescence.*

248. In calorescence the atoms of the refractory body are caused to vibrate more rapidly than the waves which fall upon them; the periods of the waves are quickened by their impact on the atoms. The refrangibility of the rays is, in fact, *exalted.* In fluorescence, on the contrary, the impact of the waves throws the molecules of the fluorescent body into vibrations of slower periods than those of the incident waves; the refrangibility of the rays is in fact *lowered.* Thus by exalting the refrangibility of the ultra-red, and by lowering the refrangibility of the ultra-violet rays, both classes of rays are rendered capable of exciting vision.

249. Though the term is by no means faultless, those rays, both ultra-red and ultra-violet, which are incompetent to excite vision, are called *invisible rays.* In strictness we cannot speak of rays being either visible or invisible; it is not the rays themselves but the objects they illuminate that become visible. "*Space*, though traversed by the rays from all suns and all stars, is itself unseen. Not even the ether which fills space, and whose motions are the light of the world, is itself visible." *

* "Proceedings of the Royal Institution," vol. v., p. 456.

Doctrine of Visual Periods.

250. A string tuned to a certain note resounds when that note is sounded. If you sing into an open piano, the string whose note is in unison with your voice will be thrown into sonorous vibration. If there be discord between the note and the string, there is no resonance, however powerful the note may be. A particular church-pane is sometimes broken by a particular organ-peal, through the coincidence of its period of vibration with that of the organ.

251. In this way it is conceivable that a feeble note, through the coincidence of its periods of vibration with those of a sounding body, may produce effects which a powerful note, because of its non-coincidence, is unable to produce.

252. This, which is a known phenomenon of sound, helps us to a conception of the deportment of the retina toward light. The retina, or rather the brain in which its fibres end, is, as it were, attuned to a certain range of vibrations, and it is dead to all vibrations which lie without that range, however powerful they may be.

253. The quantity of wave-motion sent to the eye at night, by a candle a mile distant, suffices to render the candle visible. Employing the powerful ultra-red rays of the sun, or of the electric light, it is demonstrable that ethereal waves possessing many millions of times the mechanical energy of those which produce the candle's light, may be caused to impinge upon the retina without exciting any sensation whatever. *The periods of succession* of the waves, rather than their *strength*, are here influential.

254. When in music two notes are separated from each other by an octave, the higher note vibrates with twice

the rapidity of the lower. In Note 241 the lengths of the wave of red light and of violet light are set down as $\frac{1}{39000}$ of an inch and $\frac{1}{57000}$ of an inch respectively; but these numbers refer to the *mean* red and the *mean* violet. The waves of the *extreme* violet are about half the length of those of the extreme red, and they strike the retina with double the rapidity of the red. While, therefore, the *musical scale*, or the range of the ear, is known to embrace nearly eleven octaves, the *optical scale*, or range of the eye, is comprised within a single octave.

Doctrine of Colors.

255. Natural bodies possess the power of extinguishing, or, as it is called, *absorbing* the light that enters them. This power of absorption is *selective*, and hence, for the most part, arise the phenomena of *color*.

256. When the light which enters a body is *wholly* absorbed the body is black; a body which absorbs all the waves equally, but not totally, is gray; while a body which absorbs the various waves unequally is *colored*. Color is due to the extinction of certain constituents of the white light within the body, the remaining constituents which return to the eye imparting to the body its color.

257. It is to be borne in mind that bodies of all colors, illuminated by white light, reflect white light *from their exterior surfaces*. It is the light which has plunged to a certain depth within the body, which has been *sifted* there by elective absorption, and then discharged from the body by interior reflection that, in general, gives the body its color.

258. A pure red glass interposed in the path of a beam decomposed by a prism, either before or after the act of decomposition, cuts off all the colors of the spectrum except the red. A glass of any other pure color similarly inter-

posed would cut off all the spectrum except that particular
portion which gives the glass its color. It is, however,
extremely difficult, if not impossible, to obtain pure pig-
ments of any kind. Thus a yellow glass not only allows
the yellow light of the spectrum to pass, but also a portion
of the adjacent green and orange ; while a blue glass not
only allows the blue to pass, but also a portion of the ad-
jacent green and indigo.

259. Hence, if a beam of white light be caused to pass
through a yellow glass and a blue glass at the same time,
the only transmissible color common to both is green.
This explains why blue and yellow powders, when mixed
together, produce green. The white light plunges into
the powder to a certain depth, and is discharged by inter-
nal reflection, *minus* its yellow and its blue. The green
alone remains.

260. The effect is quite different when, instead of mix-
ing blue and yellow *pigments*, we mix blue and yellow
lights together. Here the mixture is a pure *white*. Blue
and yellow are complementary colors.

261. Any two colors whose mixture produces white are
called *complementary colors*. In the spectrum we have
the following pairs of such colors :

> Red and greenish Blue.
> Orange and cyanogen Blue.
> Yellow and indigo Blue.
> Greenish Yellow and Violet.

262. A body placed in a light which it is incompetent
to transmit appears black, however intense may be the
illumination. Thus, a stick of red sealing-wax, placed in
the vivid green of the spectrum, is perfectly black. A
bright-red solution similarly placed cannot be distinguished
from black ink ; and red cloth, on which the spectrum is

permitted to fall, shows its color vividly where the red light falls upon it, but appears black beyond this position.

263. We have thus far dealt with the *analysis* of white light. In reblending the constituent colors, so as to produce the original, we illustrate, by *synthesis*, the composition of white light.

264. Let the beam analyzed be a rectangular slice of light. By means of a cylindrical lens we can recombine the colors, and produce by their mixture the original white. It is also possible, by the combination of the colors of its spectrum, to build up a perfect image of the source of light. The persistence of impressions on the retina also offers a ready means of blending colors.

Chromatic Aberration.—Achromatism.

265. Owing to the different refrangibility of the different rays of the spectrum, it is impossible by a single spherical lens to bring them all to a focus at the same point. The blue rays, for example, being more refracted than the red will intersect sooner than the red.

266. Hence, when a divergent cone of white light is rendered convergent by a lens, the convergent beam, as far as the point of intersection of the rays, will be surrounded by a sheath of red; while beyond the focus the divergent cone will be surrounded by a sheath of blue. Hence, when the refracted rays fall upon a screen placed between the lens and the focus of blue rays, a white circle with a red border is obtained; while if the screen be placed beyond the focus of red rays, the white circle will have a blue border. It is impossible to produce a colorless image in these positions of the screen.

267. This lack of power on the part of a lens to bring its differently-colored constituents to a common focus, is called the *Chromatic aberration* of the lens.

268. Newton considered it impossible to get rid of chromatic aberration; for he supposed the dispersion of a prism or lens to be proportional to its refraction, and that if you destroyed the one you destroyed the other. This, however, was an error.

269. For two prisms producing the same mean refraction may produce very different degrees of dispersion. By diminishing the angle of the more highly-dispersive prism we can make its dispersion sensibly equal to that of the feebly dispersive one; and we can neutralize the colors of both prisms by placing them in opposition to each other, without neutralizing the refraction.

270. When, for example, a prism of water is opposed to a prism of flint-glass, after the dispersion of the water, which is small, has been destroyed, the beam is still refracted. If a prism of *crown-glass* be substituted for the prism of water, substantially the same effect is produced. The flint-glass is competent to neutralize the dispersion of the crown *before* it neutralizes the refraction.

271. What is here said of prisms applies equally to lenses. A convex crown-glass lens, opposed to a concave flint-glass lens, may have its dispersion destroyed, and still images may be formed by the combination of the two lenses, because of the *residual* refraction.

272. A combination of lenses wherein color is destroyed while a certain amount of refraction is preserved, is called an achromatic combination, or more briefly an *achromatic lens*.

273. The human eye is not achromatic. It suffers from chromatic aberration as well as from spherical aberration.

Subjective Colors.

274. By the action of light the optic nerve is rendered less sensitive. When we pass from bright daylight into a moderately-lighted room, the room appears dark.

275. This is also true of individual colors; when light of any particular color falls upon the eye, the optic nerve is rendered less sensitive to that color.   It is, in fact, partially blinded to its perception.

276. If the eyes be steadily fixed upon a red wafer placed on white paper, after a little time the wafer will be surrounded by a greenish rim, and if the wafer be moved away, the place on which it rested will appear green.

277. This is thus explained: the eye by looking at the wafer has its sensibility to red light diminished; hence, when the wafer is removed, the white light falling upon the spot of the retina on which the image of the wafer rested, will have its red constituent virtually removed, and will therefore appear of the complementary color.   The first rim of green light observed is due to the extension of the red light of the wafer a little beyond its geometrical image on the retina, in consequence of the spherical aberration of the eye.

278. Colored shadows are reducible to the same cause. Let a strong red light, for example, fall upon a white screen. A body interposed between the light and the screen will cast a shadow, and if this shadow be moderately illuminated by a second white light it will appear green. If the original light be blue, the shadow will appear yellow; if the original light be green, the shadow will appear red. The reason is, that the eye in the first instance is partially blinded to the perception of the color cast upon the screen; hence the white light, which reaches the eye from the shadow, will have that color partially withdrawn, and the shadow will appear of the complementary color.

279. Colors of this kind are called *subjective colors ;* they depend upon the condition of the eye, and do not express external facts of color.

4

Spectrum Analysis.

280. Metals and their compounds impart to flames peculiar colors, which are characteristic of the metals. Thus the almost lightless flame of a Bunsen's burner is rendered a brilliant yellow by the metal sodium; or by any volatilizible compound of that metal, such as chloride of sodium or common salt. The flame is rendered green by copper, purple by zinc, and red by strontian.

281. These colors are due to the *vapors* of the metals which are liberated in the flame.

282. When such incandescent metallic vapors are examined by the prism, it is found that instead of emitting rays which form a *continuous* spectrum, one color passing gradually into another, they emit distinct groups of rays of definite, but different refrangibilities. The spectrum corresponding to these rays is a series of colored bands, separated from each other by intervals of darkness. Such bands are characteristic of luminous gases of all kinds.

283. Thus the spectrum of incandescent sodium-vapor consists of a brilliant band on the confines of the orange and yellow; and the vapor is incompetent to shed forth any of the other light of the spectrum. When this band is more accurately analyzed it resolves itself into two distinct bands; greater delicacy of analysis resolves it into a *group* of bands with fine dark intervals between them. The spectrum of copper-vapor is signalized by a series of green bands, while the incandescent vapor of zinc produces brilliant bands of blue and red.

284. The light of the bands produced by metallic vapors is very intense, the whole of the light being concentrated into a few narrow strips, and escaping in a great measure the dilution due to dispersion.

285. These colored bands are perfectly characteristic

of the vapor; from their position and number the sub-
stance that produces them can be unerringly inferred.

286. If two or more metals be introduced into the
flame at the same time, prismatic analysis reveals the bands
of each metal as if the others were not there. This is also
true when a mineral containing several metals is intro-
duced into the flame. The constituent metals of the min-
eral will give each its characteristic bands.

287. Hence, having made ourselves acquainted with
the bands produced by all known metals, if entirely new
bands show themselves, it is a proof that an entirely new
metal is present in the flame. It is thus that Bunsen and
Kirchhoff, the founders of spectrum analysis, discovered
Rubidium and Cæsium; and that Thallium, with its superb
green band, was discovered by Mr. Crookes.

288. The *permanent gases* when heated to a sufficient
temperature, as they may be by the electric discharge,
also exhibit characteristic bands in their spectra. By
these bands they may be recognized, even at stellar dis-
tances.

289. The action of light upon the eye is a test of un-
rivalled delicacy. In *spectrum analysis* this action is
brought specially into play; hence the power of this
method of analysis.*

Further Definition of Radiation and Absorption.

290. The terms ray, radiation, and absorption, were
employed long prior to the views now entertained regard-

* Many persons are incompetent to distinguish one color of the spec-
trum from another; red and green, for example, are often confounded.
Dalton, the celebrated founder of the Atomic Theory, could only distin-
guish by their form ripe red cherries from the green leaves of the tree.
This point is now attended to in the choice of engine-drivers, who have
to distinguish one colored signal from another. The defect is called
color-blindness, and sometimes *Daltonism*.

ing the nature of light. It is necessary more clearly to
understand the meaning attached by the undulatory theory
to those terms.

291. And to complete our knowledge it is necessary to
know that all bodies, whether luminous or non-luminous,
are *radiants;* if they do not radiate light they radiate
heat.

292. It is also necessary to know that luminous rays
are also heat rays; that the self-same waves of ether falling
on a thermometer produce the effects of heat; and im-
pinging upon the retina produce the sensation of light.
The rays of greatest heat, however, as already explained,
lie entirely without the visible spectrum.

293. The radiation both of light and heat consists in
the *communication* of motion from the vibrating atoms
of bodies to the ether which surrounds them. The ab-
sorption of heat consists in the *acceptance* of motion, on
the part of the atoms of a body, from ether which has been
already agitated by a source of light or heat. In radia-
tion, then, motion is yielded to the ether; in absorption,
motion is received from the ether.

294. When a ray of light or of heat passes through a
body without loss; in other words, when the waves are
transmitted *through the ether* which surrounds the atoms
of the body, without sensibly imparting motion to the
atoms themselves, the body is *transparent.* If motion be
in any degree transferred from the ether to the atoms, in
that degree is the body *opaque.*

295. If either light or radiant heat be absorbed, the
absorbing body is *warmed;* if no absorption takes place,
the light or radiant heat, whatever its intensity may be,
passes through the body without affecting its tempera-
ture.

296. Thus in the dark foci referred to in Note 246, or

in the focus of the most powerful burning mirror which concentrates the beams of the sun, the *air* might be of a freezing temperature, because the absorption of the heat by the air is insensible. A plate of clear rock-salt, moreover, placed at the focus, is scarcely sensibly heated, the absorption being small; while a plate of glass is shivered, and a plate of blackened platinum raised to a white heat, or even fused, because of their powers of absorption.

297. It is here worth remarking that calculations of the temperatures of comets, founded on their distances from the sun, may be, and probably are, entirely fallacious. The comet, even when nearest to the sun, might be intensely cold. It might carry with it round its perihelion the chill of the most distant regions of space. If transparent to the solar rays it would be unaffected by the solar heat, as long as that heat maintained *the radiant form*.

The Pure Spectrum: Fraunhofer's Lines.

298. When a beam of white light issuing from a slit is decomposed, the spectrum really consists of a series of colored images of the slit placed side by side. If the slit be wide, these images *overlap;* but in a *pure* spectrum the colors must not overlap each other.

299. A pure spectrum is obtained by making the slit through which the decomposed beam passes very narrow, and by sending the beam through several prisms in succession, thus augmenting the dispersion.

300. When the light of the sun is thus treated, the solar spectrum is found to be not perfectly continuous; across it are drawn innumerable dark lines, the rays corresponding to which are absent. Dr. Wollaston was the first to observe some of these lines. They were afterward studied with supreme skill by Fraunhofer, who lettered

them and made accurate maps of them, and from him they
have been called *Fraunhofer's lines*.

Reciprocity of Radiation and Absorption.

301. To account for the missing rays of the lines of
Fraunhofer was long an enigma with philosophers. By
the genius of Kirchhoff the enigma was solved. Its solu-
tion carried with it a new theory of the constitution of the
sun, and a demonstration of a method which enables us
to determine the chemical composition of the sun, the
stars, and the nebulæ. The application of Kirchhoff's
principles by Messrs. Huggins, Miller, Secchi, Janssen,
and Lockyer, has been of especial interest and importance.

302. Kirchhoff's explanation of the lines of Fraunhofer
is based upon the principle that every body is specially
opaque to such rays as it can itself emit when rendered
incandescent.

303. Thus the radiation from a carbonic-oxide flame,
which contains carbonic acid at a high temparature, is in-
tercepted in an astonishing degree by carbonic acid. If
the rays from a sodium flame be sent through a second
sodium flame, they will be stopped with particular energy
by the second flame. The rays from incandescent thal-
lium vapor are intercepted by thallium vapor, those from
lithium vapor by lithium vapor, and so of the other
metals.

304. In the language of the undulatory theory, waves
of ether are absorbed with special energy—their motion is
taken up with special facility—by atoms whose periods of
vibration synchronize with the periods of the waves. This
is another way of stating that a body absorbs with special
energy the rays which it can itself emit.

305. If a beam of white light be sent through the in-
tensely yellow flame of sodium vapor, the yellow con-

stituent of the beam is intercepted by the flame, while rays of other refrangibilities are allowed free transmission.

306. Hence, when the spectrum of the electric light is thrown upon a white screen, the introduction of a sodium flame into the path of the rays cuts off the yellow component of the light, and the spectrum is furrowed by a dark band in place of the yellow.

307. Introducing other flames in the same manner in the path of the beam, if the quantity of metallic vapor in the flame be sufficient, each flame will cut out its own bands. And if the flame through which the light passes contain the vapors of several metals, we shall have the dark characteristic bands of all of them upon the screen.

308. Expanding in idea our electric light until it forms a globe equal to the sun in size, and wrapping round this incandescent globe an atmosphere of flame, that atmosphere would cut off those rays of the globe which it can itself emit, the interception of the rays being declared by dark lines in the spectrum.

309. We thus arrive at a complete explanation of the lines of Fraunhofer, and a new theory of the constitution of the sun. The orb consists of a solid or molten nucleus, in a condition of intense incandescence, but it is surrounded by a gaseous photosphere containing vapors which absorb those rays of the nucleus which they themselves emit. The lines of Fraunhofer are thus produced.

310. The lines of Fraunhofer are narrow bands of *partial* darkness; they are really illuminated by the light of the gaseous envelope of the sun. But this is so feeble in comparison with the light of the nucleus intercepted by the envelope, that the bands appear dark in comparisôn with the adjacent brilliance.

311. Were the central nucleus abolished, the bands of Fraunhofer *on a perfectly dark ground* would be trans-

formed into a series of bright bands. These would re-
semble the spectra obtained from a flame charged with
metallic vapors. They would constitute the spectrum of
the solar atmosphere.

312. It is not necessary that the photosphere should
be composed of *pure vapor.* Doubtless it contains vast
masses of incandescent cloudy matter, composed of white
hot molten particles. These intensely luminous white hot
clouds may be the main origin of the light which the earth
receives from the sun, and with them the true vapor of the
photosphere may be more or less confusedly mingled. But
the vapor which produces the lines of Fraunhofer must
exist *outside* the clouds, as assumed by Kirchhoff.

Solar Chemistry.

313. From the dark bands of the spectrum we can de-
termine what substances enter into the composition of the
solar atmosphere.

314. One example will illustrate the possibility of this.
Let the light from the sun and the light from incandes-
cent sodium vapor pass side by side through the same slit,
and be decomposed by the same prism. The solar light
will produce its spectrum, and the sodium light its yellow
band. This yellow band will coincide exactly in position
with a characteristic dark band of the solar spectrum,
which Fraunhofer distinguishes by the letter D.

315. Were the solar nucleus absent, and did the va-
porous photosphere alone emit light, the dark line D would
be a bright one. Its character and position prove it to be
the light emitted by sodium. This metal, therefore, is
contained in the atmosphere of the sun.*

* By reference to note 283 it will be seen that the sodium line is
resolved by delicate analysis into a group of lines. The Fraunhofer
dark band D is similarly resolved. It ought to be mentioned that both

316. The result is still more convincing when a metal which gives a numerous series of bright bands finds each of its bands exactly coincident with a dark band of the solar spectrum. By this method Kirchhoff, to whom we owe, in all its completeness, this splendid generalization, established the existence of iron, calcium, magnesium, sodium, chromium, and other metals, in the solar atmosphere; and Mr. Huggins has extended the application of the method to the light of the planets, fixed stars, and nebulæ.*

Planetary Chemistry.

317. The light reflected from the moon and planets is solar light; and, if unaffected by the planet's atmosphere, the spectrum of the planet would show the same lines as the solar spectrum.

318. The light of the moon shows no other lines. There is no evidence of an atmosphere round the moon.

319. The lines in the spectrum of Jupiter indicate a powerful absorption by the atmosphere of this planet. The atmosphere of Jupiter contains some of the gases or vapors present in the earth's atmosphere. Feeble lines, some of them identical with those of Jupiter, occur in the spectrum of Saturn.

320. The lines characterizing the atmospheres of Jupiter and Saturn are not present in the spectrum of Mars. The blue portion of the spectrum is mainly the seat of absorption; and this, by giving predominance to the red rays, may be the cause of the red color of Mars.

321. All the stronger lines of the solar spectrum are found in the spectrum of Venus, but no additional lines.

Mr. Talbot and Sir John Herschel clearly foresaw the possibility of employing spectrum analysis in detecting minute traces of bodies.

* Prof. Stokes foresaw the possible application of spectrum analysis to solar chemistry.

Stellar Chemistry.

322. The atmosphere of the star Aldebaran contains hydrogen, sodium, magnesium, calcium, iron, bismuth, tellurium, antimony, mercury. The atmosphere of the star Alpha in Orion contains sodium, magnesium, calcium, iron, and bismuth.

323. No star sufficiently bright to give a spectrum has been observed to be without lines. Star differs from star only in the grouping and arrangement of the numerous fine lines by which their spectra are crossed.

324. The dark absorption lines are strongest in the spectra of yellow and red stars. In white stars the lines, though equally numerous, are very poor and faint.

325. A comparison of the spectra of stars of different colors suggests that the colors of the stars may be due to the action of their atmospheres. Those constituents of the white light of the star on which the lines of absorption fall thickest are subdued, the star being tinted by the residual color.

Father Secchi, of Rome, has studied the light of many hundreds of stars, and has divided them into four classes.

Nebular Chemistry.

326. Some nebulæ give spectra of bright bands, others give continuous spectra. The light from the former emanates from intensely heated matter existing *in a state of gas*. This may in part account for the weakness of the light of these nebulæ.

327. It is probable that two of the constituents of the gaseous nebulæ are hydrogen and nitrogen.

The Red Prominences and Envelope of the Sun.

328. Astronomers had observed during total eclipses of the sun vast red prominences extending from the solar

limb many thousand miles into space. The intense illumi-
nation of the circumsolar region of our atmosphere masks,
under ordinary circumstances, the red prominences. They
are quenched, as it were, by excess of light.

329. But when, by the intervention of the dark body
of the moon, this light is cut off, the prominences are dis-
tinctly seen.

330. It was proved by Mr. De la Rue and others
that the red matter of the prominences was wrapped round
a large portion of the sun's surface. According to the
observations of Mr. Lockyer, the red matter forms a *com-
plete envelope* round the sun.

331. Examined by the spectroscope the matter of the
prominences shows itself to be, for the most part, incan-
descent hydrogen. With it are mixed the vapors of sodium
and magnesium.

332. Mr. Janssen, in India, and Mr. Lockyer subse-
quently, but independently, in England, proved that the
bright bands of the prominences might be seen without
the aid of a total eclipse. The explanation of this dis-
covery is glanced at in Note 284, where the intensity of
the bright bands of incandescent gases was referred to the
practical absence of dispersion.

333. By sending the light, which under ordinary cir-
cumstances masks the hydrogen bands, through a sufficient
number of prisms, it may be dispersed, and thereby en-
feebled in any required degree. When sufficiently en-
feebled the undispersed light of the incandescent hydrogen
dominates over that of the continuous spectrum. By going
completely round the periphery of the sun Mr. Lockyer
found this hydrogen atmosphere everywhere present, its
depth, generally about 5,000 miles, being indicated by the
length of its characteristic bright lines. Where the
hydrogen ocean is shallow, the bright bands are short;

where the prominences rise like vast waves above the level
of the ocean, the bright lines are long.   The prominences
sometimes reach a height of 70,000 miles.

The Rainbow.

334. A beam of solar light, falling obliquely on the
surface of a rain-drop, is refracted on entering the drop;
it is in part reflected at the back of the drop, and on
emerging from the drop it is again refracted.

335. By these two refractions on entrance and on
emergence the beam of light is decomposed, and it quits
the drop resolved into its colored constituents.   It is re-
ceived by the eye of an observer who faces the drop and
turns his back to the sun.   •

336. In general the solar rays, when they quit the drop,
are *divergent*, and therefore produce but a feeble effect
upon the eye.   But at *one* particular angle the rays, after
having been twice refracted and once reflected, issue from
the drop almost perfectly parallel.   They thus preserve
their intensity like rays reflected from a parabolic mirror,
and produce a corresponding effect upon the eye.   The
angle at which this parallelism is established varies with
the refrangibility of the light.

337. Draw a line from the sun to the observer's eye
and prolong this line beyond the observer.   Conceive an-
other line drawn from the eye enclosing an angle of 42°
30′ with the line drawn to the sun.   The rain-drop struck
by this second line will send to the eye a parallel beam of
red light.   Every other drop similarly situated, that is to
say, every drop at an angular distance of 42° 30′ from the
line drawn to the sun, will do the same.   We thus obtain
a *circular band* of red light, forming part of the base of a
cone, by which the eye of the observer is the apex.   Because

of the angular magnitude of the sun the width of this band
will be half a degree.

338. From the eye of the observer conceive another
line to be drawn enclosing an angle of 40° 30′ with the line
drawn to the sun. A drop struck by this line will send
along the line an almost perfectly parallel beam of *violet*
light to the eye. All drops at the same angular distance
will do the same, and we shall obtain a band of violet
light of the same width as the red. These two bands con-
stitute the limiting colors of the rainbow, and between
them the bands corresponding to the other colors lie.

339. The rainbow is in fact a spectrum, in which the
rain-drops play the part of prisms. The width of the bow
from red to violet is about two degrees. The size of the
arc visible at any time manifestly depends upon the posi-
tion of the sun. The bow is grandest when it is formed
by the rising or the setting sun. An entire semicircle is
then seen by an observer on a plain, while from a mountain-
top a still greater arc is visible.

340. The angular distances and the order of colors here
given correspond to the *primary bow*, but in addition to
this we usually see a *secondary bow* of weaker hues, and
in which the order of the colors is that of the primary *in-
verted*. In the primary the red band forms the convex
surface of the arch ; it is the largest band ; in the second-
ary the violet band is outside, the red forming the con-
cavity of the bow.

341. The secondary bow is produced by rays which
have undergone *two* reflections within the drop, as well as
two refractions at its surface. It is this double internal
reflection that weakens the color. In the primary bow the
incident rays strike the upper hemisphere of the drop, and
emerge from the lower one ; in the secondary bow the in-
cident rays strike the lower hemisphere of the drop, emerge

from the upper one, and then cross the incident rays to reach the eye of the observer. The secondary bow is $3\frac{1}{4}$ degrees wide, and it is $7\frac{1}{2}$ degrees higher than the primary. From the space between the two bows part of the light reflected from the *anterior surfaces* of the rain-drops reaches the eye; but no light whatever that *enters* the rain-drops in this space is reflected to the eye. Hence this region of the falling shower is darkest.

Interference of Light.

342. In wave-motion we must clearly distinguish the motion of the *wave* from the motion of the *individual particles* which at any moment constitute the wave. For while the wave moves forward through great distances, the individual particles of water concerned in its propagation perform a comparatively short excursion to and fro. A sea-fowl, for example, as the waves pass it, is not carried forward, but moves up and down.*

343. Here, as in other cases, the distance through which the individual water particles oscillate, or through which the fowl moves vertically up and down, is called the *amplitude* of the oscillation.

344. When light from two different sources passes through the same ether, the waves from the one source must be more or less affected by the waves from the other. This action is most easily illustrated by reference to water-waves.

345. Let two stones be cast at the same moment into still water. Round each of them will spread a series of circular waves. Let us fix our attention on a point A in the water, equally distant from the two centres of disturbance. · The first two crests of both systems of waves reach

* Strictly speaking, the water particles describe *closed curves*, and not straight vertical lines.

this point at the same moment, and it is lifted by their joint action to twice the height that it would attain through the action of either wave taken singly.

346. The first depression, or *sinus* as it is called, of the one system of waves also reaches the point A at the same moment as the first sinus of the other, and through their joint action the point is depressed to twice the depth that it would attain by the action of either sinus taken singly.

347. What is true of the first crest and the first depression is also true of all the succeeding ones. At the point A the successive crests will coincide, and the successive depressions will coincide, the agitation of the point being twice what it would be if acted upon by one only of the systems of waves.

348. The *length of a wave* is the distance from any crest, or any sinus, to the crest or sinus next preceding or succeeding. In the case of the two stones dropped at the same moment into still water, it is manifest that the coincidence of crest with crest and of sinus with sinus would also take place if the distance from the one stone to the point A exceeded the distance of the other stone from the same point by *a whole wave-length.* The only difference would be, that the second wave of the nearest stone would then coincide with the first wave of the most distant one. The one system of waves would here be retarded a whole wave-length behind the other system.

349. A little reflection will also make it clear that coincidence of crest with crest and of sinus with sinus will also occur at the point A when the retardation of the one system behind the other amounts to any number of *whole wave-lengths.*

350. But if we suppose the point A to be *half a wave-length* more distant from the one stone than from the other, then as the waves pass the point A the crests of one

of the systems will always coincide with the sinuses of the
other. When a wave of the one system tends to elevate
the point A, a wave from the other system will, at the
same moment, tend to depress it. As a consequence the
point will neither rise nor sink, as it would do if acted
upon by either system of waves taken singly. The same
neutralization of motion occurs where the difference of path
between the two stones and the point A amounts to any
odd number of half wave-lengths.

351. Here, then, by adding motion to motion, we abolish
motion and produce rest. In precisely the same way we
can, by adding sound to sound, produce silence, one sys-
tem of sound-waves being caused to neutralize another.
So also by adding heat to heat we can produce cold, while
by adding light to light we can produce darkness. It is
this perfect identity of the deportment of light and radiant
heat with the phenomena of wave-motion that constitutes
the strength of the Theory of Undulation.

352. This action of one system of waves upon another,
whereby the oscillatory motion is either augmented or
diminished, is called *Interference*. In relation to optical
phenomena it is called the Interference of Light. We
shall henceforth have frequent occasion to apply this
principle.

Diffraction, or the Inflection of Light.

353. Newton, who was familiar with the idea of an
ether, and indeed introduced it in some of his specula-
tions, objected that if light were propagated by waves,
shadows could not exist; for that the waves would bend
round opaque bodies, and abolish the shadows behind
them. According to the wave theory this bending round
of the waves actually occurs, but the different portions

of the inflected waves destroy each other by their interference.

354. This bending of the waves of light round the edges of opaque bodies, receives the name of *Diffraction* or *Inflection* (German, Beugung). We have now to consider some of the effects of diffraction.

355. And for this purpose it is necessary that our source of light should be a physical point or a fine line : for when an extensive luminous surface is employed, the effects of its different points in diffraction phenomena neutralize each other.

356. A *point* of light may be obtained by converging, by a lens of short focus, the parallel rays of the sun, admitted through a small aperture into a dark room. The small image of the sun formed at the focus is here our luminous point. The image of the sun formed on the surface of a silvered bead, or indeed upon the convex surface of a glass lens, or of a watch-glass blackened within, also answers the purpose.

357. A *line* of light is obtained by admitting the sunlight through a slit, and sending the slice of light through a cylindrical lens. The rectangular beam is contracted to a physical line at the focus of the lens. A glass tube blackened within and placed in the light, reflects from its surface a luminous line which also answers the purpose. For many experiments, indeed, the circular aperture, or the slit itself, suffices without any condensation by a lens.

358. In the experiment now to be described, a slit of variable width is placed in front of the electric lamp, and this slit is looked at from a distance through another slit, also of variable aperture. The light of the lamp is rendered monochromatic by placing a pure red glass in front of the slit.

359. With the eye placed in the straight .ine drawn through both slits from the incandescent carbon points of the electric lamp an extraordinary appearance is observed. Firstly, the slit in front of the lamp is seen as a vivid rectangle of light; but right and left of it is a long series of rectangles, decreasing in vividness, and separated from each other by intervals of absolute darkness.

360. The breadth of the bands varies with the width of the slit placed in front of the eye. If the slit be widened, the images become narrower, and crowd more closely together; if the slit be narrowed, the images widen and retreat from each other.

361. It may be proved that the width of the bands is inversely proportional to the width of the slit held in front of the eye.

362. Leaving every thing else unchanged, let a blue glass or a solution of ammonia sulphate of copper, which gives a very pure blue, be placed in the path of the light. A series of blue bands is thus obtained, exactly like the former in all respects save one; the blue rectangles are *narrower*, and they are *closer together*, than the red ones.

363. If we employ colors of intermediate refrangibilities between red and blue, which we may do by causing the different colors of a spectrum to shine through the slit, we should obtain bands of color intermediate in width and occupying intermediate positions between those of the red and blue. Hence when *white light* passes through the slit the various colors are not superposed, and instead of a series of monochromatic bands, separated from each other by intervals of darkness, we have a series of colored spectra placed side by side, the most refrangible color of each spectrum being nearest to the slit.

364. When the slit in front of the camera is illuminated by a candle-flame, instead of the more intense electric light,

substantially the same effects, though less brilliant, are observed.

366. What is the meaning of this experiment, and how are the lateral images of the slit produced? Of these and certain accompanying results the emission theory is incompetent to offer any explanation. Let us see how they are accounted for by the theory of undulation.

366. For the sake of simplicity, we will consider the case of monochromatic light. Conceive a wave of ether advancing from the first slit toward the second, and finally filling the second slit. When the wave passes through the latter it not only pursues its direct course to the retina, but diverges right and left, tending to throw into motion the entire mass of the ether behind the slit. In fact, *every point of the wave which fills the slit is itself a centre of new wave-systems, which are transmitted in all directions through the ether behind the slit.* We have now to examine how these secondary waves act upon each other.

367. First, let us regard the central rectangle of the series. It is manifest that the different parts of every transverse section of the wave, which in this case fills our slit, reach the retina at the same moment. They are in complete accordance, for no one portion is retarded in reference to any other portion. The rays thus coming direct from the source through the slit to the retina produce the central band of the series.

368. But now let us consider those waves which diverge *obliquely* from the slit. In this case, the waves from the two edges of the slit have, in order to reach the retina, to pass over *unequal distances.* Let us suppose the difference in path of the two marginal rays to be a whole wave-length of the red light; how must this difference affect the final illumination of the retina?

369. Fix your attention upon the particular ray or line of light that passes exactly through the *centre* of the slit to the retina. The difference of path between this central ray and the two marginal rays is, in the case here supposed, *half a wave-length*. The least reflection will make it clear that every ray on the one side of the central line finds a ray upon the other side, from which its path differs by half an undulation, with which, therefore, it is in complete discordance. The consequence is that the light on the one side of the central line will completely abolish the light on the other side of that line, absolute darkness being the result of their mutual extinction. The first *dark* interval of our series of bands is thus accounted for. It is produced by an obliquity which causes the paths of the marginal rays to be *a whole wave-length* different from each other.

370. When the difference between the paths of the marginal rays is *half a wave-length*, a *partial* destruction of the light is effected. The luminous intensity corresponding to this obliquity is a little less than one-half— accurately 0.4—of that of the undiffracted light.

371. If the paths of the marginal rays be three semi-undulations different from each other, and if the whole beam be divided into three equal parts, two of these parts will completely neutralize each other, the third only being effective. Corresponding, therefore, to an obliquity which produces a difference of three semi-undulations in the marginal rays, we have a luminous band, but one of considerably less intensity than the undiffracted central band.

372. With a marginal difference of path of four semi-undulations we have a second extinction of the entire beam, a space of absolute darkness corresponding to this obliquity. In this way we might proceed · further, the

general result being that, whenever the obliquity is such as to produce a marginal difference of path of an *even* number of semi-undulations, we have complete extinction; while, when the marginal difference is an *odd* number of semi-undulations, we have· only partial extinction, a portion of the beam remaining as a luminous band.

373. A moment's reflection will make it plain that the shorter the wave, the less will be the obliquity required to produce the necessary retardation. The maxima and minima of blue light must, therefore, fall nearer to the centre than the maxima and minima of red light. The maxima and minima of the other colors fall between these extremes. In this simple way the undulatory theory completely accounts for the extraordinary appearance referred to in Note 359. When a slit and telescope are used, instead of the slit and naked eye, the effects are magnified and rendered more brilliant.

Measurement of the Waves of Light.

374. We are now in a condition to solve the important problem of measuring *the length* of a wave of light.

375. The first of our dark bands corresponds, as already explained, to a difference of marginal path of one undulation; our second dark band to a difference of path of two undulations; our third dark band to a difference of three undulations, and so forth. With a slit 1.35 * millimetre wide, Schwerd found the angular distance of the first dark band from the centre of the field to be 1′ 38″. The angular distances of the other dark bands are twice, three times, four times, etc., this quantity, that is to say, they are *in arithmetical progression.*

376. Draw a diagram of the slit E C with the beam

* The millimetre is about $\frac{1}{25}$ of an inch.

passing through it at the obliquity corresponding to the
first dark band. Let fall a perpendicular from one edge,
E, of the slit on thè marginàl ray of the other edge at d.
The distance, $c\,d$, between the foot of this perpendicular
and the other edge is the length of the wave of light.
From the centre E, with the width E c as radius, suppose
a semicircle to be described; its radius being 1.35, the
length of this semicircle is readily found to be 4.248 milli-
metres. Now, the length of this semicircle is to the length
$c\,d$ of the wave as 180° to 1′ 38″, or as 648,000″ to 98″
Thus we have the proportion—

$$648{,}000 : 98 :: 4.248 \text{ to the wave-length } c\,d.^*$$

Making the calculation, we find the wave-length for this
particular kind of light (red), to be 0.000643 of a milli-
metre, or 0.000026 of an inch.

377. Instead of receiving them directly upon the retina,
the colored fringes may be received upon a screen. In this
case it is desirable to employ a lens of considerable con-
vergent power to bring the beam from the first slit to a
focus; and to place the second slit or other diffracting edge
or edges between the focus and the screen. The light in
this case virtually emanates from the focus.

378. If the edge of a knife be placed in the bèam paral-
lel to the slit, the shadow of the edge upon the screen will
be bounded by a series of parallel colored fringes. If the
light be monochromatic the bands will be simply bright
and dark. The back of the knife produces the same effect
as its edge. A wooden or an ivory paper-knife produces
precisely the same effect as a steel knife.· The fringes are
absolutely independent of the character of the substance
round the edge of which the light is diffracted.

* $C\,d$ is so minute that it practically coincides with the circle drawn
round E.

379. A thick wire placed in the beam has colored fringes on each side of its shadow. If the wire be *fine*, or if a human hair be employed, the geometric shadow itself will be found occupied by parallel stripes. The former are called the *exterior fringes*, the latter the *interior fringes*. In the hands of Young and Fresnel all these phenomena received their explanation as effects of interference.

380. A *slit* consists of two edges facing each other. When a slit is placed in the beam between the focus and the screen, the space between the edges is occupied by stripes of color.

381. Looking at a distant point of light through a small circular aperture the point is seen encircled by a series of colored bands. If monochromatic light be used these bands are simply bright and dark, but with white light the circles display iris-colors.

382. These results are capable of endless variation by varying the size, shape, and number of the apertures through which the point of light is observed. The street lamps at night, looked at through the meshes of a handkerchief, show diffraction phenomena. The diffraction effects obtained by Schwerd in looking through a bird's feathers are very gorgeous. The iridescence of Alpine clouds is also an effect of diffraction.*

* This may be imitated by the spores of Lycopodium. The diffraction phenomena of "actinic clouds" are exceedingly splendid. One of the most interesting cases of diffraction by small particles that ever came before me was that of an artist whose vision was disturbed by vividly-colored circles. When he came to me he was in great dread of losing his sight; assigning as a cause of his increased fear that the circles were becoming larger and the colors more vivid. I ascribed the colors to minute particles in the humors of the eye, and encouraged him by the assurance that the increase of size and vividness indicated that the diffracting particles were becoming *smaller*, and that they might finally be altogether absorbed. The prediction was verified. It is needless to say

283. Following out the indications of theory, Poisson was led to the paradoxical result that in the case of *an opaque circular disk* the illumination of the centre of the shadow, caused by diffraction at the edge of the disk, is precisely the same as if the disk were altogether absent. This startling consequence of theory was afterward verified experimentally by Arago.

Colors of Thin Plates.

284. When a beam of monochromatic light—say of pure red, which is most easily obtained by absorption—falls upon a thin, transparent film, a portion of the light is reflected at the first surface of the film; a portion enters the film, and is in part reflected at the second surface.

285. This second portion having crossed the film to and fro is *retarded* with reference to the light first reflected. The case resembles that of our two stones dropped into still water at unequal distances from the point A (Note 345).

286. If the thickness of the film be such as to retard the beam reflected from the second surface a whole wavelength, or any number of whole wave-lengths—or, in other words, any *even* number of half wave-lengths—the two reflected beams, travelling through the same ether, will be in *complete accordance ;* they will therefore support each other, and make the film appear brighter than either of them would do taken singly.

287. But if the thickness of the film be such as to retard the beam reflected from the second surface half a wavelength, or any *odd* number of half-wave lengths, the two reflected beams will be in *complete discordance ;* and a destruction of light will follow. By the addition of light

one word on the necessity of optical knowledge in the case of the prac-
tical oculist.

which has undergone more than one reflection at the second surface to the light which has undergone only one reflection, the beam reflected from the first surface may be *totally* destroyed. Where this total destruction of light occurs the film appears black.

388. If the film be of variable thickness, its various parts will appear bright or dark, according as the thickness favors the accordance or discordance of the reflected rays.

389. Because of the different lengths of the waves of light, the different colors of the spectrum require different thicknesses to produce accordance and discordance; the longer the waves, the greater must be the thickness of the film. Hence those thicknesses which effect the extinction of one color will not effect the extinction of another. When, therefore, a film of variable thickness is illuminated by *white* light, it displays a variety of colors.

390. These colors are called the colors of *thin plates*.

391. The colors of the soap-bubble; of oil or tar upon water; of tempered steel; the brilliant colors of lead skimmings; Nobili's metallo-chrome; the flashing colors of certain insects' wings, are all colors of thin plates. The colors are produced by transparent films of all kinds. In the bodies of crystals we often see iridescent colors due to vacuous films produced by internal fracture. In cutting the dark ice under the moraines of glaciers internal fracture often occurs, and the colors of thin plates flash forth from the body of the ice with extraordinary brilliancy.

392. Newton placed a lens of small curvature in optical contact with a plane surface of glass. Between the lens and the surface he had a film of air, which gradually augmented in thickness from the point of contact outward. He thus obtained in monochromatic light a series of bright and dark *rings*, corresponding to the different thick-

5

nesses of the film of air, which produced alternate accord-
ance and discordance.

   393. The rings produced by violet he found to be
smaller than those produced by red, while the rings pro-
duced by the other colors fell between these extremes.
Hence when white light is employed, "Newton's Rings"
appear as a succession of circular bands of color. A far
greater number of the rings is visible in monochromatic
than in white light, because the differently-colored rings,
after a certain thickness of film has been attained, become
superposed and reblended to form white light.

   394. Newton, considering the means at his disposal,
measured the diameters of his rings with marvellous
accuracy; he also determined from its focal length and its
refractive index the diameter of the sphere of which his
lens formed a part. He found the squares of the diameters
of his rings to be in *arithmetical progression*, and conse-
quently that the *thicknesses* of the film of air correspond-
ing to the diameters of the rings were also in arithmetical
progression.

   395. He determined the *absolute thicknesses* of the
plates of air at which the rings were formed. Employing
the most luminous rays of the spectrum, that is, the rays at
the common boundary of the yellow and orange, he found
the thickness corresponding to the first bright ring to be
$\frac{1}{178000}$ of an inch.

   396. The entire series of bright rings were formed at
the following successive thicknesses :

$$\frac{1}{178000}, \frac{3}{178000}, \frac{5}{178000}, \frac{7}{178000}, \text{ etc.,}$$

and the series of dark rings, separating the bright ones, at
the thicknesses

$$\frac{2}{178000}, \frac{4}{178000}, \frac{6}{178000}, \frac{8}{178000}, \text{ etc.}$$

   397. To account for the rings, Newton assumed that
the light particles were endowed with *fits of easy transmis-*

sion and of easy reflection. He probably figured those particles as endowed at the same time with a motion of translation through space, and a motion of rotation round their own axes. If we suppose such particles to resemble little magnets which present alternately attractive and repulsive poles to the surface which they approach, we have a conception in conformity with the notion of New ton.

398. According to this conception ordinary reflection and refraction would depend upon the presentation of the repulsive or the attractive poles of the particles to the reflecting or refracting surface.

399. Figure then the rotating light particles entering the film of air between Newton's lens and plate. If the distance between both be such as to enable the light particle to perform a *complete rotation*, it will present *at the second surface* of the film of air the same pole that it presented at the first. It will therefore be *transmitted*, and will not return to the eye.

400. This effect would also take place if the distance between the plate and lens were such as to enable the light particle to perform two, three, four, etc., complete rotations. *The dark rings of Newton were thus accounted for.* They occurred at places where the light particles, instead of being sent back to the eye from the second surface of the film, were transmitted through that surface.

401. But if the thickness of the film be such as to allow the light particle which has entered the first surface to perform only *half* a rotation before it arrives at the second surface ; then a repulsive pole will be presented to the latter, and the particle will be driven back to the eye. The same will occur if the distance be such as to enable the light particle to perform three, or five, or seven, etc., semi-rotations. *The bright rings of Newton were thus*

accounted for; they occurred at places where the light particles on reaching the second surface of the film were reflected back to the eye.

402. The theory of emission is here at direct issue with the theory of undulation. Newton assumes that the action which produces the alternate bright and dark rings takes place at a *single* surface; i. e., the second surface of the film. The undulatory theory affirms that the rings are caused by the interference of rays reflected from *both* surfaces. This has been proved to be the case. By employing polarized light (to be subsequently described and explained) we can destroy the reflection at the first surface of the film, and when this is done the rings vanish altogether.

403. The beauty and subtlety of Newton's conception are, however, manifest; and the theory was apparently supported by the fact that rings of feeble intensity are actually formed by *transmitted light*, and that the bright rings by transmitted light correspond to thicknesses which produce dark rings in reflected light.

404. The transmitted rings are referred by the undulatory theory to the interference of rays which have passed directly through the film, with others which have undergone *two reflections* within the film. They are thus completely accounted for.

Note.—The thickness $\frac{1}{178000}$ of an inch referred to in Note 396, as that corresponding to the first bright ring, is *one-fourth* of the length of an undulation of the light employed by Newton. Hence, in passing to and·fro through the film, the rays reflected at the second surface are *half* an undulation behind those reflected at the first surface. At this thickness, therefore, the ring ought, according to the principles of interference, to be *dark* instead of *bright.* The same remarks apply to the thicknesses $\frac{3}{178000}$,

$\frac{5}{118000}$, etc.; the former corresponds to a retardation of three, and the latter to a retardation of five semi-undulations. With regard to the *dark* rings, the first of them occurs at a thickness the double of which is the length of a whole undulation; the second of them occurs at a thickness which, when doubled, is equal to two wave-lengths; the third at a thickness the double of which is three wavelengths. Hence, if we take *the thickness of the film alone* into account, the bright rings ought to be dark, and the dark rings bright.

But something besides thickness is to be considered here. In the case of the first surface of the film the wave passes from the dense ether of the glass into the rare ether of the air. In the case of the second surface of the film the wave passes from the rare ether of the air into the dense ether of the glass. This difference at the two reflecting surfaces of the film can be proved to be equivalent *to the addition of half a wave-length* to the thickness of the film. To the absolute thickness, therefore, as measured by Newton, half a wave-length is in each case to be added; when this is done the rings follow each other in exact accordance with the law of interference enunciated in Notes 348 to 350.

Double Refraction.

405. In air, water, and well-annealed glass, the luminiferous ether has the same elasticity in all directions. There is nothing in the molecular grouping of these substances to interfere with the perfect homogeneity of the ether.

406. But when water crystallizes to ice, the case is different; here the molecules are constrained by their proper forces to arrange themselves in a certain determinate manner. They are, for example, closer together in

some directions than in others. This arrangement of the molecules carries along with it an arrangement of the surrounding ether, which causes it to possess *different degrees of elasticity in different directions.*

407. In a plate of ice, for example, the elasticity of the ether in a direction perpendicular to the surface of freezing is different from its elasticity in a direction parallel to the same surface.

408. This difference is displayed in a peculiarly striking manner by Iceland spar, which is crystallized carbonate of lime; and in consequence of the existence of these two different elasticities, a wave of light passing through the spar *is divided into two;* the one rapid, corresponding to the greater elasticity, and the other slow, corresponding to the lesser elasticity.

409. Where the velocity is greatest, the refraction is least; and where the velocity is least the refraction is greatest. Hence in Iceland spar, as we have two waves moving with different velocities, we have *double refraction.*

410. This is also true of the greater number of crystalline bodies. If the grouping of the molecules be not in all directions alike, the ether will not be in all directions equally elastic, and double refraction will infallibly result.

411. In rock-salt, alum, and other crystals, this homogeneous grouping of the molecules actually occurs, and such crystals behave like glass, water, or air.

412. In certain doubly refracting crystals the molecules are arranged in the same manner on all sides of a certain direction. For example, in the case of ice the molecular arrangement is the same all round the perpendiculars to the surface of freezing.

413. In like manner, in Iceland spar the molecules are

arranged symmetrically round the crystallographic axis, that is, round the shortest diagonal of the rhomb into which the crystal may be cloven.*

414. When a beam of light passes through ice perpendicular to the surface of freezing, or through Iceland · spar parallel to the crystallographic axis, *there is no double refraction.* These cases are representative; that is to say, there is no double refraction in the direction round which the molecular arrangement is in all directions the same.

415. This direction of no double refraction is called *the optic axis* of the crystal.

NOTE.—The vibrations of the ether being *transverse* to the direction of the ray, the elasticity which determines the rapidity of transmission is that *at right angles* to the ray's direction. In Iceland spar the velocity is slowest in the direction of the axis; hence the elasticity at right angles to the axis is a *minimum.* The ray, on the other hand, whose vibrations are executed along the axis is the most rapid; hence the elasticity of the ether along the axis is a *maximum.* In perfectly homogeneous bodies the surface of elasticity would be spherical; it would be measured by the same length of radius in all directions. In the case of Iceland spar the surface of elasticity is an ellipsoid whose longer axis coincides with the axis of the crystal.

---

* The arrangement of the molecules is such, that Iceland spar may be cloven with great and equal facility in three different directions. The *planes of cleavage* are here oblique to each other. Rock-salt also cleaves readily and equally in three directions, the planes of cleavage being at right angles to each other. Hence, while rock-salt cleaves into *cubes*, Iceland spar cleaves into *rhombs.* Many crystals cleave with different facilities in different directions. Selenite and crystallized sugar (sugar-candy) are examples.

Phenomena presented by Iceland Spar.

416. The two beams into which the incident beam is divided by the spar do not behave alike. One of them obeys the ordinary law of refraction; its index of refraction is perfectly constant and independent of its direction through the crystal. The angles of incidence and refraction are in the same plane, as in the case of ordinary refraction. The ray which behaves thus is called *the ordinary ray*. In its case the sine of the angle of incidence is to the sine of the angle of refraction, or the velocity of light in air is to its velocity in the crystal, in the constant ratio of 1.654 to 1. The number 1.654 is *the ordinary index* of Iceland spar.

417. But the other beam acts differently. Its index of refraction is not constant, nor is the angle of refraction as a general rule in the same plane as the angle of incidence. The ray which behaves thus is called *the extraordinary ray*. If a prism be formed of the spar with its refracting angle parallel to the optic axis, when the incident beam traverses the prism *at right angles to the optic axis*, the separation of its two parts is a *maximum*. Here the full difference of elasticity between the axial direction and that perpendicular to it comes into play, and the extraordinary ray suffers its *minimum* retardation, and therefore its minimum refraction. Its refractive index is then 1.483.

418. The index of refraction of the extraordinary ray varies with its direction through the crystal from 1.483 to 1.654. The *minimum value* of the ratio of the two sines, or of the two velocities, viz., 1.483, is called *the extraordinary index*.

419. When a small aperture through which light passes is regarded through a rhomb of Iceland spar two

apertures are seen. If the rhomb be placed over a black dot on a sheet of white paper, two dots will be seen; and if the spar be turned, one of the images of the aperture or of the dot will rotate round the other.

420. The rotating image is that formed by the extraordinary ray.

421. One of the two images of the dot is also *nearer* than the other. The ordinary ray behaves as if it came from a more highly-refractive medium, and the greater the refraction the nearer must the image appear. The apparent shallowness of water is referred to in Notes 131 and 132. With bisulphide of carbon the shallowness would be more pronounced, because the refraction is greater. In Iceland spar the ordinary index bears nearly the same relation to the extraordinary as the index of bisulphide of carbon to that of water; hence the *ordinary image* must appear nearer than the extraordinary one.

422. Brewster showed that a great number of crystals possessed *two* optic axes, or two directions on which a beam passes through the crystal without division. Crystallized sugar, mica, heavy spar, sulphate of lime, and topaz, are examples.

423. Thus crystals divide themselves into—

I. *Single refracting crystals*, such as rock-salt, alum, and fluor-spar; and

II. *Double refracting crystals*, of which we have two kinds, viz. :

a. *Uniaxal crystals*, or those with a single optic axis, such as Iceland spar, rock-crystal, and tourmaline; and—

b. *Biaxal crystals*, or those which possess two optic axes, such as arragonite, felspar, and those mentioned in 422.

424. When on a plate of Iceland spar cut perpen-
dicular to the axis, a beam of light falls obliquely, the
ordinary ray being the more refracted is nearer to the
axis than the extraordinary. The extraordinary ray is as
it were *repelled* by the axis. But Biot showed that there
are many crystals in which the reverse occurs, in which, that
is to say, the extraordinary ray is nearer to the axis than
the ordinary, being as it were *attracted*. The former class
he called repulsive or *negative* crystals; Iceland spar, ruby,
sapphire, emerald, beryl, and tourmaline, being examples.
The latter class he called attractive or *positive* crystals,
rock-crystal, ice, zircon, being examples.

The Polarization of Light.

425. The double refraction of Iceland spar was dis-
covered by Erasmus Bartholinus, and was first described
by him in a work published in Copenhagen in 1669. The
celebrated Huyghens sought to account for the phenome-
non on the principles of a wave theory, and he succeeded
in doing so.

426. In his experiments on this subject, Huyghens
found that when a common luminous beam passes through
Iceland spar in any direction save one (that of the optic
axis), it is always divided into two beams of *equal intensi-
ty ;* but that when *either* of these two half-beams is sent
through a second piece of spar, it is usually divided into
two of *unequal intensity ;* and that there are two posi-
tions of the spar in which one of the beams vanishes alto-
gether.

427. On turning the spar round this position of absolute
disappearance, the missing beam appeared; its companion
at the same time becoming dimmer; both of them then
passed through a phase of equal intensity, and when the

rotation was continued, the beam which was first trans-
mitted disappeared.

428. Reflecting on this experiment Newton came to
the conclusion that the divided beam had acquired *sides*
by its passage through the Iceland spar, and that its inter-
ception and transmission depended on the way on which
those sides presented themselves to the molecules of the
second crystal. He compared this *two-sidedness* of a beam
of light to the *two-endedness* of a magnet known as its
polarity; and a luminous beam exhibiting this two-sided-
ness was afterward said to be *polarized*.

429. In 1808, Malus, while looking through a bire-
fracting prism at one of the windows of the Luxembourg
Palace, from which the solar light was reflected, found
that in a certain position of the spar, the ordinary image
of the window almost wholly disappeared; while, in a
position perpendicular to this, the extraordinary image
disappeared. He discerned the analogy between this
action and that discovered by Huyghens in Iceland spar,
and came to the conclusion that the effect was due to
some new property impressed upon the light by its reflec-
tion from the glass.

430. What is this property ? It may be most simply
studied and understood by means of the crystal called
tourmaline. This crystal is birefractive; it divides a
beam of light incident upon it into two, but its molecular
grouping, and the consequent disposition of the ether
within it, are such that one of these beams is rapidly
quenched, while the other is transmitted with comparative
freedom.

431. It is to be borne in mind that the motions of the
individual ether particles are transverse to the direction in
which the light is propagated (read Note 219). *In a*

*beam of ordinary light the vibrations occur in all direc-
tions round the line of propagation.*

432. The change suffered by light in passing through
a plate of tourmaline, of sufficient thickness, and cut paral-
lel to the axis is this : All vibrations save those executed
parallel to the axis are quenched within the crystal. Hence
the beam emergent from the plate of tourmaline has all its
vibrations reduced to a single plane. In this condition it
is a beam *of plane polarized light.*

433. Imagine a cylindrical beam of light with all its
ether particles vibrating in the same direction—say *hori-
zontally*—looked down upon vertically, the ether particles,
if large enough, would be seen performing their excursions
to and fro across the direction of the beam. Looked at
crosswise horizontally, the particles would be seen ad-
vancing and retreating, but their paths would be invisible,
every ether particle covering its own path. In the one
case we should see *the lines* of excursion ; in the other case,
the ends of the lines only. In this, according to the
undulatory theory, consists the *two-sidedness* discovered
by Huyghens, and commented on by Newton.

Polarization of Light by Reflection.

434. The quality of two-sidedness is also impressed
upon light by reflection. This is the great discovery of
Malus. A beam reflected from glass is in part polarized
at all oblique incidences, a portion of its vibrations being
reduced to a common plane. At one particular incidence
the beam is *perfectly polarized, all* its vibrations being
reduced to the same plane. The angle of incidence which
corresponds to this perfect polarization is called the *polar-
izing angle.*

435. The polarizing angle is connected with the index

of refraction of the medium by a very beautiful law discovered by Sir David Brewster.* When a luminous beam is incident upon a transparent substance, it is in part reflected and in part refracted. At one particular incidence the reflected and refracted portions of the beam are *at right angles to each other*. The angle of incidence is *then* the polarizing angle. This is the geometrical expression of the law of Brewster.

436. The polarizing angle augments with the refractive index of the medium. For water it is 53°, for glass 58°, and for diamond 68°.

437. Thus a beam of ordinary light, whose vibrations are executed in all directions, impinging upon a plate of glass at the polarizing angle, has, after reflection, all its vibrations reduced to a common plane. The direction of the vibrations of the polarized beam *is parallel to the polarizing surface*.

438. Let a beam thus polarized by reflection at the surface of one plate of glass impinge upon a second plate *at the polarizing angle*. In one position of this plate the beam suffers its maximum reflection. In a certain other position the beam is *wholly transmitted*, there is no reflection. In this experiment the angle of incidence remains unchanged, nothing being altered save *the side* of the ray which strikes the reflecting surface.

439. The reflection of the polarized beam is a maximum when the lines along which the ether particles vibrate are *parallel* to the reflecting surface. It is wholly transmitted when the lines of vibration strike the reflecting surface at the polarizing angle. The reflection is then zero. By taking advantage of this fact, the reflection from the first surface of a thin film has been abolished,

* The index of refraction of the medium is the tangent of the polarizing angle.

Newton's rings being thereby rendered incapable of formation, as stated in Note 402.

440. A beam which meets the first surface of a plate of glass with parallel sides at the polarizing angle meets the second surface also at its polarizing angle, and is in part reflected there perfectly polarized. Hence, by augmenting the number of plates, the repeated reflections at their limiting surfaces furnish a polarized beam of greater intensity than that obtained by reflection at a single surface.

Polarization of Light by Refraction.

441. We have hitherto directed our attention to the *reflected* portion of the beam; but the *refracted* portion, which enters the glass, is also partially polarized. The quantities of polarized light in the reflected and refracted beams *are always equal to each other.*

442. The plane of vibration in the refracted beam *is at right angles* to that in the reflected beam.

443. When several plates of glass are placed parallel to each other, and a beam is permitted to fall upon them at the polarizing angle, at every passage from plate to plate a portion of the light is reflected polarized, an equal portion of polarized light entering the glass at the same time. By duly augmenting the number of plates, the polarization by the successive refractions may be rendered sensibly *perfect.* When this occurs, if any further plates be added to the bundle, reflection *entirely ceases* at their limiting surfaces, the beam afterward being wholly transmitted.

Polarization of Light by Double Refraction.

444. In the case last considered the light was polarized by ordinary refraction. The polarization of light by double

refraction has been already touched upon in Notes 432 and 433. We shall now extend our examination of the crystal of tourmaline there referred to, and turn it to account in the examination of other crystals.

445. If a beam of light which has passed through one plate of tourmaline impinge upon a second plate, it will pass through both, if the axes of the two plates be *parallel*. But if they are *perpendicular* to each other, then the light transmitted by the one is quenched by the other, darkness marking the space where the two plates are superposed.

446. If the two axes be *oblique* to each other, a portion of the light will pass through both plates. For, in a manner similar to the resolution of forces in ordinary mechanics, an oblique vibration may be resolved into two, one parallel to the axis of the tourmaline, the other perpendicular to the axis. The latter component is *quenched*, but the former is *transmitted*.

447. Hence if the axes of two plates of tourmaline be perpendicular to each other, a third plate of tourmaline introduced *obliquely* between them, or a plate of any other crystal which acts in a manner similar to the tourmaline, will transmit a portion of the light emergent from the first crystal. The plane of vibration of this light being oblique to the axis of the second crystal, a portion of the light will also pass through the latter. By the introduction, therefore, of a third crystal, with its axis oblique, we abolish in part the darkness of the space where the two rectangular plates are superposed.

Examination of Light transmitted through Iceland Spar.

448. We have now to examine, by means of a plate of tourmaline, the two parts into which a luminous beam is divided in its passage through Iceland spar.

449. Confining our attention to one of the two beams, it is immediately found that in a certain position of the plate the light is freely transmitted, while in the perpendicular position it is completely stopped. This proves the beam emergent from the spar to be *polarized*.

450. From the position of the tourmaline we can immediately infer the direction of vibration in the polarized beam. If transmission occur when the axis of the plate of tourmaline is vertical, the vibrations are vertical; if transmission occur when the tourmaline is horizontal, the vibrations are horizontal. The same mode of investigation teaches us that the second beam emergent from the spar is also polarized.

451. The vibrations of the ether particles in the two beams are executed in planes which are *at right angles to each other*. If the vibrations in the one beam be vertical, in the other they are horizontal. A plate of tourmaline with its axis vertical transmits the former and quenches the latter; while the same plate held horizontally, quenches the former and transmits the latter.

452. A tourmaline plate placed with its axis vertical, in front of the electric lamp, has its image cast by a lens upon a screen. A piece of Iceland spar, with one of its planes of vibration horizontal and the other vertical, placed in front of the lens divides the beam into two, and yields *two images* of the tourmaline. One of these images is *bright*, the other is *dark*. The reason is, that in the light emergent from the tourmaline the vibrations are vertical, and they can only be transmitted through the spar in company with *its* vertically vibrating beam. In the horizontally vibrating beam the tourmaline must appear black.

453. It is also black if the light emergent from it, and surrounding it, meet, at the polarizing angle, a plate of

glass whose plane of reflection is *vertical;* while it is bright when the light is reflected *horizontally.* These effects are consequences of the law of polarization by reflection.

454. Not .only do crystallized bodies possess this power of double refraction and polarization; but all bodies whose atomic grouping is such as to cause the ether within them to possess different elasticities in different directions do the same.

455. Thus organic structures are usually double refracting. A double refracting structure may also be conferred on ordinary glass by either strain or pressure. Strains and pressures due to unequal heating also produce double refraction. Unannealed glass behaves like a crystal. A plate of common window-glass, which under ordinary circumstances shows no trace of double refraction, if heated at a single point, is rendered doubly refractive by the strains and pressures propagated round the heated point. The introduction of any of these bodies between the *crossed plates* of tourmaline partly abolishes the darkness caused by the superposition of the plates.

456. Two plates of tourmaline, between which bodies may be introduced and examined by polarized light, constitute a simple form of the *polariscope.* The plate at which the light first enters is called the polarizer, while the second plate is called the analyzer.

457. But the tourmalines are small, usually colored, and under no circumstances competent to furnish an intense beam of polarized light. If one of the parts into which a prism of Iceland spar divides a beam of light could be abolished, the remaining beam would be polarized, and, because of the transparency of the spar, it would be far more intense than any beam obtainable from tourmaline.

458. This has been accomplished with great skill by Nicol. He cut a long parallelopiped of spar into two by a very oblique section; polished the two surfaces, and united them by Canada balsam. The refrangibility of the balsam lies between those of the ordinary and the extraordinary rays in Iceland spar, being less than the former and greater than the latter. When, therefore, a beam of light is sent along the parallelopiped, the ordinary ray, to enter the balsam, must pass from *a denser to a rarer medium*. In consequence of the obliquity of its incidence *it is totally reflected*, and is thus 'got rid of. The extraordinary ray, on the contrary, in passing from the spar to the balsam passes from a rarer to a denser medium, and is therefore *transmitted*. In this way we obtain a single intense beam of polarized light (read Notes 123, 141, and 142).

459. A parallelopiped prepared in the fashion here described is called a *Nicol's prism*.

460. Nicol's prisms are of immense use in experiments on polarization. With them the best polariscopes are constructed. Reflecting polariscopes are also constructed, consisting of two plates of glass, one of which polarizes the light by reflection, the other examining the light so polarized. The beam reflected from the polarizer is in this case reflected or quenched by the analyzer according as the planes of reflection of the two mirrors are parallel or at right angles to each other.

Colors of Double-refracting Crystals in Polarized Light.

461. A large class of these colors may be illustrated and explained by reference to the deportment of thin plates of gypsum (crystallized sulphate of lime, commonly called selenite) between the polarizer and analyzer of the polariscope.

462. The crystal cleaves with great freedom in one direction; it cleaves with less freedom in two others; the latter two cleavages are also unequal. In other words, gypsum possesses three planes of cleavage, no two of which are equal in value, but one of which particularly signalizes itself by its perfection.

463. By following these three cleavages it is easy to obtain from the crystal diamond-shaped laminæ of any required thinness.

464. The crystal, as might be expected from the character of its cleavages, is double-refracting. A beam of ordinary light impinging at right angles on a plate of gypsum, whose surfaces are those of most perfect cleavage, has its vibrations reduced to two planes at right angles to each other; that is to say, the beam whose ether, prior to entering the gypsum, vibrates in all transverse directions, after it has entered the gypsum, and after its emergence from it, vibrates in two rectangular directions only.

465. The elasticity of the ether is different in these two rectangular directions; consequently the one beam passes more rapidly through the gypsum than the other.

466. In refracting bodies generally the retardation of the light consists in a *diminution of the wave-length* of the light. *The rate of vibration* is unchanged during the passage of the light through the refracting body. The case is exactly similar to that of a musical sound transmitted from water into air. The velocity is reduced to one-fourth by the transfer, because the wave-length is reduced to one-fourth. But the *pitch*, depending as it does on the number of waves which reach the ear in a second, is unaltered.

467. Because of the difference of elasticity between the two rectangular directions of vibration in gypsum, the

waves of ether in the one direction *are more shortened*
than in the other.

468. In the experiments with a plate of gypsum now
to be described and explained, we shall employ as polar-
izer a piece of Iceland spar, one of whose beams is in-
tercepted by a diaphragm. A Nicol's prism shall be our
analyzer.

469. When the planes of vibration of the spar and
of the Nicol coincide, the light passes through both and
may be received upon a screen. When the planes of
vibration are at right angles to each other, the light emer-
gent from the spar is intercepted by the Nicol, and the
screen is dark.

470. If a plate of selenite be placed between the
polarizer and analyzer, with either of its planes of vibra-
tion *coincident* with that of the polarizer or analyzer, it
produces no change upon the screen. If the screen be
light, it remains light; if it be dark, it remains dark after
the introduction of the gypsum, which here behaves like
a plate of ordinary glass.

471. Let us assume the screen to be dark. Interpos-
ing a *thick* plate of gypsum with its directions of vibra-
tion *oblique* to that of the polarizer or analyzer, *white* light
reaches the screen. If the plate be *thin*, the light which
reaches the screen is *colored.* If the plate be of uniform
thickness, the color is uniform. If of different thicknesses,
or if in cleaving thin scales cling to the surface of the film,
some portions of the plate will be differently colored from
the rest.

472. When thick plates are employed, the different
colors, as in the case of thin plates, are superposed, and re-
blended to white light.

473. The quantity of light which reaches the eye is a
maximum when the planes of vibration of the gypsum

enclose an angle of 45° with those of the polarizer and analyzer.

474. If the plate of selenite be a thin wedge, and if the light be monochromatic, say red, alternately bright (red) and dark bands are thrown upon the screen.

475. If, instead of red light, *blue* be employed, the blue bands are found to occur at smaller thicknesses than those which produced the red: other colors occur at intermediate thicknesses. Hence when *white* light is employed, instead of bands of brightness separated from each other by bands of darkness, we have a series of iris-colored bands.

476. If, instead of a wedge gradually augmenting in thickness from the edge toward the back, we employ a disk gradually augmenting in thickness from the centre outward; instead of a series of parallel bands we obtain under similar circumstances, in *white* light, a series of concentric iris-colored circles.

477. Here, then, we have in the first instance a beam of plane polarized light impinging on the selenite. The direction of vibration of this beam is resolved into two others at right angles to each other; namely, into the two directions in which the ether vibrates within the crystal. One of these systems of waves is *retarded* with reference to the other.

478. But as long as the rays vibrate *at right angles to each other*, they cannot interfere so as to augment or diminish the intensity. To effect such interference the rays must vibrate *in the same place*.

479. The function of the analyzer is to reduce the two rectangular wave-systems to a single plane. Here the effect of retardation is at once felt, and the waves conspire or oppose each other according as their vibrations are *in the same phase* or in *opposite phases*.

480. When the vibration planes of the polarizer and analyzer are *parallel*, a thickness of the gypsum crystal which produces a retardation of *half an undulation* causes the light to be extinguished by the analyzer.

481. When the polarizer and analyzer are *crossed*, a retardation of half an undulation, or of any odd number of half undulations, within the crystal does not produce extinction when these vibrations are compounded by the analyzer. A retardation of a whole undulation, or of any number of whole undulations, produces in this case extinction. This, when followed out, is a plain consequence of the composition of the vibrations.

482. Expressed generally, the phenomena exhibited by the parallel and crossed polarizer and analyzer are *complementary*. If the field be dark when they are crossed, it is bright when they are parallel. If the field be green when they are crossed, it is red when they are parallel; if yellow when they are crossed, it is blue when they are parallel. Thus a rotation of 90° always brings out the complementary color.

483. If instead of the Nicol we employ a birefracting prism of Iceland spar, the colors of the selenite produced by the two oppositely-polarized beams will be complementary. The overlapping of the two colors always produces *white*. Any other double-refracting substance, whether crystallized, organized, mechanically pressed or strained, exhibits, on examination by polarized light, phenomena similar to those of the gypsum.

484. A common beam of light is equivalent in all its effects to two beams vibrating in two rectangular planes. As two such beams cannot interfere, we cannot have the colors of the selenite in common light.

Rings surrounding the Axes of Crystals in Polarized Light.

485. A pencil of rays passing along the axis through Iceland spar suffers no division; but if inclined to the axis, however slightly, the pencil is divided into two, which vibrate in rectangular planes, and one of which is more retarded than the other.

486. If the incident light be polarized, on quitting the spar, oblique to the axis, it will be in a condition similar to the light emergent from the plates of gypsum already referred to. When two rectangular vibrations, passing through the same ether, are reduced to the same plane by the analyzer, interference occurs; the two rays either con-. spiring or opposing each other.

487. Whether they conspire or not depends upon the amount of relative retardation, and this again depends upon the thickness of the spar traversed by the two rays. If they conspire at a certain thickness they will also conspire at twice that thickness, thrice that thickness, etc. Those thicknesses at which the rays conspire are separated by others at which they oppose each other.

488. With a conical beam whose central ray passes *along* the axis, the effects are symmetrical all round the axis; and when the crystal, illuminated by such a ray, is examined by monochromatic polarized light, we have a series of bright and dark circles surrounding the axis.

489. When the light is red the circles are larger than when the light is blue; the smaller the wave-length the smaller are the circles. Hence, since the different colors are not superposed, when *white* light is employed instead of bands of alternate brightness and darkness we have a series of *iris-colored circles*.

When the polarizer and analyzer are crossed the system of bands is intersected by *a black cross*, whose arms are parallel to the planes of vibration in the polarizer and analyzer. Those rays, whose planes of vibration within the crystal coincide with the planes of either the polarizer or analyzer, *cannot get through either*, and their complete interception forms the two arms of the cross. Those rays whose planes of vibration enclose an angle of 45° with that of the polarizer or analyzer produce the greatest effect when they conspire. At this inclination the bright ring is at its maximum brilliancy, from which, right and left, it becomes more feeble, until it finally merges into the darkness of the cross.

490. A rotation of 90° produces here, as in other cases, the complementary phenomena: the black cross becomes white, and the circles change their tints to complementary ones.

491. In crystals possessing two optic axes a series of iris-colored bands surround both axes, each band forming a curve, which its discoverer, James Bernoulli, called a *lemniscata*.

Elliptic and Circular Polarization.

492. Two rays of light vibrating *at right angles to each other*, however the one system of vibrations may be retarded with reference to the other, cannot, as already stated, interfere so as to produce either an increase or a diminution of the light.

493. But though the *intensity* remains unchanged, the rays act upon each other. If one of them differs from the other by any exact number of semi-undulations, the two rays are compounded to a single *rectilinear* vibration. In all other cases the resultant vibration is *elliptical;* in one

particular case the ellipse in which the individual particles of ether move is converted into a *circle*. This occurs when one of the systems of waves is an exact quarter of an undulation behind the other; we have then *circular polarization*.

494. This compounding of ethereal vibration is mechanically the same as the compounding of the vibrations of an ordinary pendulum; or as the compounding of the vibrations of two rectangular tuning-forks by the method of Lissajous.*

495. Elliptic polarization is the *rule* and not the *exception*. It is particularly manifested in reflection from metals, and from transparent bodies which possess a high index of refraction. Jamin has detected it in light reflected from all bodies.

Rotary Polarization.

496. A polarized ray of monochromatic light, as already stated, suffers no change during its transmission through Iceland spar in the direction of the optic axis.

497. But if transmitted through *rock-crystal* (quartz) in the direction of the optic axis, its plane of vibration is turned by the crystal. Supposing the polarizer and analyzer of the polariscope to be crossed so as to produce perfect darkness before the crystal is introduced between them, on its introduction light will pass, and to quench the light the analyzer must be turned into a new position. The angle through which the analyzer is turned measures the *rotation of the plane of vibration*.

498. Some specimens of rock-crystal turn the plane of vibration to the right, and others to the left. The former are called *right-handed* and the latter *left-handed* crystals.

* See *Lectures on Sound*, 1st ed, p. 307.

6

Sir John Herschel connected this optical difference with a
visible difference of crystalline form.

499. In the celebrated experiment of Faráday, with a
bar of heavy glass, the plane of vibration was caused to
rotate both by a magnet and an electric current; the
direction of rotation bearing a constant relation to the
polarity of the magnet and to the direction of the current.

500. The subject of rotary polarization was examined
with great care and completeness by Biot, and he estab-
lished certain laws regarding it, two of which may be
enunciated here:

1. The amount of the rotation is proportional to the
thickness of the plate of rock-crystal.

2. The rotation of the plane of vibration is different
for the different rays of the spectrum, increasing with the
refrangibility of the light.

Thus with a plate of rock-crystal one millimetre thick,
he obtained the following rotations for the mean rays of
the respective colors of the spectrum:

Red, 19°.	Green, 28°.	Indigo, 36°.
Orange, 21°.	Blue, 32°.	Violet, 41°.
Yellow, 23°.		

With a plate two millimetres in thickness the rotation for
red is 38° and for violet 82°.

501. Since, then, the rays of different colors emerge
from the rock-crystal vibrating in different planes, when
such light falls upon the analyzer that color only whose
plane of vibration coincides with that of the analyzer will
be transmitted. By turning the analyzer we allow the
other colors to pass in succession.

502. The phenomena of rotary polarization are pro-
duced by the interference of two circularly-polarized pen-
cils of light, which are propagated along the axis with

unequal velocities, the one revolving from left to right,
and the other revolving in the opposite direction.*

CONCLUSION.

I have endeavored in these lectures to bring before
you the views at present entertained by all eminent scien-
tific thinkers regarding the nature of light. I have en-
deavored to make as clear to you as possible that bold
theory according to which space is filled with an elastic
substance capable of transmitting the motions of light and
heat. And consider how impossible it is to escape from
this or some similar theory—to avoid ascribing to light,
in space, *a material basis*. Solar light and heat require
about eight minutes to travel from the sun to the earth.
During this time the light and heat are detached from
both. Enclose, in idea, a portion of the intervening space
—say a cubic mile of it—occupied for a moment by light
and heat. Ask yourselves what they are. The first in-
quiry toward a solution is, *What can they do?* We only
know things by their *effects*. What, then, are the effects
which this cubic mile of light and heat can produce? At
the earth, where we can operate upon them, we find them
capable of producing *motion*. We can lift weights with
them; we can turn wheels with them; we can urge locomo-
tives with them; we can fire projectiles with them. What
other conclusion can you come to than that the light and
heat which thus produce motion *are themselves motions?*†

One cubic mile of space, then, is for a measurable time
the vehicle of motion. But is it in the human mind to
imagine motion without at the same time imagining some-

* See Lloyd, *Wave Theory*, p. 199, etc.

† Sir William Thomson has attempted to calculate "the mechanical
value of a cubic mile of sunlight."

thing moved? Certainly not. The very conception of
motion necessarily includes that of a moving body. What,
then, is the thing moved in the case of our cubic mile of
sunlight? The undulatory theory replies that it is a sub-
stance of determinate mechanical properties, a body which
may or may not be a form of ordinary matter, but to
which, whether it is or not, we give the name of *ether*.
Let us tolerate no vagueness here; for the greatest dis-
service that could be done to science—the surest way to
give error a long lease of life—is to enshroud scientific
theories in vagueness. The motion of the ether com-
municated to material substances throws them into mo-
tion. It is, therefore, itself *a material substance*, for we
have no knowledge that in nature any thing but a material
substance can throw other material substances into mo-
tion. Two modes of motion are possible to the ether.
Either it is shot through space as a *projectile*, or it is the
vehicle of *wave-motion*. The projectile theory, though
enunciated by Newton, and supported by such men as
Laplace, Biot, Brewster, and Malus, has hopelessly broken
down. Wave-motion, then, of one kind or another, we
must fall back upon. But how does the Wave Theory.
account for the phenomena? Throughout the greater
part of these lectures we have been answering this ques-
tion. The cases brought before you are *representative*.
Thousands of facts might be cited in illustration of each
of them, and not one of these facts is left unexplained by
the undulatory theory. It accounts for all the phenomena
of reflection; for all the phenomena of refraction, single
and double; for all the phenomena of dispersion; for all
the phenomena of diffraction; for the colors of thick plates
and thin, as well as for the colors of all natural bodies. It
accounts for all the phenomena of polarization; for all
those wonderful affections, those chromatic splendors ex-

hibited by crystals in polarized light. Thousands of iso-
lated facts might, as I have said, be ranged under each
of these heads; the undulatory theory accounts for them
all. It traces out illuminated paths through what would
otherwise be the most hopeless jungle of phenomena in
which human thought could be involved. This is why
the foremost men of the age accept the ether not as a
vague dream, but as a real entity—a substance endowed
with *inertia*, and capable, in accordance with the estab-
lished laws of motion, of imparting its thrill to other sub-
stances. If there· is one conception more firmly fixed in
modern scientific thought than another, it is that heat is
a mode of motion. Ask yourselves how the vast amount
of mechanical energy actually transmitted in the form
of heat reaches the earth from the sun. *Matter* must be
its vehicle, and the matter is according to theory the
luminiferous ether.

   Thomas Young never saw with his eyes the waves of
sound; but he had the force of imagination to picture
them and the intellect to investigate them. And he rose
from the investigation of the unseen waves of air to that
of the unseen waves of ether; his belief in the one being
little, if at all, inferior to his belief in the other. One ex-
pression of his will illustrate the perfect definiteness of
his ideas. To account for the aberration of light he
thought it necessary to assume that the ether which en-
compasses the earth does not partake of the motion of our
planet through space. His words are: "The ether passes
through the solid mass of the earth as the wind passes
through a grove of trees." This bold assumption has
been shown to be unnecessary by Prof. Stokes, who proves
that, by ascribing to the ether properties analogous to
those of an elastic solid, aberration would be accounted

for, without supposing the earth to be thus permeable.
Stokes believes in the ether as firmly as Young did.

I may add, that one of the most refined experimenters
in France, M. Fizeau, who is also a a member of the Insti-
tute, undertook to determine, some years ago, whether a
moving body drags the ether along with it in its motion.
His conclusion is, that *part of the ether* adheres to the
molecules of the body, and is transferred along with
them. This conclusion may or may not be correct; but
the mere fact that such experiments were undertaken by
such a man illustrates the distinctness with which this
idea of an ether is held by the most eminent scientific
workers of the age.

But while I have endeavored to place before you with
the utmost possible clearness the basis of the undulatory
theory, do I therefore wish to close your eyes against any
evidence that may arise of its incorrectness? Far from
it. You may say, and justly say, that a hundred years
ago another theory was held by the most eminent men,
and that, as the theory then held had to yield, the undu-
latory theory may have to yield also. This is perfectly
logical. Just in the same way, a person in the time of
Newton, or even in our own time, might reason thus: The
great Ptolemy, and numbers of great men after him, be-
lieved that the earth was the centre of the solar system.
Ptolemy's theory had to give way, and the theory of
gravitation may, in its turn, have to give way also. This
is just as logical as the former argument. The strength
of the theory of gravitation rests on its competence to ac-
count for all the phenomena of the solar system; and how
strong that theory is will be understood by those who
have heard in this room Prof. Grant's lucid account of all

that it explains. On a precisely similar basis rests the
undulatory theory of light; only that the phenomena which
it explains are far more varied and complex than the phe-
nomena of gravitation. You regard, and justly so, the
discovery of Neptune as a triumph of theory. Guided by
it, Adams and Leverrier calculated the position of a plane-
tary mass competent to produce the disturbances of Uranus.
Leverrier communicated the result of his calculation to
Galle, of Berlin; and that same night Galle pointed the
telescope of the Berlin Observatory to the portion of the
heavens indicated by Leverrier, and found there a planet
36,000 miles in diameter.

    It so happens that the undulatory theory has also its
Neptune. Fresnel had determined the mathematical ex-
pression for the wave-surface in crystals possessing two
optic axes; but he did not appear to have an idea of any
refraction in such crystals other than double refraction.
While the subject was in this condition the late Sir Wil-
liam Hamilton, of Dublin, a profound mathematician,
took it up, and proved the theory to lead to the conclu-
sion that at four special points of the wave-surface the
ray was divided not in *two* parts, but into an *infinite
number of parts;* forming at those points a continuous
conical envelope instead of two images. No human eye
had ever seen this envelope when Sir William Hamilton
inferred its existence. If the theory of gravitation be
true, said Leverrier, in effect, to Dr. Galle, a planet ought
to be there: if the theory of undulation be true, said Sir
William Hamilton to Dr. Lloyd, my luminous envelope
ought to be there. Lloyd took a crystal of Arragonite,
and following with the most scrupulous exactness the in-
dications of theory, discovered the envelope which had
previously been an idea in the mind of the mathematician.

Whatever may be the strength which the theory of gravi-
tation derives from the discovery of Neptune, it is matched
by the strength which the undulatory theory derives from
the discovery of *conical refraction.*

NOTE.

I would strongly recommend for perusal the essay on
Light, published in Sir John Herschel's "Familiar Lectures
on Scientific Subjects."

<div align="right">J. T.</div>

NOTES

OF A COURSE OF SEVEN LECTURES ON

ELECTRICITY.

NOTES ON ELECTRICITY

Voltaic Electricity: the Voltaic Battery.

1. If two pieces of the same metal (pure zinc or pure platinum, for example) be immersed in water, which has been rendered sour by the addition of a little sulphuric acid, the acidulated water attacks neither.

The ordinary zinc of commerce being rendered impure by the admixture of other metals is attacked by the acid. It may, however, be enabled to withstand the acid by covering its surface with mercury. The zinc is dissolved by the mercury, detached from its impurities, and presented to the liquid. This process is called *amalgamation.*

2. If two pieces of two different metals (pure zinc and platinum, for example) be immersed in acidulated water, no sensible action occurs *as long as the metals do not touch each other;* but the moment they touch, and as long as they continue in contact, the zinc is attacked by the acidulated water and dissolves, while bubbles of gas rise from the surface of the platinum.

3. This gas when collected proves to have the specific gravity of hydrogen; like hydrogen it also burns in the air. The water, in fact, is decomposed by the touching metals; its oxygen unites with the zinc to form oxide of zinc, while its hydrogen escapes from the platinum.

4. If the two metals be only partially plunged into the acidulated water, it does not matter whether contact occurs *within* the liquid or *outside* of it. The effect in both cases is the decomposition of the water, the solution of the zinc, and the liberation of the hydrogen gas.

5. When the two partially immersed metals are connected outside the liquid by a long wire (say of copper) the effect is the same as when they touch directly. In both cases a *circuit* is said to be formed, consisting of the two metals and the liquid. In the case last mentioned the copper wire is said to complete the circuit.

For these experiments a strip of platinum and a strip of amalgamated zinc are employed. The liquid is placed in a glass cell with parallel sides, through which is sent a beam of light, and by means of a lens a magnified image of the cell and its two strips is cast upon a screen. The chemical action consequent upon touching the metals, or on completing the circuit with a wire, and its suspension when contact is interrupted, are then very plainly seen.

6. The wire is also said to be the vehicle of an *electric current* which flows round the circuit. It is also called a Voltaic current, because the action here described was discovered by the celebrated Italian philosopher Volta. These terms, however, convey to us, as yet, no meaning. Our sole business during the present lecture is to examine the wire which completes the circuit, and to determine wherein it differs from an ordinary wire.

7. And to enable ourselves to do this effectually, we shall employ an arrangement, or a combination, of zinc and platinum plates and acids, known as a voltaic battery. We shall subsequently analyze this battery, and determine what occurs within it. For the present, as aforesaid, we shall confine ourselves to the examination of the wire which completes the circuit outside the battery.

Electro-Magnetism: Elementary Phenomena.

8. Interrupting the circuit, and immersing the wire in iron filings, it shows no power of attraction over them. Establishing the circuit, on reimmersing the wire in the filings they cluster round it and cling to it. If the wire be raised out of the filings, they form an envelope round it. The moment, however, the circuit is interrupted, the filings fall.

9. If the wire be disconnected from the plates of platinum and zinc, and stretched under and parallel to a suspended bar magnet, no action is observed; but on making the wire, stretched beneath the magnet, form part of a voltaic circuit, the magnet is deflected from the magnetic meridian. This is Œrsted's discovery.

10. To the eye the wire, if tolerably thick, is unchanged by its connection with the zinc and platinum. But if for the thick copper wire a thin platinum wire be substituted it is sensibly heated, and may even be caused to glow brightly. The wire therefore must be the vehicle of some power or condition, which is competent to produce both magnetic and thermal phenomena.

11. If a naked wire, forming part of a voltaic circuit, be wound round a bar of iron, the power of which the wire was the vehicle is in great part transmitted to the iron which becomes part of the circuit.

12. But if the wire be overspun with cotton, or still better with silk, this transmission of the power from the wire to the iron bar is prevented. The wire may then be coiled round the bar while the power is compelled to pass in succession through all the convolutions of the wire. Here the iron bar is not at all in the circuit.

13. But though not in the circuit it is powerfully excited by the surrounding wire. Every convolution of the

wire evokes a certain amount of *magnetism* in the bar;
and by rendering the convolutions sufficiently numerous,
a magnet of enormous strength may be thus generated.
This is Sturgeon's application of Arago's discovery.

14. Such a magnet is called an Electro-magnet to dis-
tinguish it from ordinary permanent steel magnets. When
the circuit is broken the power of the electro-magnet
ceases. It then falls from its highly-excited condition to
the condition of ordinary iron.

15. For electro-magnetic purposes the covered wire is
usually coiled round a hollow reel, several layers of coil
-being sometimes superposed upon each other. In this
condition the reel is called an *electro-magnetic helix*. The
iron bar to be magnetized is placed within the helix, form-
ing its *core*. The electro-magnet may be either straight,
shaped like a horseshoe, or it may be caused to assume
other forms.

16. The smooth bar of iron placed across the ends, or
poles, of a horseshoe magnet, is sometimes called a *keeper*,
sometimes an *armature*, and sometimes a *sub-magnet*.

17. It is not necessary that the convolutions of the
helix should be close to the core. A hoop, for example,
a yard in diameter, round which covered wire is coiled,
magnetizes an iron bar placed across it at its centre. The
magnetized body is here nearly 18 inches from the mag-
netizing coil. How is the power transmitted from the one
to the other? Is it an action at a distance, or does it re-
quire a medium for its propagation? I do not know.
The question at present profoundly interests investiga-
tors.

18. If a covered wire forming part of a voltaic circuit
be coiled round an iron bar near one of its ends, there is a
propagation of the excitement along the bar toward the
distant end. As the coils augment in number the attrac-

tive power of the distant end increases. On undoing the coils the magnetism gradually falls. The process resembles more or less the conduction of heat. The augmentation of the coils answering to the increasing of the temperature, and the undoing of the coils answering to the cooling of the end of the bar.

Electro-Magnetic Engines.

19. When the end of a cylinder of iron is partially introduced into an electro-magnetic helix, on completing the circuit a force of suction is exerted upon it tending to draw it into the helix. Page turned this force to account in the construction of an electro-magnetic engine.

Hollow iron cylinders, which pass freely into the helix, are employed for this experiment. The end only of the hollow cylinder being introduced, when the circuit is completed the cylinder is suddenly and strongly sucked in.

20. Others have turned to account mechanically the attraction exerted by electro-magnetic cores on bars of iron. The distinguished electro-mechanician Froment produced rotatory motion in this way. A series of electro-magnets are so ranged that their poles lie facing each other along the circumference of a circle; and a series of transverse bars of iron are so connected together as to be able to approach the poles in succession, and rotate as a system. When the circuit is established, these bars are attracted, motion being thus imparted to the system. The bars on arriving at the poles which attract them suddenly cease to be attracted; the magnetism being temporarily suspended to allow each bar to pass forward, with the velocity impressed upon it, to the next pair of attracting poles. On reaching these the magnetism is again temporarily suspended. Thus the bars *are never pulled*

back; and in this way a continuous motion of rotation is maintained.

21. This rotatory motion can be applied in various ways; it may, for example, be caused to pump water, to saw wood, or to drive piles.

One of Froment's electro-magnetic engines, and its application to pumping and pile-driving, is employed to illustrate this.

Physical Effects of Magnetization.

22. Sound is one of the physical effects which accompany sudden magnetization and sudden demagnetization. An ear placed close to an iron core hears a clink the moment the circuit is established round it. A clink is also heard when the circuit is broken. This is Page's discovery. Employing a contact-breaker (in a distant room to abolish its noise) the coil may be magnetized and demagnetized in quick succession; the sounds then produced may be heard by several hundreds at once.

A poker of good soft iron placed within an electro-magnetic helix, and with its two ends supported on wooden trays, produces a very good effect. The sound may be rendered musical.

23. When an iron bar is magnetized its volume is unchanged, but its shape is altered. It lengthens in the direction of magnetization. This is Joule's discovery.

24. Joule employed a system of levers to augment the effect, and a microscope to observe the elongation thus augmented. Our method is this: The iron bar is magnetized by an electro-magnetic helix which surrounds it. Its elongation is first augmented fiftyfold by means of a lever; and this motion is applied to turn the axis of a rotating mirror. From the mirror is reflected a long beam of light, which forms an index without weight. The re-

flected beam may be caused to print a circle of light upon a white screen, and this circle when the bar is magnetized, suffers a displacement due to the elongation of the bar. This displacement may amount to a foot or more.

What is the cause of this elongation? The discussion of this question requires some preliminary knowledge.

25. If a sheet of paper or a square of glass be placed over a magnet, iron filings scattered on the paper or on the glass arrange themselves in lines, which Faraday called Lines of Force. Along these lines the filings set their longest dimensions, and they also attach themselves end to end. A little bar of iron, or a small magnetic needle, freely suspended, sets itself also along these lines of force.

The formation and modifications of the magnetic curves, or lines of force, are shown in this lecture by means of small magnets held between plates of glass and strongly illuminated. Magnified images of the curves are thrown upon a screen about 40 feet distant. The shifting of the curves by the tapping of the glass is plainly visible.

26. We may regard a bar of iron as made up of particles united by the force of cohesion, but still to some extent distinct. When iron is broken we see crystalline facets on the surface of fracture. In fact, the bar is composed of minute crystals of irregular shape. These, when the bar is magnetized, try to set their longest dimensions parallel to the direction of magnetization, that is to say, in the direction of the bar itself. They succeed in this effort to some slight extent, and thus produce the minute and temporary lengthening of the bar. This is the explanation of De la Rive. It is, I think, as true as it is acute.

27. Magnetic oxide of iron may be suspended as a powder in water contained in a cylindrical vessel with flat

glass ends. Let the vessel be surrounded by a coil of covered wire. Looking at a candle through the muddy liquid, and making the coil part of a voltaic circuit, the candle brightens at the moment the circuit is made. Breaking the circuit, dimness again supervenes. This is due to an arrangement of the particles of suspended oxide similar to that of the iron filings. They set their longest dimensions parallel to the beam of light, and thus obstruct its passage less. They also attach themselves end to end, and form lines like the lines of filings. This beautiful experiment is due to Grove.

Projecting a magnified image of the end of the cylindrical cell on a screen, and sending through it the beam of the electric lamp whenever the circuit is established, an illuminated disk, 2 or 3 feet in diameter, flashes out upon the screen.

Character of Magnetic Force.

It is necessary to our further progress to have clear and definite ideas as to the character of the magnetic force.

28. The magnetic power of a magnet, or of a magnetic needle, though really distributed throughout its mass, appears to be concentrated at two points near the ends. These points are called the *poles* of the magnet or needle.

29. The magnetic power of the earth is doubtless also distributed through the mass of the earth, but a concentration similar to that just noticed endows the earth also with magnetic poles.

30. The action of the earth upon a magnetic needle is this: the north terrestrial pole repels one end of the needle and attracts the other; the south magnetic pole also attracts one end of the needle and repels the other.

But the end attracted by the north terrestrial pole is repelled by the south, while the end attracted by the south is repelled by the north.

31. Thus to each terrestrial magnetic pole the needle presents two ends which are differently endowed. Two opposite kinds of magnetism may be supposed to be concentrated at the two ends. In this *doubleness* of the magnetic force consists what is called *magnetic polarity.*

32. Each of the two distinct kinds of magnetism may be regarded as self-repellent. North repels north, and south repels south. But different kinds of magnetism are mutually attractive; south attracts north, and north attracts south.

33. When a magnet, or a magnetic needle, is suspended with the line joining its poles *oblique* to the magnetic meridian, the earth's action on the needle resolves itself into what in mechanics is called "a couple," tending to turn the needle into the magnetic meridian.

34. When the needle is in the meridian, the two forces which constitute the couple are opposite and equal. The tendency to produce rotation then ceases; the needle is in its position of equilibrium.

35. When the forces are equal and opposite they must neutralize each other; no *motion of translation* of the needle being, therefore, possible. Thus, when the needle is caused to swim on water, or on mercury, it does not move toward either of the terrestrial magnetic poles.

36. One pole of a bar magnet repels the one end and attracts the other end of a magnetic needle. At the other pole of the magnet the attraction and repulsion are reversed. In the middle of the magnet is the *magnetic equator*, where neither end of the needle is attracted or repelled.

Magnetism of Helix: Strength of Electro-Magnets.

37. An electro-magnetic helix, even without a core of iron, behaves exactly like a magnet. It attracts iron. Its two ends, moreover, are opposite poles, and between them is a magnetic equator. When, however, a core is placed within the helix, the magnetism of the combined system is far more intense than that of the helix alone.

38. The strength of a magnet is measured by its power to deflect a magnetic needle from its meridian; the magnetic strength of a helix alone, and of a helix and core combined, are similarly determined.

39. To obtain the magnetic strength of the core alone, we first determine the strength of the helix alone, then that of the helix and core combined; subtracting the former strength from the latter, we obtain the magnetic strength of the core.

40. If the cores be thick and formed of good iron, the magnetic strength of the core is exactly proportional to that of the helix. A helix of double power will produce an electro-magnet of double strength; a helix of treble power, an electro-magnet of treble strength, and so on. Thus by varying the strength of the helix we vary in like degree the strength of the iron core within it.

Electro-Magnetic Attractions: Law of Squares.

41. And here an important point arises. When we allow a core of double power to act upon a piece of good iron, nearly but not quite in contact with the core, the attraction of the iron is not doubled, but quadrupled. If the core be of treble power, the attraction is not only trebled, but it increases ninefold. If the magnetic strength of the core be quadrupled, the attraction of the iron is augmented sixteenfold. In fact, the attraction is

proportional, not to the strength simply, but to the strength multiplied by itself, or to the *square of the strength* of the electro-magnet.

We must be very clear as to the cause of this action, and must, therefore, contrast for a moment the magnetic action of hard steel with that of soft iron.

42. Soft iron is easily magnetized, but it loses its magnetism when the magnetizing force is withdrawn. Steel is magnetized with difficulty, but it retains its magnetism even after the withdrawal of the magnetizing magnet.

43. This obstinacy on the part of steel in declining to accept the magnetic state, and this retentiveness on the part of steel when the magnetic condition has been once imposed upon it, are called *coercive force*. It is not a happy term,·but it is the one employed.   .

44. Supposing a piece of magnetized steel to possess a coercive force so high as to resist further magnetization, its attraction by an electro-magnet would be directly proportional, not to the square of the strength, but simply to the strength of the electro-magnet.

45. Why, then, does the iron follow the law of the square of the strength? It is because the magnetic condition of the iron is not constant, but rises with the strength of the magnet. When the magnetism of the core is doubled, the magnetism of the iron is also doubled; when the magnetism of the core is trebled, the magnetism of the iron is trebled. The resultant attraction is found by multiplying the magnetism of the iron by the magnetism of the core, and this product is the expression of the law of squares just referred to.

46. To make the matter clearer, let us figure the magnetism of the core as due to particles of magnetism, which are introduced into the core in gradually-increasing numbers. Let us start with a core possessing one magnetic

particle, and let it act upon a piece of hard steel also pos-
sessing one magnetic particle; the resulting attraction will
be unity or 1. Let two particles be now thrown into the
core: the steel in virtue of its coercive force remains un-
changed, but its particle being now pulled by two parti-
cles instead of one, the resulting attraction will be 2. If
three particles of magnetism be thrown into the core, all
of them pulling at the single particle of the steel will pro-
duce a treble attraction, and so on.

47. Now let us start with a core possessing, as before,
a single particle of magnetism, and with a piece of iron
also possessing a single particle generated by the core;
the attraction, as before, is here unity. On introducing
two particles into the core, they generate immediately two
particles in the iron. But two particles each pulled by
twice the force first exerted, makes the attraction four
times what it was at the outset.

It is to be remembered that every particle is attracted
as if the other particles were absent.

48. In like manner, if three particles be thrown into
the core, three particles are also generated in the iron.
Each of these iron magnetic particles is pulled by the three
particles of the electro-magnet; that is to say, each of the
iron particles is pulled with three times the primitive force.
But there are three particles so pulled; hence the attrac-
tion is nine times what it was at the outset.

49. Let us compare this action for a moment with that
of gravity. Two masses of matter attract each other with
a force which we shall take as our unit. If the one mass
be doubled, the attraction is doubled; if both masses be
doubled, the attraction is increased fourfold. If one
mass be trebled, the attraction is trebled; if both masses
be trebled, the attraction is increased ninefold. When,
therefore, both the masses are doubled and trebled, we

have the law of squares. Now, it is this doubling and
trebling, *in both cases*, of the thing which causes magnetic
attraction, which causes it to follow the same law.

Inference from Law of Squares: Theoretic Notions.

50. Why do I lead you through these considerations?
Simply to make clear to you, that if "the law of squares"
here developed show itself in the action of a magnet upon
matter, we may infallibly infer that the condition of that
matter is not *a constant condition;* but that it rises and
falls with the condition of the magnet. Matter thus af-
fected is said to be magnetized by influence or by induc-
tion. It is attracted or repelled (for we shall come im-
mediately to the repulsion of matter by a magnet) in virtue
of some condition into which it is temporarily thrown by
the influencing magnet.

51. What then is the thing that causes magnetic attrac-
tion? The human mind has long striven to realize it.
Thales (600 B.C.) thought that the magnet possessed a
soul. Cornelius Gemma in 1535 supposed invisible lines
to stretch from the magnet to the attracted body, a con-
ception which reminds us of Faraday's Lines of Force.
Others thought the iron the natural nutriment of the
magnet. Descartes embraced magnetic phenomena in
his celebrated theory of vortices, and in our day Clerk
Maxwell has worked in this direction. Æpinus assumed
the existence of a magnetic fluid. Coulomb assumed the
existence of two fluids, each self-repellent, but mutually
attractive. Ampère deemed a magnet an assemblage of
minute electric currents, which circulated round the atoms
of the magnetized body. These conceptions are some-
times exceedingly useful as a means of connection and
classification, even when we do not believe them true.
William Thomson deduces magnetic phenomena from

"imaginary magnetic matter," thus giving the mind the conception while distinctly releasing it from belief. The real origin of magnetism is yet to be revealed.

Diamagnetism: Magne-Crystallic Action.

52. Brugmans, in 1778, first observed the repulsion of bismuth by a magnet. In 1827 Le Baillif described the repulsion of antimony. Saigey, Seebeck, and Becquerel, also observed certain actions of the kind.

53. In 1845 Faraday generalized these observations by demonstrating the magnetic condition of all matter. He showed that bodies divided themselves into two great classes, the one attracted, the other repelled by the poles of a magnet.

54. To the force producing this repulsion, Faraday gave the name of Diamagnetism.

What is the nature of this force? Is it inherent and constant, or is it induced?

55. The repulsion of diamagnetic bodies follows accurately the law of squares above developed. A double force produces a quadruple repulsion; a treble force produces a ninefold repulsion, and so on.

56. Hence we may infer, with certainty, that the condition of diamagnetic bodies in virtue of which they are repelled by a magnet, is a condition induced by the magnet, rising and falling as the strength of the magnet rises and falls.

57. The force of diamagnetism is vastly feebler than that of ordinary magnetism. Of all diamagnetic substances, for example, bismuth is the most strongly repelled; but its repulsion is almost incomparably less than the attraction of iron. According to Weber, the magnetism of a thin bar of iron exceeds the diamagnetism of an equal mass of bismuth about two and a half million times.

58. Diamagnetic bodies under magnetic excitement exhibit a polarity the reverse of that of magnetic bodies. In all cases, whether we operate with helices or with magnets, or with helices and magnets combined, the actions of magnetic and diamagnetic bodies are antithetical.

59. An iron statue standing erect on the earth's surface is converted into a magnet by the earth's magnetism; a marble statue, or a man standing erect, is converted by the same force into a diamagnet; for marble is diamagnetic, and so are all the tissues and all the solids and fluids of the human body. The poles of the man are those of the iron statue reversed.

60. Organic bodies, and most crystals, are magnetized with different degrees of intensity in different directions. They are endowed with *axes* of magnetic induction.

61. Thus in the case of Iceland spar (carbonate of lime), the *repulsion* along the axis is a maximum. In the case of carbonate of iron, a crystal of the same shape and structure as carbonate of lime, the *attraction* along the axis is a maximum.

62. The position assumed by a crystal when suspended between the poles of a magnet, depends on its magnetic axes.

Frictional Electricity: Attraction and Repulsion: Conduction and Insulation.

63. By the friction of a woollen cloth amber is endowed with the power of attracting light bodies. This substance was called Electron by the Greeks; hence the name Electricity was applied to the power of attraction exhibited by amber. This attraction remained an isolated fact for more than 2,000 years.

64. In the year 1600 Dr. Gilbert of Colchester, physi-

cian to Queen Elizabeth, showed that the power of attrac-
tion was shared by many other substances. Dry glass,
for example, when rubbed by silk, and dry sealing-wax
when rubbed by flannel, exhibit this attractive power.
When they do so they are said to be *electrified*.

65. An electrified body attracts and is attracted by all
kinds of unelectrified matter; but *repulsion* may also come
into play. Thus, rubbed glass *repels* rubbed glass, and
rubbed sealing-wax repels rubbed sealing-wax; while
rubbed glass attracts rubbed sealing-wax, and rubbed
sealing-wax attracts rubbed glass.

66. Hence the notion of *two kinds* of electricity: one
proper to vitreous bodies, and therefore called vitreous
electricity; the other proper to resinous bodies, and there-
fore called resinous electricity.

67. These terms are improper; because by employing
suitable rubbers we can obtain the electricity of sealing-
wax from glass, and the electricity of glass from sealing-
wax. We now use the term *positive* electricity to denote
that developed on glass by the friction of silk; and *nega-
tive* electricity to denote that developed on sealing-wax
by the friction of flannel.

68. Bodies endowed with the same electricity repel
each other, while bodies endowed with opposite electrici-
ties attract each other. This is the fundamental law of
electric action.

69. The rubber and the body rubbed are always en-
dowed with opposite electricities. They always attract
each other. The work done in overcoming this attraction
appears as heat in the electric spark.

70. To find the kind of electricity with which a body
is endowed we must ascertain, by trial, the electricity by
which the body is *repelled*. This, we may be sure, is the
electricity of the body. *Attraction* does not furnish a
safe test, because unelectrified bodies are attracted.

71 Some substances possess in a very high degree the capacity of transmitting the electric power, or condition; others possess in a high degree the capacity of intercepting it. The former bodies are called *conductors*, the latter bodies, *insulators*.

72. The insulators were formerly called *electrics*, because they could be electrified by friction *when held in the hand.* The conductors were called *non-electrics*, because they could not be so electrified. The division is improper, because if a conductor be insulated it can readily be electrified. To keep it electrified an insulator must be introduced between it and the earth.

Theories of Electricity: Electric Fluids.

73. What is electricity? Why should it adhere so tenaciously to some substances, and flow so freely through or along others? The human mind has made many attempts to imagine the inner cause of electric action, and it still continues to make such attempts. Formerly it was thought that magnetism and electricity, as well as light and heat, were all the work of "imponderable matter," associated with the ordinary matter. In the case of light and heat, this conception has undergone profound modification; and we seem to see clearly the mechanical cause of both. But no similar clearness has as yet been attained with regard to electricity, though a strong presumption exists that our notions of it are destined soon to undergo a modification equally profound.

74. Meanwhile we may employ the provisional conception furnished by the *theory of electric fluids.* It will enable us to classify our facts, though it is not to be regarded as demonstrated.

75. According to this theory, electrical attractions and repulsions arise from two invisible fluids, each self-re-

pulsive but both mutually attractive. The fluids are
supposed to be mixed together to form a compound neu-
tral fluid in unelectrified bodies.

76. The act of electrification, by friction, consists in
the forcible separation of the two fluids, one of which is
diffused over the rubber, and the other over the body
rubbed. But they may also be separated in another way
now to be illustrated.

Electric Induction: the Condenser: the Electrophorus.

77. If an electrified body be brought near an insulated
unelectrified conductor, but not into contact with it, the
electrified body will decompose the compound fluid of the
conductor; attracting one of its constituents and repelling
the other. When the electrified body is withdrawn, the
separated fluids reunite and neutralize each other.

78. This forcible separation of the two fluids of a
neutral conductor, by the mere proximity of an electrified
body, is called *electric induction.* Bodies in this state are
also said to be electrified by *influence.* Neutral bodies are
attracted because they are first excited by induction.

79. When an insulated conductor is acted on by an
electrified body, its repelled electricity is free, but its
attracted electricity is held captive by the inducing elec-
trified body. Connecting the conductor for a moment
with the earth, its free electricity escapes; and then, on
the removal of the electrified inducing body, the captive
electricity is liberated and diffused over the surface of the
conductor.

80. Thus by the mere proximity of the electrified body,
and without establishing contact between it and the
neutral conductor, we can charge the latter *with the oppo-
site electricity.*

81. Two sheets of tin-foil (conductors) being separated

from each other by a sheet of glass (an insulator), if one sheet have electricity imparted to it, it will act through the glass, and decompose the neutral electricity of the opposite sheet attracting the one constituent and repelling the other.

82. If the second sheet be connected with the earth the repelled electricity will flow away, and we shall have two mutually attractive layers of electricity separated from each other by the glass.

83. If the one sheet of tin-foil be united with the other by a conductor, the two opposite electricities will flow together; the tin-foil is then said to be discharged. This discharge usually assumes the form of a spark.

84. If the surface of a cake of resin, or of a sheet of vulcanized india-rubber be electrified, a plate of metal laid upon it will have its neutral fluid decomposed; its positive fluid being attracted and its negative repelled. On touching the metal plate its free (repelled) electricity flows to the earth; and now if the plate be raised by an insulating handle, it will appear charged with positive electricity. This is the principle of the *Electrophorus*.

The Electric Machine: the Leyden-jar.

85. An Electric Machine consists of two parts: the insulator, which is excited by friction, and the prime conductor.

86. The first electric machine consisted of a ball of sulphur, which was rubbed against the hand. It was invented by Otto von Guericke, burgomaster of Magdeburg, in the year 1671. A sphere of glass was afterward introduced, then a cylinder of glass, and finally a round glass plate, which was rubbed with dry silk.

87. The prime conductor is thus charged: When the glass plate is turned by a handle it passes between the silk

rubbers and is positively electrified. The electrified glass
then acts by induction upon the prime conductor, attract-
ing the negative electricity and repelling its positive.
The conductor is furnished with points, from which the
negative electricity streams out against the excited glass.
Thus the prime conductor is charged, not by directly
communicating to it positive electricity, but by robbing
it of its negative, the positive remaining behind.

88. The arrangement mentioned in Note 81 is virtually
a Leyden-jar. Were the plate of glass there referred to
moulded into the shape of a jar, one sheet of foil would
cover its interior and the other its exterior. When the
jar is connected with an electric machine, its charged in-
terior coating acts by induction across the glass on the
exterior coating, attracting the opposite and repelling the
similar electricity.

89. In the experiment which led to the discovery
of the Leyden-jar *the hand of the experimentalist* served
as the outer coating.

90. The escape of the repelled electricity of the outer
coating to the earth leaves the captive electricity exposed
solely to the attraction of that within the jar, and enables
the jar to take a strong charge.

The Electric Current.

91. When the outer and the inner coatings are con-
nected by a conductor, *an electric current* passes from the
one to the other.

92. The current starts at the same instant from the
inner and outer coatings; the *middle* point of the conduct-
or being reached last by the current. This indicates that
there are *two* currents which start at the same moment
from the inner and outer coatings.

93. It is agreed to call the direction in which the *positive* electricity flows *the direction of the current.*

The Electric Discharge: Thunder and Lightning.

94. When an electric current encounters resistance in its passage, heat is developed: this heat is sometimes so intense as to reduce metals to a state of vapor.

95. When a body is intensely electrified, it will discharge its electricity to an unelectrified body across an interval of air in the form of an electric spark. Two bodies oppositely electrified discharge to each other in the same way.

96. When two oppositely electrified clouds discharge toward each other, the track of the lightning marks the course of an electric current, and the sound of the thunder is the sound of an electric spark.

97. An electrified cloud, if it come near the earth, may discharge its electricity to the earth in the same way.

98. If the body through which the atmospheric electricity passes be a good conductor, and of sufficient size, no harm is done; but the *resistance* offered by trees, houses, and animals, to the passage of the electricity usually causes their destruction.

99. The nervous system requires a certain interval of time to become conscious of pain. The time of an electric discharge is but a small fraction of this interval; hence as a sentient apparatus the nervous system is destroyed before consciousness can set in. If this be true—and there are the strongest grounds for believing it to be true—death from lightning must be painless.

100. When an electrified cloud passes over a pointed lightning-conductor, the opposite electricity of the earth is discharged from the point of the conductor against the

cloud.   The cloud is thus neutralized, and, in general,
without producing thunder.

    101. The duration of an electric spark amounts only to
an extremely small fraction of a second.   On this account,
when moving bodies are suddenly illuminated by the
spark from a Leyden-jar, they appear to *rest* for a short in-
terval in the position which they occupied when the flash
fell upon them.   A moving cannon-ball illuminated by a
flash of lightning appears to stand still about one-eighth
of a second, this being about the interval during which an
impression, once made, persists upon the retina.

    102. The unretarded electric spark will scatter gun-
powder, but will not ignite it.   To produce ignition it is
necessary to retard the discharge by sending it through a
wet string.

            Electric Density : Action of Points.

    103. If we double the quantity of electricity imparted
to the same conductor, the density of the electricity is
said to be doubled; if we treble the quantity, the density
is said to be trebled; and so on.

    104. On a *sphere* the density of the electricity is the
same at all points of its surface; on a *plate* the density
is greatest at the edges; and on an elongated conductor
the density is greatest at the ends.

    105. When the conductor ends in a sharp point the
electric density at the point is so great that the electricity
discharges itself into the air.

    106. The air thus electrified is self-repellent, and is also
repelled by the point, the so-called " electric wind " being
produced.

    107. By causing an electric wind to issue from opposite
points of a light body, the reaction of the two winds

may make the body to float in stáble equilibrium in the air.

Relation of Voltaic to Frictional Electricity.

108. The outer ends of two pieces of zinc and platinum, partially immersed in acidulated water, are in opposite electrical conditions. The free platinum end shows positive electricity, while the free zinc end shows negative electricity.

109. When both plates are united by a wire, the positive flows along the wire toward the negative, and the negative toward the positive. But, as mentioned in Note 93, it is agreed to call the direction in which the positive electricity flows *the direction of the current*.

110. The force which urges this current forward (the electro-motive force) is enormously less than that which urges forward a current of frictional electricity. The consequence is, that the latter is able to surmount resistances which are totally unsurmountable by the former.

111. But by linking cells together we cause the voltaic current to approach more and more to the character of the frictional current. It requires, however, a battery of more than a thousand cells to make the current from a voltaic battery jump over an interval of air $\frac{1}{1000}$th of an inch in length. An electric machine of moderate power, and furnished with a suitable conductor, is competent to urge its current across an interval ten thousand times as great as this.

112. The electric spark passes through air by the agency of the particles of the conductor from which it springs, and which are carried forward by the discharge.

113. But measured by other standards the frictional current is almost incomparably more feeble than the voltaic current. For example: it is not without special

arrangements for multiplying the effect that the current from a large electrical machine is enabled to deflect a magnetic needle.

114. Faraday immersed two wires, the one of zinc and the other of platinum, each $\frac{1}{15}$th of an inch in diameter, in a cell of acidulated water. The depth of immersion was only $\frac{4}{5}$ths of an inch, and the time of immersion only $\frac{3}{10}$ths of a second. Still he found that the electricity generated by this small apparatus, in this brief time, produced a distinctly greater effect upon a magnetic needle than 28 turns of the large electric machine of the Royal Institution.

115. A cubic inch of air, if compressed with sufficient power, may be able to rupture a very rigid envelope; while a cubic yard of air, if not so compressed, may exert but a feeble pressure upon the surfaces which bound it. Now the electricity of the machine is in a condition analogous to the compressed air. Its density, or, as it is sometimes called, its intensity, or tension, is great. The electricity from the voltaic battery, on the other hand, resembles the uncompressed air. It exceeds enormously in *quantity* that from the machine; but it falls enormously below it in intensity.

116. The deflection of a magnetic needle and other actions of the voltaic current depend solely upon quantity, hence the vast superiority of the voltaic current in producing such deflection.

117. Faraday found the quantity of electricity disengaged by the decomposition of a single grain of water in a voltaic cell (see Note 5) to be equal to that liberated in 800,000 discharges of the great Leyden battery of the Royal Institution. This, if concentrated in a single discharge, would be equal to a great flash of lightning. He also estimated the quantity of electricity liberated by the

chemical action of a single grain of water on four grains of zinc to be equal in quantity to that of a powerful thunder-storm.

118. Weber and Kohlrausch have found that the quantity of electricity associated with one milligramme of hydrogen in water, if diffused over a cloud 1,000 mètres above the earth, would exert upon an equal quantity of the opposite electricity at the earth's surface an attractive force of 2,268,000 kilogrammes.*

Historic Jottings, concerning Conduction and the Leyden-jar.

119. In 1729, Stephen Grey, pensioner of the Charter House, discovered electric conduction. Connecting an end of a wire 700 feet long with a glass tube and supporting the wire on loops of silk, he found that on rubbing the tube the distant end of his wire became electrified and attracted light bodies. He also found that a wire loop did not answer as a support, as the electricity escaped through it; hence arose the division of bodies into conductors and insulators. Grey's observations were written down by the secretary of the Royal Society the day before his death.

120. In October, 1745, Von Kleist, a bishop of Cammin, in Pomerania, charged with electricity a flask containing sometimes mercury, sometimes alcohol. Through a cork in the neck of the flask passed an iron nail, which was brought into contact with the conductor of an electrical machine. On touching the nail Von Kleist experienced a violent shock.

121. In January, 1746, Cunæus of Leyden received also a shock, and his experiment was repeated by Allamand

---

The mètre is a yard and one-eleventh in length; the milligramme is $\frac{1}{65}$th of a grain; the kilogramme is 2 lbs. $3\frac{1}{4}$ oz.

and Musschenbroek. A wire passed from the conductor
of the machine into a flask filled with water. Musschen-
broek held the flask in the right hand, the machine was
turned, and then with the left hand he drew a spark from
the conductor. The shock received was, according to
Musschenbroek so terrible, that he declared he would not
receive a second for the crown of France. Musschen-
broek observed that it was only the person who held the
flask in his hand that felt the shock. Kleist failed to
recognize this condition.

122. In Germany the jar is sometimes called Kleist's
jar, but more commonly, because of the failure just referred
to, the Leyden-jar. The theory of it, and other similar
apparatus, was given by Franklin in September, 1747.
(See Notes 81, 88, 89, 90.)

123. In 1747, Dr. Watson, Bishop of Llandaff, sent the
discharge from a Leyden-jar through 2,800 feet of wire,
and through the same distance of earth. Subsequently, in
the same year, he sent the discharge through 10,600 feet
of wire, supported by insulators of baked wood. The ex-
periment was made on Shooter's Hill.

124. In 1748 similar experiments were made by Frank-
lin across the Schuylkill, and by De Luc across the Lake
of Geneva.

Historic Jottings, concerning the Electric Telegraph.

125. The first proposal of an electric telegraph was
made by an anonymous contributor to the *Scot's Maga-*
zine for 1753. Various attempts to apply frictional elec-
tricity for this purpose were subsequently made. They
culminated in the exceedingly ingenious arrangement of
Mr. (now Sir Francis) Ronalds, published in 1823.

126. The voltaic pile was described by Volta in a
letter to Sir Joseph Banks, written from Como in 1800.

127. Immediately afterward Nicholson and Carlisle discovered the decomposition of water by the voltaic current.

128. In 1808 Sömmering proposed a system of telegraphy based on the discovery of Nicholson and Carlisle. A similar system was proposed about the same time by Prof. Coxe, of Pennsylvania.

129. In 1820 Œrsted discovered the deflection of a magnetic needle by an electric current.*

130. The idea of employing the deflection of the needle for telegraphic purposes occurred to the celebrated French mathematician, La Place; the problem was partly worked out by Ampère, and still further advanced by Ritchie, Professor of Natural Philosophy in the Royal Institution.

131. In 1832 Baron Schilling constructed models of a telegraphic apparatus which were exhibited before the Emperors Alexander and Nicholas.

132. In 1833 Gauss and Weber established an electric telegraph between the Physical Cabinet and the Astronomical and Magnetic Observatories of Göttingen, embracing a distance of nearly 10,000 feet. Faraday's electricity instead of Volta's was employed by Gauss and Weber.

133. Steinheil was, requested by Gauss to pursue the subject. To the telegraph he made many highly-impor-

* In his exceedingly useful little book on the Telegraph, published in Weale's "Rudimentary Series," Mr. Robert Sabine quotes the following remarkable passage from a work on magnetism, published in Paris, by Prof. Izarn, in 1804: "D'après les observations de Romagnési, physicien de Trente, l'aiguille déjà aimantée, et que l'on ɓoumet ainsi au courant galvanique, éprouve une déclinaison; et d'après celles de J. Majon, savant chémiste de Gènes, les aiguilles non-aimentées acquièrent par ce moyen une sorte de polarité magnétique." The work containing this passage was lent to Mr. Sabine by Mr. Latimer Clark.

tant contributions and suggestions. In 1837 he had estab-
lished a system of wires about 40,000 feet in length, con-
necting various points in the city of Munich and its
neighborhood. The most considerable discovery of Stein-
heil, and, indeed, one of the most practically important
hitherto made in connection with telegraphy, is that the
"return wire" between two stations might be dispensed
with, and the earth employed in its stead.

    134. In 1834 Wheatstone, by means of a rotating mir-
ror, made his celebrated experiments on the velocity of
electricity. In the following year he exhibited one of
Baron Schilling's telegraphs in his lectures at King's
College.

    135. In 1836 Mr. William Fothergill Cooke saw in the
lectures of Prof. Muncke, at Heidelberg, the performance
of a similar instrument. Struck by its obvious practical
importance, he devised a system of telegraphy, and, in
partnership with Wheatstone, dating from June, 1837,
succeeded in introducing the telegraphic system into
England.

    136. From 1832 to 1836 Morse sought to apply chemi-
cal decomposition by the electric current to telegraphic
purposes; he abandoned this for his electro-magnetic
system devised in 1836. This method consists in stamp-
ing, by means of the attraction of an electro-magnet, dots
and lines upon a slip of paper caused to move by proper
mechanism over the circumference of a wheel.

    137. In 1850 the first submarine cable was laid by
Mr. Brett between Dover and Calais. It survived only a
day. In 1851 another cable was laid down, which proved
successful.

    138. On the 5th of August, 1858, the submergence of
the first Atlantic cable was completed, and messages were
sent between England and America. The cable ceased

to act on the 4th of September, or about a month after its submersion.

139. In 1865 the second Atlantic cable was laid and lost. In 1866 a cable was successfully laid, and in the same year the cable of 1865 was recovered. Messages are now sent between England and America at the rate of fourteen words a minute.

Phenomena observed in Telegraph-Cables.

140. Davy showed ("Elements of Chemical Philoso-phy," 1812, p. 154) that a Leyden-battery could be charged with voltaic electricity.*

* Davy thus describes the celebrated battery with which he made this experiment. The spirit to which the battery owed its birth has not diminished among the members of the Royal Institution: "The most powerful combination that exists in which number of alternations is combined with extent of surface, is that constructed by the subscriptions of a few zealous cultivators and patrons of science, in the laboratory of the Royal Institution (in 1808). It consists of two hundred instruments, connected together in regular order, each composed of ten double plates arranged in cells of porcelain, and containing in each plate thirty-two square inches; so that the whole number of double plates is 2,000, and the whole surface 128,000 square inches. This battery, when the cells were filled with 60 parts of water mixed with one part of nitric acid, and one part of sulphuric acid, afforded a series of brilliant and impressive effects. When pieces of charcoal about an inch long and one-sixth of an inch in diameter, were brought near each other (within the thirtieth or fortieth part of an inch) a bright spark was produced, and more than half the volume of the charcoal became ignited to whiteness, and by withdrawing the points from each other a constant discharge took place through the heated air, in a space equal at least to four inches, producing a most brilliant ascending arch of light, broad, and conical in form in the middle. When any substance was introduced into this arch, it instantly became ignited; platina melted as readily in it as wax in the flame of a common candle; quartz, the sapphire, magnesia, lime, all entered into fusion; fragments of diamond, and points of charcoal and plumbago, rapidly disappeared, and seemed to evapo-

141. Dr. Werner Siemens was the first to employ (in 1847) gutta-percha as a means of insulating subterranean telegraph-wires. On the 18th of January, 1850, in a paper communicated to the Physical Society of Berlin, he stated that a subterranean wire covered with gutta-percha, and surrounded by the moisture of the earth, behaved like a colossal Leyden-jar. He also found that ordinary tele-graph-wires charged themselves, though in a much smaller degree than the subterranean wires.

142. In 1838 Faraday predicted the retardation of the electric discharge by its own inductive action. ("Experi-

rate in it, even when the connection was made in a receiver exhausted by the air-pump; but there was no evidence of their having previously undergone fusion.

"When the communication between the points positively and nega-tively electrified was made in air, rarefied in the receiver of the air-pump, the distance at which the discharge took place increased as the exhaustion was made, and when the atmosphere in the vessel supported only one-fourth of an inch of mercury in the barometrical gauge, the sparks passed through a space of nearly half an inch; and by withdraw-ing the points from each other, the discharge was made through six or seven inches, producing a most beautiful coruscation of purple light, the charcoal became intensely ignited, and some platina wire attached to it, fused with brilliant scintillations, and fell in large globules upon the plate of the pump. All the phenomena of chemical decomposition were produced with intense rapidity by this combination. When the points of charcoal were brought near each other in non-conducting fluids, such as oils, ether, and oxymuriatic compounds, brilliant sparks occurred, and elastic matter was rapidly generated; and such was the intensity of the electricity, that sparks were produced, even in good imperfect con-ductors, such as the nitric and sulphuric acids.

"When the two conductors from the ends of the combination were connected with a Leyden-battery, one with the internal, the other with the external coating, the battery instantly became charged, and on re-moving the wires, and making the proper connections, either a shock or a spark could be perceived; and the least possible time of contact was sufficient to renew the charge to its full intensity."

mental Researches," 1333. "Faraday as a Discoverer," new edition, p. 89.)

143. In 1854 Faraday experimented with cables at the gutta-percha works of the Electric Telegraph Company. One hundred miles of gutta-percha covered wire were immersed in water, and a second hundred miles of a similar wire were placed in a dry tank. We will call the former the water wire, and the latter the air wire.

144. Connecting one pole of a battery with the earth, and connecting the other pole with one of the two insulated ends of the water wire, on breaking the connection and touching the wire a powerful shock was received; the discharge from the wire was also competent to ignite a Statham fuze. When, after having been in contact with the battery, the wire was separated and connected with a galvanometer, the instrument was powerfully affected.

145. A rush of electricity into the wire was declared by the galvanometer when contact was made; a rush out of the wire was declared when the wire between the battery and the galvanometer was connected with the earth. None of these effects were observed with the 100 miles of air wire.

146. Faraday, like Werner Siemens, rightly explained the effect by likening the cable to an enormous Leyden-jar, the wire constituting the interior, the water the exterior coating, with the gutta-percha insulator between them. In fact, the surface of the wire in these experiments amounted to 8,300 square feet, while the surface of the outer coating of water was 33,000 square feet. To the charge and discharge of this apparatus the effects observed were due.

147. In a subterranean line of telegraph 1,500 miles long were placed three galvanometers: one, a, at the beginning of the wire; a second, b, in the middle; and a

third, *c*, at the end, which was also connected with the earth.

148. Connecting the battery with the wire of the galva-nometer *a*, that instrument was instantly affected; after a sensible time *b* was affected; and after a still longer time, *c*. It required, in fact, two seconds for the electric stream to reach the last instrument.

149. All the instruments being deflected, when the battery was suddenly cut off at *a*, that instrument in-stantly fell to zero, *b* fell subsequently, and *c* after a still longer interval.

150. By a brief touch of the battery-pole against *a*, that instrument was deflected, and could be allowed to fall back into its neutral condition before the electric power had reached *b ;* *b* in its turn would be affected, and left neutral before the power had reached *c*.

151. In this case a wave of force was sent into the wire which gradually travelled along it, appearing in different parts of the wire at successive intervals of time.

152. It was even possible, by adjusted touches of the battery, to make several successive waves coexist in the wire.

153. When, after making and breaking contact at *a*, that galvanometer was connected with the earth, part of the electricity sent into the wire returned, and de-flected *a* in the reverse direction; here currents flowed in opposite directions out of both extremities of the wire.

154. These effects of induction enabled Werner Sic-mens and Faraday to explain the widely-different veloci-ties assigned by different experimenters to the electric current.

155. To pass through any conductor electricity re-quires time, the time being directly proportional *to the length* of the conductor.

156. But in the case of a submarine cable another cause of retardation comes into play, namely, the charging of the cable; the retardation here is proportional *to the square of the length* of the cable.

Artificial Cables.

157. It was to illustrate points like these and to determine the dimensions to be given to the Atlantic cables, that Mr. Cromwell Varley devised his artificial cables.

158. In one of these cables a resistance equal to that of a real cable 14,000 miles in length is obtained by introducing into the path of the current feebly-conducting liquids instead of metallic wires. The inductive action is obtained by means of condensers of tin-foil. In another artificial cable coils of wire are employed to give the necessary resistance.

159. The arrangement described in Note 81 is a condenser. But those constructed by Mr. Varley are of enormously greater area, the condensing sheets being separated from each other not by plates of glass, but by thin sheets of paper and paraffine. The vastness of the area and the proximity of the inducing surfaces combine to exalt the effect.

160. When the condensers themselves are charged by a battery, on discharging them they exhibit phenomena similar to those of a Leyden-jar. The shock, spark, and other effects of frictional electricity, are readily obtained.

161. A series of 50 condensers, for example, joined "in cascade," that is to say, with the outer coating of each joined to the inner coating of the next, when charged with a battery of 1,000 cells, yield powerful sparks, and deflagrate wires.

162. If the wire be bent and introduced into a glass of water, the glass is shattered by the discharge.

163. In the 14,000-mile artificial cable are introduced a series of eleven tubes containing the resisting liquid. Into these dip wires. One end of the charging battery is connected with the earth, and the other end can, at will, be connected with the artificial cable. A series of ten galvanometers are placed between the resisting tubes along the artificial cable.

164. When no condensers are employed, on making connection with the battery all the galvanometers appear to be simultaneously deflected.

165. When the condenser is introduced between each pair of resisting cells—ten condensers in all—the current has to charge each condenser to a certain degree before it can sensibly affect the galvanometer beyond the condenser. Hence, when the condensers are attached, the action on the galvanometers is successive, not contemporaneous.

166. Mr. Varley supposed his 14,000-mile artificial cable divided into sections representing stations in London, at Gibraltar, Malta, Suez, Aden, Bombay, Calcutta, Rangoon, Singapore, Java, and Australia. Supposing an actual cable laid, and galvanometers placed at these stations, the deflections obtained on establishing battery contact would be successive. They are represented by the deflections of the galvanometers associated with the artificial cable.

167. By varying the resistance and the amount of inductive condenser-surface, a representation of any other cable may readily be produced.

168. Connected with the needle of each of the ten galvanometers is a reflecting mirror, from which a brilliant spot of light is cast upon a screen. When the cable is not in action, the ten spots form a row along the same vertical line; when the battery contact is made, the successive

deflections of the galvanometers is declared by the successive motion of the spots.

Sketch of Ohm's Theory and Kohlrausch's Verification.

169. I have already spoken (Note 110) of the force which urges forward the electric current (the electromotive force). The amount of this force may be deduced from the action of the current, when opposed by different resistances, upon a freely-suspended magnetic needle.

170. If the wire which carries the current be cut across, the current ceases to flow. The electricity ceases to be *dynamic*. But at the two ends of the severed wire we have *static* electricity.

171. By suitable instruments the amount of this statical charge may be determined; it increases with the number of elements of the battery.

172. It is, moreover, proportional to the strength of the current obtained when the wires are reunited.

173. In this way the statical charge becomes a measure of dynamical action: electricity at rest is connected with electricity in motion.

174. In experiments on the electroscopic properties of the voltaic circuit it is necessary that the battery should be well insulated.

175. If the middle point of a wire which connects the two poles of a voltaic battery be connected with the earth, the tension of that point is null. The circuit gradually rises in tension right and left to the two poles of the battery. But on one side of the point we have exclusively positive electricity, while at the other side we have exclusively negative electricity.

176. At equal distances, at opposite sides of the zero-point, the tension is the same.

177. If any other point than the middle be connected

at the earth, it becomes the zero-point, right and left of
which as before we have the two opposite electricities.

178. If the negative end of the battery be connected
with the earth, the whole wire shows positive electricity; if
the positive end be connected with the earth, the whole
wire shows negative electricity.

179. The wire offers a certain resistance to the passage
of the current. The battery itself is also in the circuit,
and the current has to overcome its resistance also. But
the resistance of the battery may be expressed by a
certain length of the external wire. When this is done
the sum of the lengths of both wires is called the *reduced
length* of the circuit.

180. Given the reduced length of the circuit and the
electro-motive force, we can determine by a simple calcula-
tion the electric tension of every point in the circuit.

181. The circuit through which the current flows may
be represented by a horizontal line (called an abscissa);
the electric tension at every point of the circuit may be
represented by a vertical line (called an ordinate). If
ordinates be drawn to represent the electric tensions at a
great number of points of the circuit, the line joining the
ends of all the perpendiculars will represent the distribu-
tion of electric tension in the circuit. The *steepness*
of this line also represents what Ohm called the *electric
fall.*

182. More strictly, the electric fall is the decrease in
the length of the ordinate for the unit of length of the
abscissa.

183. The total charge of the wire is expressed by the
area of the triangle enclosed by the ordinate, abscissa,
and line of fall.

184. The laws of the voltaic circuit as enunciated by
Ohm, have been verified everywhere. The electroscopic

state of the circuit has been examined by Kohlrausch, and found to be in strict accordance with Ohm's theory.

185. Ohm assumed the passage of the electric fluid from one section to another of the connecting wire to be due solely to the difference of electric tension between the two sections; he further assumed the quantity of electricity transmitted to be proportional to this difference of tension, and from these fundamental assumptions he deduced the laws of the voltaic circuit.

186. These laws may be briefly stated thus:

a. The strength of the current is directly proportional to the electro-motive force.

b. The strength of the current is inversely proportional to the resistance.

c. If the wire which unites the two poles of battery be of the same material, and of the same thickness throughout, the "electric fall" is the same throughout the wire.

d. If the wire be of the same material, but of different thicknesses, the "fall" is steeper on the thin wire than on the thick. The "fall" is inversely proportional to the cross-section of the wire.

e. If the poles be connected by two wires of the same thickness, but of different resisting powers, the electric fall is steepest on the more resisting wire. The "fall" is directly proportional to the specific resistances of the wires.

187. In verifying these laws Kohlrausch employed a condenser to augment the feeble charges obtained from his voltaic cell, and he held this instrument to be essential. By an exceedingly skilful device Sir Wm. Thomson has rendered the condenser unnecessary, and has thus greatly simplified the means of demonstration.

Electro-chemistry.— Chemical Actions in the Voltaic Cell:
Origin of the Current.

188. Philosophers suppose matter to be made of elementary parts called atoms, which are practically indivisible.

189. The elementary atoms can be caused to unite to form compound atoms, which are called molecules.

190. Thus water is formed of the combination of the atoms of oxygen and hydrogen; common salt is formed of union of atoms of chlorine and sodium; potash is formed by the union of the atoms of potassium and oxygen; the sulphuric acid also which we employed to acidulate our water is formed by the union of atoms of sulphur with atoms of oxygen.

191. When, as in our first experiment, two strips of zinc and platinum are dipped into acidulated water, the zinc, as we know, exerts a very strong attraction on the oxygen of the water. When the strips are united this attraction triumphs; the oxygen unites with the zinc, and a voltaic current is established.

192. The oxide of zinc here formed combines with the sulphuric acid and forms sulphuric zinc.

193. By this removal of the oxide from its surface the zinc is kept constantly clean, and thus enabled to attract other atoms of oxygen from the surrounding liquid. During this process the zinc gradually dissolves, and as long as this continues the electric current will flow. In fact, it is the constant dissolution of the zinc that maintains the permanent current.

194. The hydrogen of the water, as we have seen, escapes as a free gas from the surface of the platinum, which, unlike the zinc, is not dissolved.

195. We are not yet quite clear as to the precise way

in which the electric current is supported by the solution of the zinc, but the following facts and speculations ought to be known to you.

196. When two different metals are brought into contact, with no liquid between them, one of them charges itself with positive and the other with negative electricity. We have here the famous "contact force" which Volta and his followers considered to be the urging power of the voltaic current.

197. But the generation of heat, and the performance of mechanical work, by the mere contact of two metals, would be equivalent to a perpetual motion. It would be at variance with the law which requires for the production of any power an equivalent consumption of some other power.

198. It is, however, a fact that when two different metals touch each other the positive electricity resorts by preference to one metal, and the negative electricity to the other; the two electricities are as it were attracted differently by the two metals.

199. This difference of attraction, however, only causes a momentary rearrangement of the two electricities, which pass, when the contact is made, into a new condition of equilibrium. As long as the contact continues this equilibrium is not disturbed; there is no continuous current.

200. We may regard the distinct atoms which enter into the molecules of a compound as charged in a similar manner. For example, the atoms of hydrogen and oxygen when they unite to form a molecule of water, may be looked upon as charged like the two touching metals. This would be the case if the atoms, like the metals, possessed different attractions for the two electricities.

201. When strips of zinc and platinum are plunged

8

in such a liquid, the positively-charged atom will turn toward the one metal, and the negatively-charged atom toward the other.

202. But, unless the metals touch each other, electrical equilibrium immediately sets in, a constant state of electric tension being set up at the free ends of the two metals.

203. The electricity at the ends may be permitted to flow into a condenser, and may be thus stored up; such a condenser may thus be discharged through a covered wire which passes round-a magnetic needle, a deflection of the needle being thus produced.

204. Thus in Davy's experiment with his large voltaic battery, wherewith he charged his battery of Leyden-jars, the latter, after having been charged, might be discharged through a galvanometer, a magnetic deflection being thus produced.

205. But the metals, once relieved of their charge, would immediately reload themselves with electricity, and might be again employed to charge a Leyden battery, and to produce a deflection of a magnetic needle.

206. At no moment during this process the battery circuit would be complete; still we should have a succession of magnetic actions similar to those observed with a closed circuit.

207. In fact, in the closed circuit the solution of the zinc incessantly removes the charged surface of that metal by dissolving it away, and enables the zinc to take a fresh charge; an incessant effort, never fully satisfied, is made to establish electric equilibrium; the incessant renewal of the effort maintains the electric current.

Chemical Actions at a Distance: Electrolysis.

208. Thus, then, in the cell where the voltaic current is generated chemical action occurs. We have, on the one

hand, the decomposition of the water, and on the other the combination of the zinc with the oxygen and the sulphuric acid.

209. But a voltaic current can also produce chemical action at a distance from its place of generation. This discovery, as stated in Note 127, was made in the year 1800 by Nicholson and Carlisle.

210. We cannot decompose water by a single voltaic cell; but when two or more cells are united to form a battery, the current from such a battery, when sent through acidulated water, tears asunder the united atoms of oxygen and hydrogen.

211. The oxygen is set free at the place where the current enters; the hydrogen is set free at the place where the current quits the liquid. If the direction of the current be reversed, the oxygen and hydrogen instantly change places.

212. It must be clearly borne in mind that the direction of the current, as already defined, is the direction in which the positive electricity moves. Knowing, therefore, the places at which the oxygen and hydrogen are liberated, we can infer with certainty the direction of the current through the liquid.

213. For every volume of oxygen liberated in the decomposition of water by a voltaic current, two volumes of hydrogen are set free.

214. Electro-chemical decomposition is called *electrolysis ;* and the compound liquid decomposed by the electric current is called an *electrolyte.*

215. The electric current formed a powerful means of analysis in the famous experiments of Sir Humphry Davy in 1807.

216. By operating with the current upon ordinary potash, Davy found the base of this substance to be a

metal of exceeding lightness, and with an extraordinary appetite for oxygen. When placed on water, it floated on the liquid, and combined with its oxygen. By the heat thus generated the liberated hydrogen was caused to burst into flame. When a globule of the metal was placed on ice, it burned with a bright flame, and the hole made by the heat was filled with a solution of potash.

217. Soda, treated in the same manner, also yielded a metal resembling that of potash. Thus Davy, by the use of the voltaic current, decomposed the alkaline earths, and greatly expanded our knowledge of chemistry.

218. To obtain these effects it is necessary to bring the potash and the soda to a state of fusion by heat. In the solid state they are non-conductors of electricity. In fact, the molecules, when rigid, cannot turn in the manner indicated in Note 201. To conduct the current, it is necessary that they should thus turn and be decomposed.

219. When the current is sent through a solution of common salt, it decomposes both the water and the salt. The chlorine of the salt, in company with the oxygen of the water, appears where the current enters the liquid. The sodium of the salt, in company with the hydrogen of the water, appears where the current quits the liquid.

220. Chlorine possesses powerful bleaching properties; and if the solution of salt be colored with indigo or litmus, the presence of the chlorine is declared by the destruction of the color.

221. When a current is sent through a solution of iodide of potassium, the brown substance iodine is set free where the current enters, while the metal potassium is set free where the current quits the solution. The experiment may be made by moistening bibulous paper with the dissolved iodide.

222. In electrolysis it is usual to immerse two plates of

platinum, or of some other suitable substance, in the liquid to be decomposed, and to send the current from plate to plate. The plate at which the current enters the liquid is called the Positive Electrode, the plate at which the current quits the liquid is called the Negative Electrode. Without the liquid these electrodes would, as we have already learned, charge themselves with positive and negative electricity.

223. But inasmuch as electricities which attract each other are of opposite qualities, the substance which is liberated at the positive electrode is called the Electro-Negative constituent, while the substance liberated at the negative electrode is called the Electro-Positive constituent of the liquid.

224. Thus, in the examples above given the oxygen, chlorine, and iodine, are the electro-negative elements ; the hydrogen, sodium, and potassium, being the electro-positive elements.

225. The terms electro-positive and electro-negative are, however, relative, for a substance may be electro-positive in one combination, and electro-negative in another.

226. If an electric current be conducted through a solution of sulphate of soda, it separates the sulphuric acid from the soda ; the presence of the acid may be proved by its turning a vegetable color red.

227. When nitrate of silver or acetate of lead is decomposed by a voltaic current, crystals of silver, or of lead, are deposited on the negative electrode.

228. The chemical actions of the electric current, some examples of which are here given, constitute what is called Electro-chemistry.

229. Electro-plating and gilding and the electrotype process are important applications of electro-chemistry.

Here a chemical compound containing gold, silver, or copper, is decomposed by a voltaic current, the metal being deposited on the surface intended to be coated with it.

230. If the surface on which the metal is deposited have a design engraved upon it, the lines of the engraving are accurately filled by the metal which, when the deposit is thick enough, may be detached, a perfect copy of the design being thus obtained.

Measures of the Electric Current.

231. The *tangent-compass*, devised by Weber, consists of a vertical ring of brass or copper, in the centre of which swings a small compass-needle. The ring being placed in the magnetic meridian, the needle is deflected when a current is sent round the ring. The strength of the current can be proved to be proportional to the tangent of the angle of deflection; hence the name of the instrument.

232. The *voltameter* is an instrument devised by Faraday to measure the strength of an electric current. It consists of a graduated tube which receives and measures the quantity of gas generated by the current in a given time.

233. The strengths of a series of currents measured by the voltameter are accurately proportional to the same strengths measured by the tangent-compass. Placing a tangent-compass and a voltameter in the same series of circuits, the tangents of the angles observed in the one case are accurately proportional to the quantities of gas generated in the other.

Electric Polarization: Ritter's Secondary Pile.

234. When an electric current is sent through acidulated water a film of oxygen covers the positive electrode, and a film of hydrogen covers the negative electrode. One of these two substances being electro-positive, and the other electro-negative, they act in the liquid like two different metals; the hydrogen plays the part of zinc, and the oxygen plays the part of platinum.

235. Interrupting the primary battery circuit, and uniting together the two plates covered with their respective films, an electric current is obtained.

236. The direction of this current is from the hydrogen film to the oxygen film in the liquid, and from the oxygen film to the hydrogen film through the connecting wire.

237. Two electrodes thus covered with condensed gaseous films are said to be *polarized;* and the currents obtained from them are called currents of polarization.

238. Now the battery current being always from oxygen to hydrogen (see Note 211), it is plain that the current of polarization is always opposite in direction to the battery current employed to polarize the electrodes.

239. When a decomposition cell with platinum plates is introduced into a voltaic circuit, it is found that the battery current, though strong at starting, gradually sinks. This sinking is due to the gradual development of the antagonistic current of polarization.

240. Also in the cells of the battery itself this current of polarization may come prejudicially into play. When two metals, say zinc and platinum, and one liquid, say acidulated water, are employed, the platinum plate is coated with a film of hydrogen.

241. This hydrogen, being electro-positive, resembles

a plate of zinc, so that when it is present we have, as it were, zinc opposed to zinc in the battery.

242. Were both plates actually of zinc, we could have no current; and with the hydrogen film which approximates to zinc we have only a feeble current. To get the full effect of the zinc and platinum some means must be devised to remove from the platinum its film of hydrogen.

243. This is effected in Grove's battery by the employment of *two liquids*. The one is strong nitric acid, which contains the plate of platinum; the other is dilute sulphuric acid, which contains the plate of zinc. The nitric acid is placed in a vessel of porous earthenware, which becomes saturated with the liquid and allows the current to pass through it.

244. When the current passes, the hydrogen liberated at the platinum electrode in Grove's cell is instantly oxidized by the nitric acid, and prevented from forming a film upon the surface of the platinum.

245. If instead of employing a single decomposition cell and a single pair of platinum electrodes, we employ a series of such cells, and send the same current through them all, we convert every pair of such plates into an active voltaic couple; and if the number of such couples be great, effects of great intensity may be obtained.

246. If instead of using decomposition cells we simply employ a series of plates of the same metal, say a series of half-crowns, separated from each other by pieces of bibulous paper or by bits of cloth wetted with acidulated water; on sending a voltaic current through such a pile of plates, we liberate on one of the surfaces of each plate a film of oxygen, and on the other surface a film of hydrogen. These play the part of the two different metals in the pile of Volta.

247. The electro-motive force of such a pile may be far greater than that of the battery which charges it. It may produce a far more brilliant spark, and urge its current against resistances which would be quite insuperable to the original battery current.

248. The discoverer of this form of pile was Ritter; it is sometimes called *the secondary pile*, to distinguish it from the battery which charges it.

Faraday's Electrolytic Law.

249. When the self-same current is sent through a series of cells containing various compound liquids, the same amount of liquid is not decomposed in all cases.

250. Let the current be sent in succession through a series of cells containing water, oxide of lead, chloride of lead, iodide of lead, and chloride of silver; then taking them in the above order, the weights of the liquids decomposed are represented by the numbers 9, 111.5, 139, 230.5, 143.5.

251. The question now is, how are these weights of the respective substances divided between the two electrodes? Supposing the numbers to express grains, we should have the following division between the electrodes:

	At the positive electrode.		At the negative electrode
Water......... 8	grains	oxygen.... 1.	grain hydrogen.
Oxide of lead... 8	"	" 103.5	grains lead.
Chloride of lead. 35.5	"	chlorine... 103.5	" "
Iodide of lead.. 127	"	iodine 103.5	" "
Chloride of silver 35.5	"	chlorine... 108	" silver.

252. Now these numbers express the combining proportions of the respective substances; by the electric current in all cases the law of combination as regards quantity is exactly inverted. The substances combine in equivalent proportions; they are decomposed in precisely

the same proportions. This is the celebrated law of electrolysis discovered by Faraday.

253. In no case in the body of the electrolyte is any decomposition observed; in no case is any gas there liberated. The substances set free appear at the electrodes, and there alone.

254. Taking water as an illustration, the process is to be figured thus: When the electrodes, charged with electricity from the battery, are plunged into the liquid, the oxygen atom of the water turns toward the positive, and the hydrogen atom toward the negative electrode.

255. If the electro-motive force be strong enough, the oxygen is torn away from its hydrogen; the free hydrogen immediately converges its attraction on the next adjacent oxygen atom, and unites with it, dislodging at the same time the hydrogen with which that atom had been previously combined. Another atom of hydrogen is thus liberated, which in its turn decomposes the adjacent water-molecule. Thus through the chain of molecules run a series of decompositions, followed by immediate recompositions, until the negative electrode is reached. Here the hydrogen, having no further oxygen with which to combine, is liberated as a gas. This is the theory of Grotthuss, which at all events fairly embraces the facts.

Nobili's Iris Rings.

256. The hardness of steel in tempering it is judged by its color, which is due to a film of oxide overspreading the steel. The oxide which forms on the surface of molten lead also shows vivid colors.

257. These are the colors of *thin plates* investigated by Newton and explained by Thomas Young.

258. By electro-chemical decomposition Nobili pro-

duced such colors in a very beautiful manner. Placing, for example, a polished steel plate in a dilute solution of acetate of lead, and connecting the plate with the positive pole of a voltaic battery, on dipping the end of a wire connected with the negative pole into the solution, the peroxide of lead is liberated on the surface of the steel immediately under the wire; and a film gradually diminishing in thickness spreads from that point outward. Round this point we have a series of concentric circles showing vivid iris colors.

259. These colors, like all those of thin plates, depend upon the thickness of the film, which diminishes as the distance traversed by the current increases.

(Du Bois-Reymond has shown that when the point from the negative end of the battery is very near the steel plate, the thickness of the film corresponding to the different circles is inversely proportional to the cubes of their radii.)

Distribution of Heat in the Circuit.

260. When the two ends of a voltaic battery are connected by a thick wire of good conducting material the wire is not sensibly heated; the heat due to the oxidation of the zinc is in this case confined to the battery itself.

261. But if the two ends of the battery be connected by a wire that offers a resistance to the current, the wire is heated, and may, if properly chosen, be raised to a white heat.

262. Considering the battery as the hearth where the zinc is burnt, we might be led to infer that the heat due to the combustion of the zinc is liberated on the hearth itself, and that its amount depends solely upon the quantity of zinc consumed.

263. This, however, is not the case. Let the battery,

with its two ends united by a thick wire, be surrounded by a vessel of water, to which the heat developed by the oxidation say of an ounce of zinc is communicated; the quantity of heat developed is measured - by the rise of temperature of the water.

264. Let the battery, with its two ends united by the resisting wire, be placed in the same vessel, and let the heat generated in the battery by the oxidation of an ounce of zinc be again determined; this heat will be less than that observed in the last experiment.

265. If the connecting wire be now enclosed in a separate vessel, and if the heat generated in the wire be thus determined, on adding this amount of heat to that liberated in the battery, a total heat is obtained exactly equal to that generated in the battery alone, when the good conducting wire was employed.

266. In fact, the absolute amount of heat generated by the oxidation of an ounce of zinc is perfectly constant; but it may be distributed in various proportions between the battery and the external circuit.

Relation of Heat to Current and to Resistance.

267. On what does heat developed in a wire uniting the two ends of a voltaic battery depend?

268. It depends, in the first place, on the strength of the current, but it is not simply proportional to that strength.

269. Let the strengths of a series of currents, determined either by the tangent-compass or the voltameter, be represented by the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, then the quantities of heat developed in the same wire by these respective currents are expressed by the numbers 1, 4, 9, and 16.

270. The heat generated is therefore proportional to *the square* of the strength of the current.

271. Preserving the strength of the current constant, the heat generated is proportional to the electrical resistance of the wire through which it passes. These important principles were established by Joule.

272. Thus if one of two equal currents pass through a silver wire, and the other through a platinum wire of the same length and thickness, the heat generated in the platinum will be ten times that generated in the silver, because the resistance of the former is ten times that of the latter. To urge the current through the platinum in this case would, however, require greater battery-power than that necessary for the silver.

273. Hence, when *the same current* is sent through a wire composed of alternate lengths of silver and platinum of equal thickness, the platinum spaces may be raised to a white heat, while the silver is not raised to the faintest glow.

Magneto-Electricity : Induced Currents.

274. In a conductor near to, but not in contact with a voltaic circuit, a current is aroused when the circuit is *established.* When the circuit is *interrupted* a current is also aroused in the conductor.

275. Thus, supposing the voltaic circuit to be bent into the shape of a ring; and that a second ring, not in the circuit, is placed near the first : at the completion, and at the interruption of the circuit, a current will run round the second ring.

276. The two currents in the second ring are called *secondary* currents. They are of momentary duration. They impart, in passing, a shock to a magnetic needle round which they are sent, and by the motion of which

their existence is demonstrated. But they vanish immediately, being quenched by the resistance of the ring and converted into heat.

277. These two momentary currents flow in opposite directions through the ring. The secondary current, excited on making the circuit, is opposed in direction to the primary exciting current; that started on interrupting the circuit flows in the same direction as the primary.

278. These secondary currents are called *induced currents*. They were discovered by Faraday in 1830, and described by him in his Philosophical papers for 1831.

279. If, instead of employing a single ring, we make use of an electro-magnetic helix, every coil of the helix will furnish its quota of current, and the sum total of effect is much greater than when only a single ring or coil is employed.

For the following experiments, two flat spirals, each formed of covered copper wire, are used.

280. One of the spirals is laid flat upon a table, its two ends being connected with a galvanometer; the other spiral is connected with a voltaic battery, with which the connection can be established or broken at pleasure. Let us call this the *inducing* or *primary* spiral, and that connected with the galvanometer the *secondary* or *induced* spiral.

281. Laying one spiral upon the other, on sending a current through the primary, the needle of the galvanometer is suddenly driven aside by the current induced in the secondary; but the force which acts upon the needle passes away in an instant, the needle returning to its first position.

282. On interrupting the current the needle also receives a shock, being deflected in the opposite direction. It thus declares the existence of a second temporary cur-

rent in the secondary spiral. The directions of these two currents, with reference to that of the primary, have been already indicated; Note 277.

283. Holding the secondary spiral at a distance from the primary with the current flowing through the latter; on causing the secondary spiral *to approach* the primary, a current is aroused; this current ceases the moment the motion toward the primary ceases.

284. On *withdrawing* the secondary spiral from the primary, a current is also aroused; this current also ceases the moment the motion of withdrawal ends.

285. The current excited by approach is opposed in direction to the primary; the current excited by withdrawal is in the same direction as the primary.

286. Two electric currents flowing in the same direction attract each other; if they flow in opposite directions they repel each other.

287. Hence, to make the secondary spiral approach its primary, we have to overcome a *repulsion ;* while to withdraw the secondary from the primary we have to overcome an *attraction.* Thus in order to produce these induced currents *we must expend mechanical force.*

288. The force thus expended appears as heat in the secondary wire after the cessation of the induced current. It is the mechanical equivalent of that heat.

289. The approach of a magnetic pole to the secondary spiral and the withdrawal of the pole from the same spiral also arouse induced currents. But, as before, it is only during the periods of approach and withdrawal that the current appears.

290. Thus by the mere motion of a magnet, and without any battery or machine, electric currents may be produced.

291. Every change of the magnetic condition of the

space near a secondary coil, or within it, produces an in-
duced current in the coil. If the change be an augmenta-
tion of magnetism, the current is in one direction; if it be
a diminution of magnetism, the current is in the opposite
direction.

292. When a long secondary coil surrounds a primary
coil with a core of iron, by breaking and making the cir-
cuit of the primary in rapid succession, a series of power-
ful discharges may be obtained. An automatic apparatus
is usually employed to make and break the circuit.

293. Such Induction Coils have been constructed with
great skill by Ruhmkorff, and are, therefore, sometimes
called Ruhmkorff's coils. Mr. Apps has recently produced
induction coils of astonishing power.

294. The power of a coil depends mainly on the per-
fection of the insulation of its coils. The induced cur-
rents in a Ruhmkorff's coil may possess thousands of
times the electro-motive force of the primary which ex-
cites them. They are able, for example, to overleap as
sparks, distances thousands of times greater than that
possible to the primary.

*Relation of Induced Currents to the Lines of Magnetic
Force. Rotatory Magnetism.*

295. The foregoing phenomena and principles were all
laid bare by Faraday. He also established most important
relations between his induced currents and the lines of
force surrounding a magnet. See Note 25.

296. He proved that when a conductor moves *along*
the lines of force no induced currents appear; but that
when it moves *across* the lines of force such currents are
generated.

297. He proved, for example, that when a metal disk

is caused to rotate so as to be tangent to the lines of force, no current appears; while when the disk, in its rotation, *cuts* the lines of force, currents flow along the disk, from the centre to the circumference and from the circumference to the centre. Closed circuits are thus established in the disk.

298. This, in fact, is the "Magnetism of Rotation," discovered by Arago in 1820, which received complete explanation at the hands of Faraday.

299. Faraday showed that the lines of force of terrestrial magnetism suffice to produce induced currents when they are intersected by the rotating disk. In fact, all the effects of magneto-electric induction may be obtained from the magnetism of the earth.

300. When a conductor rotates round an axis which is parallel to the lines of force, it experiences simply the resistance due to the friction of the air; but if the axis of rotation be transverse to the lines of force, the rotation is retarded by the interaction of the magnet and the induced currents.

301. This retardation may become so powerful as instantly to arrest the rotation. If, for example, a cube or sphere of copper suspended from a twisted string be caused to spin, by untwisting, between the poles of an unexcited electro-magnet, it experiences the retardation due to air friction only; but on the supervention of the magnetic force the rotation is suddenly arrested. Faraday also showed that in passing a plate of copper rapidly to and fro between the magnetic poles you seem to be cutting cheese, though nothing is visible. It is as if pure space were a kind of solid.

302. If by mechanical means the conductor be compelled to rotate or to move to and fro between the excited poles, it will be heated. Joule first demonstrated this;

but a very striking demonstration of it was given by
Foucault, who heated his celebrated gyroscope in this
way. The heat is readily rendered sufficiently intense to
melt fusible metal. Between the unexcited poles no effect
of this kind is produced.

303. The repulsion set up by induced currents be-
tween the helices and the moving masses of iron in an
electro-magnetic engine, would of itself limit the practi-
cal application of electricity as a motive power. Never-
theless, though such engines speedily reach the limit of
their action, the conversion of molecular force into me-
chanical effect may be rendered far more perfect than in
the case of the steam-engine.

The Extra-Current.

304. If the secondary coil of a Ruhmkorff's machine
have its ends united, the secondary circuit being then
complete, the spark obtained in breaking the primary is
small. On separating the two ends of the secondary the
primary spark is instantly augmented.

305. The diminution of the spark is due to the reac-
tion of the completed secondary circuit upon the primary.
When the secondary circuit is interrupted this reaction
ceases.

306. The primary circuit in its turn can, when com-
plete, react upon the secondary. It *is* complete when-
ever contact is *made* by the automatic contact-breaker.
A great enfeeblement of the secondary current is the
consequence. When the primary circuit is interrupted
the reaction does not exist; there is no enfeeblement,
the full power of the secondary being developed. It is
on this account that in Ruhmkorff's coil we obtain dis-
charges *in a single direction* only, instead of discharges
alternating in direction.

307. The reaction here referred to connects itself with what is called the *extra-current*.

308. When a current is sent through a single primary coil, the primary current excites in the wire which carries it, a secondary current opposed in direction to the primary. The primary arouses an antagonist in its own path, which, however, immediately disappears.

309. When the primary circuit is broken, a secondary current of momentary duration, and having the same direction as the vanishing primary, is evoked in the coil.

310. Each of the two currents evoked *in the primary circuit itself,* at the commencement and at the cessation of the primary current, has been called by Faraday an *extra-current.*

311. The spark obtained on breaking the primary circuit is augmented in brilliancy and power by the extra-current.

312. If a second circuit be associated with the primary; if, for example, two covered wires are wound round the same reel; on making one of them a primary circuit we have the brilliant spark due to the extra-current, *as long as the ends of the second coil remain unconnected.*

313. But the moment they are connected the extra-current in the primary circuit disappears; there is an instant reduction in the brilliancy of the spark.

314. This is an example of the reaction referred to in Note 304. By the closing of the secondary circuit the extra-current is formed *in it* instead of in the primary one. Here, in fact, the extra-current becomes an ordinary induced current; it is only so long as it remains in the primary circuit that its distinctive name is applied to it.

Influence of Time on Intensity of Discharge.   The
Condenser.

315. The intensity of the secondary current—its "discharging distance," for example—depends upon the rapidity with which the primary is interrupted.

316. I have already referred to the passage of particles between the two severed terminals of a circuit. By these particles the current may be kept up for a short time after the terminals have been disunited. A *gradual* dying away of the primary is the consequence.

317. But to produce the maximum secondary intensity it is necessary that the primary should be extinguished *at once.*

318. This is very effectually accomplished if the primary be broken between the poles of a strong magnet. The secondary spark may be thus made to overleap distances, vast in comparison with those possible to it when the rupture of contact occurs far away from the magnetic poles.

319. The magnet quenches immediately the stream of particles which accompany the spark. Thus, instead of being spread over a very sensible interval, the whole power of the primary is concentrated into an instant of time.

320. This concentration is announced by the loudness of the report of the primary spark. This augmentation of loudness was first observed by Page; it was explained by Rijke, who also exalted in the way here indicated the discharge of the secondary coil.

321. The injurious effect of the spark produced by the rupture of contact in Ruhmkorff's coil is much diminished by the employment of a condenser, which is attached to the primary. It was introduced by Fizeau.

Electric Discharge through Rarefied Gases and Vapors.

322. The electricity from the prime conductor of an electrical machine passes through the air in the form of a dense and brilliant spark, which produces a very audible report.

323. When the discharge passes through rarefied air the discharging distance is augmented, and by sufficiently rarefying the air the discharge may be caused to pass *silently.* It then fills the tube through which it passes with a rosy light.

324. This rosy light has the same origin as that of the Aurora Borealis ; it is due to the nitrogen of the air.

325. Every attenuated gas has its own characteristic color when traversed by the electric discharge. When examined by a prism the color resolves itself into distinct bands ; the nature of the gas may, indeed, be inferred from the analysis of its spectrum.

326. The discharge of the induction coil through attenuated media produces luminous effects similar to those produced by the electric machine.

327. The tubes containing the attenuated gases or vapors are usually called *vacuum tubes.* Through the tubes pass platinum wires which are fused into the glass, and between which the discharge passes.

328. Such tubes are produced in great perfection by Geissler, of Bonn, and are sometimes called Geissler's tubes.

329. Under certain circumstances, the luminous discharge is composed of distinct luminous strata separated from each other by dark intervals transverse to the direction of the discharge. These strata were first observed by Grove ; they were observed independently and finely developed by Ruhmkorff.

330. The luminous strata were believed to arise from the intermittent action of the contact-breaker of the induction coil; but Gassiot produced them both with the electric machine, and with his battery of 3,500 cells, where no contact-breaker is employed.

331. Every single discharge of the induction coil through a properly-chosen medium resolves itself into a series of pulses, which declare themselves as a stratified discharge.   Under similar circumstances the discharge from the voltaic battery also is resolved into a series of pulses which are declared by their stratifications.

Action of Magnets on the Luminous Discharge.

332. The luminous discharge is to all intents and purposes an electric current, and is acted on by a magnet like a wire carrying a current.

333. But the flexibility of the luminous current in rarefied gases enables the magnet to act upon it in a manner peculiarly interesting and instructive.

334. Placing, for example, a tube through which the luminous discharge is passing between the poles of an electro-magnet, by exciting the magnet the stream of light may be either deflected or wholly extinguished.

335. In the latter case, by interrupting the current passing round the magnet, or by lifting the tube out of the magnetic field, the luminous discharge is restored.

336. In certain cases, when the luminous discharge consists simply of a feeble glow, the supervention of the magnetic force draws a series of strongly-illuminated strata from the positive terminal of the vacuum-tube; when the magnetism is interrupted, these strata retreat again in succession, as if swallowed up by the positive pole.   A number of exceedingly beautiful experiments of this character has been made by Gassiot.

337. It has been stated in Note 306 that the discharges from the induction coil proceed always in the same direction; hence, in each vacuum-tube we have a positive terminal or pole, and a negative terminal or pole.

338. When the light surrounding the negative terminal is subjected to a magnet, it ranges itself exactly along the lines of magnetic force; the light at the positive terminal shows no such action. This discovery is due to Plücker.

Magneto-electric Machines. Saxton's Machine. Siemens's Armature.

339. Faraday's discovery of Magneto-electricity was announced in 1831. In 1833 a machine was constructed by Saxton for the more copious development of magneto-electric currents.

340. In it copper-wire coils, within which were placed cores of iron, were caused to rotate before the poles of a powerful magnet.

341. On the approach of a coil to one of the poles of the magnet, a powerful current, whose direction depended on the nature of the pole, was induced in the coil. When the coil retreated from the magnetic pole, a current in the opposite direction was induced. This production of opposite currents by approach and withdrawal has been already referred to in Notes 283, 284.

342. By means of an instrument called *a commutator*, which reversed one of the induced currents at the proper moment, the opposite currents were caused to flow in the same direction.

343. The cores of soft iron and their associated coils constitute what is called an *armature*. In Saxton's armature the coils were wound *transversely* to the iron cores.

344. But by winding his coils *longitudinally*, or parallel
to the axis of the core, and placing the armature so formed
between the poles of a series of horseshoe magnets, Siemens
obtained magneto-electric currents much more powerful
than those of Saxton.

Wilde's Machine.

Things were in this state when, in 1866, Wilde made
an important addition to our knowledge of magneto-
electricity.

345. He conducted the current obtained by means of
Siemens's armature round an electro-magnet, and found
that the magnetism thus excited was far greater than
that of the entire series of steel magnets employed to
generate the magneto-electric current.

346. Thus, in one case, he found that whereas the
series of permanent magnets taken collectively was com-
petent to support a weight of 40 lbs. only, the electro-
magnet which they excited sustained a weight of 1,088 lbs.

347. To produce this effect, however, it was necessary
that the armature of the magneto-electric machine should
rotate with great rapidity.

348. But Wilde went farther. Forming his electro-
magnet from a large plate of iron, and placing between its
long poles a correspondingly long armature, similar in
shape and construction to that of the magneto-electric
machine, he obtained from this second armature currents
of enormously greater power than those obtainable from
the first.

349. These currents could in their turn be sent round a
second electro-magnet, formed from a larger plate of iron.
Furnished with a rotating armature, this second electro-
magnet produced effects previously unknown. Rods of
iron a quarter of an inch in thickness were fused by the

currents, and they were also found competent, when dis-
charged between carbon terminals, to produce a light of
intolerable brilliancy.

Siemens's and Wheatstone's Machine.

350. The next great step in magneto-electricity was
made simultaneously by Dr. Werner Siemens and Sir
Charles Wheatstone.

351. Expressed generally, this discovery consists in
exalting, by means of its own action, to a high pitch of
intensity an infinitesimal amount of magnetism.

352. Conceive an electro-magnetic core with a very
small amount of residual magnetism, which is never wholly
absent when iron has been once magnetized. Let a sec-
ondary coil, with cores of soft iron, rotate before the poles
of such a magnet. Exceedingly feeble induced currents
will circulate in the secondary coil. Let these induced
currents, instead of being carried away, be sent round the
electro-magnet which produced them; its magnetism will
be thereby exalted. It is then in a condition to produce
still stronger currents. These also being sent round the
magnet, raise its magnetism still higher; a more copious
production of induced currents being the consequence.
Thus by a series of interactions between the electro-magnet
and the secondary helix, each in turn exalting the other,
the electro-magnet is raised from a state of almost perfect
neutrality to one of intense magnetization.

353. When the magnet has been raised to this con-
dition, other coils than those employed to magnetize it
may be caused to rotate before, or between, its poles; the
currents from these coils may be carried away and made
use of, for magnetization, for chemical decomposition, or
for the electric light.

354. The first magneto-electric machine used to pro-

duce a light sufficiently intense for light-houses was con-
structed by Mr. Holmes. In it permanent steel magnets
and rotating helices were employed. Mr. Holmes has
lately constructed a very powerful machine on the prin-
ciple of Siemens and Wheatstone.

Induced Currents of the Leyden-Battery.

355. If a Leyden jar, or battery, be discharged through
a primary spiral, it evokes a current in a secondary spiral.
With a strong charge this secondary current may be caused
to deflagrate a foot of thin platinum wire.

356. If the current from the secondary spiral be led
round a third spiral which faces a fourth; on discharging
the battery through the primary spiral, the secondary in
the third spiral acts the part of a primary, and evokes in
the fourth spiral a *tertiary current*.

357. With another pair of spirals this tertiary current
can be made to generate a current of the *fourth order;*
this again, with another pair of spirals, a current of the
fifth order. All these currents can impart shocks, ignite
gunpowder, or deflagrate wires.

For the investigation of the Induced Currents of the
Leyden-Battery we are indebted to Prof. Joseph Henry,
Director of the Smithsonian Institution, and to Prof. Riess,
of Berlin.

THE END.

WORKS OF HERBERT SPENCER,

PUBLISHED BY

D. APPLETON AND COMPANY.

SYSTEM OF PHILOSOPHY

I.—FIRST PRINCIPLES.

(*New and Enlarged Edition.*)

Part I.—The Unknowable.
Part II.—Laws of the Knowable.
    559 pages. Price,   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   **$2.50**

II.—THE PRINCIPLES OF BIOLOGY.—VOL. I.

Part I.—The Data of Biology.
Part II.—The Inductions of Biology.
Part III.—The Evolution of Life.
    475 pages. Price,   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   **$2.50**

PRINCIPLES OF BIOLOGY.—VOL. II.

Part IV.—Morphological Development.
Part V.—Physiological Development.
Part VI.—Laws of Multiplication.
    565 pages. Price,   -   -   -   -   -   -   - $2.50

III.—THE PRINCIPLES OF PSYCHOLOGY.

Part I.—The Data of Psychology. 144 pages. Price,   -   - $0.75
Part II.—The Inductions of Psychology. 146 pages. Price,   - $0.75
Part III.—General Synthesis. 100 pages.   } Price,   -   - $1.00
Part IV.—Special Synthesis. 112 pages.   }

MISCELLANEOUS.

I.—ILLUSTRATIONS OF UNIVERSAL PROGRESS.

Thirteen Articles. 451 pages. Price,   -   -   -   - $2.50

II.—ESSAYS:

Moral, Political, and Æsthetic.

Ten Essays. 386 pages. Price,   -   -   -   -   - $2.50

III.—SOCIAL STATICS:

Or the Conditions Essential to Human Happiness Specified, and the
First of them Developed.

523 pages. Price,   -   -   -   -   -   -   - $2.50

IV.—EDUCATION:

Intellectual, Moral, and Physical.

283 pages. Price,   -   -   -   -   -   - $1.25

V.—CLASSIFICATION OF THE SCIENCES.

50 pages. Price,   -   -   -   -   -   -   - $0.25

VI.—SPONTANEOUS GENERATION, &c.

16 pages   Price. $0.25

THE DESCENT OF MAN.

DARWIN.

The Descent of Man,
AND SELECTION IN RELATION TO SEX. By CHARLES DAR-
WIN, M. A., F. R. S., etc. With Illustrations. 2 vols , 12mo. Cloth.
Price, $2.00 per vol.

Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection;
Or, the Preservation of Favored Races in the Struggle for Life. New
and revised edition. By CHARLES DARWIN, M. A., F. R. S , F. G. S.,
etc. With copious Index. 1 vol., 12mo. Cloth. Price, $2.00.

ST. GEORGE MIVART.

On the Genesis of Species.
By ST. GEORGE MIVART, F. R. S.   12mo, 316 pages. Illustrated.
Cloth. Price, $1.75.

SPENCER.

The Principles of Biology.
By HERBERT SPENCER. 2 vols. $5.00.

HUXLEY.

Man's Place in Nature.
By THOMAS H. HUXLEY, LL. D., F. R. S.   1 vol., 12mo.   Cloth.
Price, $1.25.

On the Origin of Species.
By THOMAS H. HUXLEY, LL. D., F. R. S. 1 vol., 12mo. Cloth. Price, $1.

GALTON.

Hereditary Genius:
An Inquiry into its Laws and Consequences. By FRANCIS GALTON.
New revised edition. 12mo. Cloth. Price, $2.00.

FIGUIER.

Primitive Man.
Illustrated with thirty Scenes of Primitive Life, and 233 Figures of
Objects belonging to Prehistoric Ages. By LOUIS FIGUIER, author
of " The World before the Deluge," " The Ocean World," etc.   1
vol., 8vo. Cloth. Price, $4.00.

LUBBOCK.

Origin of Civilization,
AND THE PRIMITIVE CONDITION OF MAN. By Sir JOHN
LUBBOCK, Bart., M. P. 1 vol., 12mo. Cloth. Price, $2.00.

Either of the above mailed to any address within the United States, on
receipt of price.

D. APPLETON & CO., Publishers,

Nos. 549 & 551 BROADWAY, N. Y.

THE ORIGIN OF CIVILIZATION;

OR, THE

PRIMITIVE CONDITION OF MAN.

By SIR JOHN LUBBOCK, Bart., M.P., F.R.S.

380 Pages. Illustrated.

This interesting work is the fruit of many years' research by an accomplished naturalist, and one well trained in modern scientific methods, into the mental, moral, and social condition of the lowest savage races. The want of a work of this kind had long been felt, and, as scientific methods are being more and more applied to questions of humanity, there has been increasing need of a careful and authentic work describing the conditions of those tribes of men who are lowest in the scale of development.

"This interesting work—for it is intensely so in its aim, scope, and the ability of its author—treats of what the scientists denominate *anthropology*, or the natural history of the human species; the complete science of man, body and soul, including sex, temperament, race, civilization, etc."—*Providence Press.*

"A work which is most comprehensive in its aim, and most admirable in its execution. The patience and judgment bestowed on the book are everywhere apparent; the mere list of authorities quoted giving evidence of wide and impartial reading. The work, indeed, is not only a valuable one on account of the opinions it expresses, but it is also most serviceable as a book of reference. It offers an able and exhaustive table of a vast array of facts, which no single student could well obtain for himself, and it has not been made the vehicle for any special pleading on the part of the author."—*London Athenæum.*

"The book is no cursory and superficial review; it goes to the very heart of the subject, and embodies the results of all the later investigations. It is replete with curious and quaint information presented in a compact, luminous, and entertaining form."—*Albany Evening Journal.*

"The treatment of the subject is eminently practical, dealing more with fact than theory, or perhaps it will be more just to say, dealing only with theory amply sustained by fact."—*Detroit Free Press.*

"This interesting and valuable volume illustrates, to some extent, the way in which the modern scientific spirit manages to extract a considerable treasure from the chaff and refuse neglected or thrown aside by former inquirers."—*London Saturday Review.*

D. APPLETON & CO., Publishers.

LAY SERMONS,

ADDRESSES, AND REVIEWS,

By THOMAS HENRY HUXLEY.

Cloth, 12mo.   390 pages.   Price, $1.75

This is the latest and most popular of the works of this in-
trepid and accomplished English thinker. The American edition
of the work is the latest, and contains, in addition to the English
edition, Professor Huxley's recent masterly address on "Spon-
taneous Generation," delivered before the British Association for
the Advancement of Science, of which he was president.

The following is from an able article in the *Independent :*

The " Lay Sermons, Addresses, and Reviews " is a book to be read
by every one who would keep up with the advance of truth—as well by
those who are hostile as those who are friendly to his conclusions. In
it, scientific and philosophical topics are handled with consummate abil-
ity. It is remarkable for purity of style and power of expression. No-
where, in any modern work, is the advancement of the pursuit of that
natural knowledge, which is of vital importance to bodily and mental
well-being, so ably handled.

Professor Huxley is undoubtedly the representative scientific man of
the age. His reverence for the right and devotion to truth have estab-
lished his leadership of modern scientific thought. He leads the beliefs
and aspirations of the increasingly powerful body of the younger men of
science. His ability for research is marvellous. There is possible no more
equipoise of judgment than that to which he brings the phenomena of
Nature. Besides, he is not a mere scientist. His is a popularized phi-
losophy ; social questions have been treated by his pen in a manner most
masterly. In his popular addresses, embracing the widest range of top-
ics, he treads on ground with which he seems thoroughly familiar.

There are those who hold the name of Professor Huxley as synony-
mous with irreverence and atheism. Plato's was so held, and Galileo's,
and Descartes's, and Newton's, and Faraday's. There can be no greater
mistake. No man has greater reverence for the Bible than Huxley. No
one more acquaintance with the text of Scripture. He believes there is
definite government of the universe ; that pleasures and pains are distrib-
uted in accordance with law ; and that the certain proportion of evil
woven up in the life even of worms will help the man who thinks to bear
his own share with courage.

In the estimate of Professor Huxley's future influence upon science,
his youth and health form a large element. He has just passed his forty-
fifth year. If God spare his life, truth can hardly fall to be the gainer
from a mind that is stored with knowledge of the laws of the Creator's
operations, and that has learned to love all beauty and hate all vileness of
Nature and art.

THE PHILOSOPHY OF EVOLUTION.

By HERBERT SPENCER.

This great system of scientific thought, the most original and important men-
tal undertaking of the age, to which Mr. Spencer has devoted his life, is now well
advanced, the published volumes being: *First Principles*, *The Principles of Bi-
ology*, two volumes, and *The Principles of Psychology*, vol. i., which will be
shortly printed.

This philosophical system differs from all its predecessors in being solidly
based on the sciences of observation and induction; in representing the order
and course of Nature; in bringing Nature and man, life, mind, and society, under
one great law of action; and in developing a method of thought which may serve
for practical guidance in dealing with the affairs of life. That Mr. Spencer is the
man for this great work will be evident from the following statements:

"The only complete and systematic statement of the doctrine of Evolution
with which I am acquainted is that contained in Mr. Herbert Spencer's 'System
of Philosophy;' a work which should be carefully studied by all who desire to
know whither scientific thought is tending."—T. H. HUXLEY.

"Of all our thinkers, he is the one who has formed to himself the largest new
scheme of a systematic philosophy."—Prof. MASSON.

"If any individual influence is visibly encroaching on Mills in this country, it
is his."—*Ibid.*

"Mr. Spencer is one of the most vigorous as well as boldest thinkers that
English speculation has yet produced."—JOHN STUART MILL.

"One of the acutest metaphysicians of modern times."—*Ibid.*

"One of our deepest thinkers."—Dr. JOSEPH D. HOOKER.

It is questionable if any thinker of finer calibre has appeared in our coun
try."—GEORGE HENRY LEWES.

"He alone, of all British thinkers, has organized a philosophy."—*Ibid.*

"He is as keen an analyst as is known in the history of philosophy; I do not
except either Aristotle or Kant."—GEORGE RIPLEY.

"If we were to give our own judgment, we should say that, since Newton,
there has not in England been a philosopher of more remarkable speculative and
systematizing talent than (in spite of some errors and some narrowness) Mr. Her-
bert Spencer."—*London Saturday Review.*

"We cannot refrain from offering our tribute of respect to one who, whether
for the extent of his positive knowledge, or for the profundity of his speculative
insight, has already achieved a name second to none in the whole range of Eng-
lish philosophy, and whose works will worthily sustain the credit of English
thought in the present generation."—*Westminster Review.*

A NEW SYSTEM OF PHILOSOPHY.

FIRST PRINCIPLES

% Vol. Large 12mo. 515 Pages. Price $2 50.

CONTENTS:

PART FIRST.—*The Unknowable.*

Chapter I. Religion and Science; II. Ultimate Religious Ideas; III. Ultimate Scientific Ideas; IV. The Relativity of all Knowledge; V The Reconciliation.

PART SECOND — *Laws of the Knowable.*

I. Laws in General; II. The Law of Evolution; III. The same continued; IV. The Causes of Evolution; V. Space, Time, Matter, Motion, and Force; VI. The Indestructibility of Matter; VII. The Continuity of Motion; VIII. The Persistence of Force; IX. The Correlation and Equivalence of Forces; X. The Direction of Motion; XI. The Rhythm of Motion; XII. The Conditions Essential to Evolution; XIII. The Instability of the Homogeneous; XIV. The Multiplication of Effects; XV. Differentiation and Integration; XVI. Equilibration; XVII. Summary and Conclusion.

In the first part of this work Mr. Spencer defines the province, limits, and relations of religion and science, and determines the legitimate scope of philosophy.

In part second he unfolds those fundamental principles which have been arrived at within the sphere of the knowable; which are true of all orders of phenonema, and thus constitute the foundation of all philosophy. The law of Evolution, Mr. Spencer maintains to be universal, and he has here worked it out as the basis of his system.

These First Principles are the foundation of a system of Philosophy bolder, more elaborate, and comprehensive perhaps, than any other which nas been hitherto designed in England.—*British Quarterly Review.*

A work lofty in aim and remarkable in execution.— *Cornhill Magazine.*

In the works of Herbert Spencer we have the rudiments of a positive Theology, and an immense step toward the perfection of the science of Psychology.— *Christian Examiner.*

If we mistake not, in spite of the very negative character of his own results, he has foreshadowed some strong arguments from the doctrine of a positive Christian Theology.—*New Englander.*

As far as the frontiers of knowledge, where the intellect may go, there is no living man whose guidance may more safely be trusted.—*Atlantic Monthly.*

THE

Correlation and Conservation of Forces.

WITH AN

NTRODUCTION AND BRIEF BIOGRAPHICAL NOTICES

By EDWARD L. YOUMANS, M.D.   12mo, 490 pages.

CONTENTS.

I. By W. R. GROVE. The Correlation of Physical Forces.

II. By Prof. HELMHOLTZ. The Interaction of Natural Forces.

III. ·By J. R. MAYER. 1. Remarks on the Forces of Inorganic Nature.
2. On Celestial Dynamics.
3. On the Mechanical Equivalent of Heat.

IV. By Dr. FARADAY. Some Thoughts on the Conservation of Forces.

V. By Prof. LIEBIG. The Connection and Equivalence of Forces.

VI. By Dr. CARPENTER. The Correlation of the Physical and Vital Forces.

"This work is a very welcome addition to our scientific literature, and will be
particularly acceptable to those who wish to obtain a popular, but at the same time
precise and clear view of what Faraday justly calls the highest law in physical science,
the principle of the conservation of force. Sufficient attention has not been paid to the
publication of collected monographs or memoirs upon special subjects. Dr. Youmans'
work exhibits the value of such collections in a very striking manner, and we earnestly
hope his excellent example may be followed in other branches of science."—*American
Journal of Science.*

"It was a happy thought which suggested the publication of this volume. The
question is often asked, and not so easily answered, What are the new doctrines of the
Correlation and Conservation of Forces? In this volume we have the answer, and
with the reasons of its chief expounders; those who are ignorant on that theme, can
thus question the original authorities."—*New Englander.*

"We here have the original expositions of the new Philosophy of Forces, accompa-
nied by an excellent exposition of both the expositions and the expositors; the whole
will be a rare treat to the lovers of advancing scientific thought."—*Methodist
Quarterly Review.*

"This is, perhaps, the most remarkable book of the age. We have here the latest
discoveries, and the highest results of thought concerning the nature, laws, and con-
nections of the forces of the universe. No higher or more sublime problem can engage
the intellect of man than is discussed by these doctors of science intent alone on arriv-
ing at the truth."—*Detroit Free Press.*

"This work presents a praiseworthy specimen of complete and faithful authorship,
and its publication at this time will form an epoch in the experience of many thinking
minds."— *Ibuna.*

THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES,

By CHARLES DARWIN.

A new American edition of "The Origin of Species," later than the latest English edition, has just been published, with the author's most recent corrections and additions.

In the whole history of the progress of knowledge there is no case so remarkable of a system of doctrines, at first generally condemned as false and absurd, coming into general acceptance in the scientific world in a single decade. From the following statements, the reader will infer the estimate that is now placed upon the man and his works by the highest authorities.

"Personally and practically exercised in zoology, in minute anatomy, in geology; a student of geographical distribution, not on maps and in museums only, but by long voyages and laborious collection; having largely advanced each of these branches of science, and having spent many years in gathering and sifting materials for his present work, the store of accurately-registered facts upon which the author of the 'Origin of Species' is able to draw at will is prodigious."—Prof. T. H. HUXLEY.

"Far abler men than myself may confess that they have not that untiring patience in accumulating, and that wonderful skill in using, large masses of facts of the most varied kind—that wide and accurate physiological knowl edge—that acuteness in devising, that skill in carrying out experiments, and that admirable style of composition, at once clear, persuasive, and judicial, qualities which, in their harmonious combination, mark out Mr. Darwin as the man, perhaps of all men now living, best fitted for the great work he has undertaken and accomplished."—ALFRED RUSSELL WALLACE.

In Germany these views are rapidly extending. Prof. GIEKIE, a distinguished British geologist, attended the recent Congress of German Naturalists and Physicians, at Innspruck, in which some eight hundred savants were present, and thus writes:

"What specially struck me was the universal sway which the writings of Darwin now exercise over the German mind. You see it on every side, in private conversation, in printed papers, in all the many sections into which such a meeting as that at Innspruck divides. Darwin's name is often-mentioned, and always with the profoundest veneration. But even where no allusion is specially made to him, nay, even more markedly, where such allusion is absent, we see how thoroughly his doctrines have permeated the scientific mind, even in those departments of knowledge which might seem at first sight to be farthest from natural history. 'You are still discussing in England,' said a German friend to me, 'whether or not the theory of Darwin can be true. We have got a long way beyond that here. His theory is now our common starting-point.' And, so far as my experience went, I found it to be so."

ILLUSTRATIONS OF UNIVERSAL PROGRESS.

A SERIES OF DISCUSSIONS.

1 Vol Large 12mo. 470 Pages. Price $2.50.

CONTENTS:

American Notice of Spencer's New System of Philosophy.

These Essays constitute a body of massive and original thought upon a large variety of important topics, and will be read with pleasure by all who appreciate a bold and powerful treatment of fundamental themes. The general thought which pervades this book is beyond doubt the most important that the human mind has yet reached.—*N. Y. Independent.*

Those who have read the work on Education, will remember the analytic tendency of the author's mind—his clear perception and admirable exposition of first principles—his wide grasp of facts—his lucid and vigorous style, and the constant and controlling bearing of the discussion on practical results. These traits characterize all Mr. Spencer's writings, and mark, in an eminent degree, the present volume.—*N. Y. Tribune.*

We regard the distinguishing feature of this work to be the peculiarly interesting character of its matter to the general reader. This is a great literary as well as philosophic triumph. In the evolution of a system of Philosophy which demands serious attention, and a keen exercise of the intellect to fathom and appreciate, he has mingled much that is really popular and entertaining.—*Rochester Democrat.*

HEAT,

CONSIDERED AS A MODE OF MOTION,

Being a Course of Twelve Lectures delivered before the Royal Institution of Great Britain.

BY JOHN TYNDALL, F. R. S.,

PROFESSOR OF NATURAL PHILOSOPHY IN THE ROYAL INSTITUTION—AUTHOR OF THE "GLACIERS OF THE ALPS," ETC.

With One Hundred Illustrations.   8vo, 480 pages.   Price, $2.

From the American Journal of Science.—With all the skill which has made Faraday the master of experimental science in Great Britain, Professor Tyndall enjoys the advantage of a superior general culture, and is thus enabled to set forth his philosophy with all the graces of eloquence and the finish of superior diction. With a simplicity, and absence of technicalities, which render his explanations lucid to un-scientific minds, and at the same time a thoroughness and originality by which he in-structs the most learned, he unfolds all the modern philosophy of heat. His work takes rank at once as a classic upon the subject.

New York Times.—Professor Tyndall's course of lectures on heat is one of the most beautiful illustrations of a mode of handling scientific subjects, which is com-paratively new, and which promises the best results, both to science and to literature generally; we mean the treatment of subjects in a style at once *profound* and *popu-lar*. The title of Professor Tyndall's work indicates the theory of heat held by him, and indeed the only one now held by scientific men—*it is a mode of motion*.

Boston Journal.—He exhibits the curious and beautiful workings of nature in a most delightful manner. Before the reader particles of water lock themselves or fly asunder with a movement regulated like a dance. They form themselves into liquid flowers with fine serrated petals, or into rosettes of frozen gauze; they bound upward in boiling fountains, or creep slowly onward in stupendous glaciers. Flames burst into music and sing, or cease to sing, as the experimenter pleases, and metals paint them-selves upon a screen in dazzling lines as the painter touches his canvas.

New York Tribune.—The most original and important contribution that has yet been made to the theory and literature of thermotics.

Scientific American.—The work is written in a charming style, and is the most valuable contribution to scientific literature that has been published in many years. It is the most popular exposition of the dynamical theory of heat that has yet appeared. The old material theory of heat may be said to be defunct.

Louisville Democrat.—This is one of the most delightful scientific works we have ever met. The lectures are so full of life and spirit that we can almost imagine the lecturer before us, and see his brilliant experiments in every stage of their progress. The theory is so carefully and thoroughly explained that no one can fail to understand it. Such books as these create a love for science.

Independent.—Professor Tyndall's expositions and experiments are remarkably thoughtful, ingenious, clear, and convincing; portions of the book have almost the interest of a romance, so startling are the descriptions and elucidations.

116 232